

## Solutions of Homework #1

1. By the assumption,  $\mathcal{S} = \sigma(\mathcal{A}) =$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
 Let  $F = \{\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{E} \text{ has countable elements}\}$ . We want to show that  $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ .

1<sup>o</sup> claim:  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E})$  is also a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$ .

pf:  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E}) \supset \mathcal{A}$  is obviously.

(i)  $\forall \{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ ,

there exists  $\mathcal{E}_n \in F$  such that  $E_n \in \mathcal{E}_n, \forall n$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}_n$  has countable elements,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_n$  has countable elements.

Let  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_0 \in F$ , we have  $E_n \in \mathcal{E}_0, \forall n$ .

Since  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}_0)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ .

(ii) Given  $E_1, E_2 \in \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ . Similarly to (i), there exists  $\mathcal{E}_1$  such that  $E_1, E_2 \in \sigma(\mathcal{E}_1)$ . Therefore,  $E_1 \setminus E_2 \in \sigma(\mathcal{E}_1) \subset \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ .

Moreover,  $\emptyset, X \in \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ . Therefore by (i) and (ii),  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ , by the claim,  $\mathcal{S} \subset \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E})$

2<sup>o</sup> On the other hand, for each  $\mathcal{E} \in F, \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{E}) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{S}, \forall \mathcal{E} \in F$ .

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{S}$ .

By 1<sup>o</sup> and 2<sup>o</sup>,  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in F} \sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{S}$

2. Since  $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^X$  is an algebra,  $\therefore X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*) =$  the collection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets.

- (i) Given  $E \subset X$ , we always can find  $A_n \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that  $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . ( $\because X \in \mathcal{A}$ )  
 Define

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) \mid E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, A_n \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

Therefore  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) < \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon$$

Let  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n. \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A) &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) \mid A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n, B_n \in \mathcal{A} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) \\ &\leq \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.  
Given  $F \subset X$ ,

$$\mu^*(F) = \mu^*(F \cap E) + \mu^*(F \setminus E) \quad (1)$$

By (i), we have  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists A_n \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$  such that

$$\mu^*(A_n) \leq \mu^*(E) + \frac{1}{n}$$

Let  $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta} \Rightarrow E \subset B$ . Since  $B \subset A_n, \forall n$ , we have

$$\mu^*(B) \leq \mu^*(A_n) \leq \mu^*(E) + \frac{1}{n}, \forall n.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu^*(B) \leq \mu^*(E).$$

By  $E \subset B, \mu^*(B) = \mu^*(E)$ . By (1), we have

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(B) = \mu^*(B \cap E) + \mu^*(B \setminus E) = \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(B \setminus E).$$

Since  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , we have  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that there exists  $B \in (A)_{\sigma\delta}$  with  $E \subset B$  and  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0 \Rightarrow B \setminus E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.

Since  $E = B \setminus (B \setminus E)$  and  $B, B \setminus E$  are  $\mu^*$ -measurable, we have  $E$  is also  $\mu^*$ -measurable. ( $\because \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.)

(iii) Since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, there exists  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \text{ and } \mu(X_n) < \infty$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) This proof is the same as  $\Leftarrow$  of (ii).

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable. Then  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E \cap X_n)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $X_n \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ ,

Let  $E_n = E \cap X_n \in \mathcal{M}(\mu^*), \forall n$ .

Moreover, we have  $\mu^*(E_n) \leq \mu^*(X_n) < \infty, \forall n$ .

By (i),  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists B_{n,k} \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$  with  $B_{n,k} \supset E_n$  and

$$\mu^*(B_{n,k}) \leq \mu^*(E_n) + \frac{1}{k2^n}.$$

Since  $\mu^*(E_n) < \infty$  and  $E_n \in \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ , we have

$$\mu^*(B_{n,k} \setminus E_n) = \mu^*(B_{n,k}) - \mu^*(E_n) \leq \frac{1}{k2^n}$$

Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_{n,k} \setminus E_n) \leq \frac{1}{k}$ .

Let  $B^{(k)} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n,k} \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma \Rightarrow E \subset B^{(k)}$ .

$$\mu^*(B^{(k)} \setminus E) \leq \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_{n,k} \setminus E_n)\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_{n,k} \setminus E_n) \leq \frac{1}{k}$$

Let  $B = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B^{(k)}$ . Then

$$\mu^*(B \setminus E) \leq \mu^*(B^{(k)} \setminus E) \leq \frac{1}{k}, \forall k.$$

Therefore  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$  and  $E \subset B$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ .

Hence  $B$  is what we want.

3. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable. Then by the definition of measurable sets, we have

$$\mu^*(X) = \mu^*(X \cap E) + \mu^*(X \setminus E)$$

Therefore, by  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , we obtain

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu(X) - \mu^*(E^c) = \mu_*(E)$$

- ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\mu^*(E) = \mu_*(E)$ . We have

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu_*(E) = \mu(X) - \mu^*(E^c). \quad (2)$$

We give two proofs.

proof(a): For any  $F \subset X$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists A_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$  with  $F \subset A_n$  such that  $\mu^*(A_n) \leq \mu^*(F) + \frac{1}{n}$ .

Thus,  $\mu^*(\bigcap A_n) \leq \mu^*(A_n) \leq \mu^*(F) + \frac{1}{n}, \forall n$ .

Therefore, we have  $\mu^*(\bigcap A_n) = \mu^*(F)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  = the collection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets. Since  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, we know that  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ .

So,  $\bigcap A_n \in \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ . So far, we have the following conclusion: For any  $F \subset X$ , there exists a  $\mu^*$ -measurable set  $B$  such that  $B \supset F$  and  $\mu^*(F) = \mu^*(B)$ .

Thus, we pick two measurable sets  $B_1, B_2$  such that

$$B_1 \supset E, B_2 \supset E^c \text{ and } \mu^*(B_1) = \mu^*(E), \mu^*(B_2) = \mu^*(E^c).$$

By (2), we have  $\mu(B_1) + \mu(B_2) = \mu(X)$ . Since  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are  $\mu^*$ -measurable set, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(X) &= \mu(B_1) + \mu(B_2) = \mu(B_1 \cap B_2) + \mu(B_1 \setminus B_2) + \mu(B_2) \\ &= \mu(B_1 \cap B_2) + \mu(B_1 \cup B_2) \\ &= \mu(B_1 \cap B_2) + \mu(X). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , we have  $\mu(B_1 \cap B_2) = 0$ . Thus,  $\mu(B_1 \setminus E) \leq \mu(B_1 \cap B_2) = 0$ . And we have  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.

By  $E = B_1 \setminus (B_1 \cap B_2)$ , we have  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.

proof(b): For any  $F \subset X$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists A_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$  with  $F \subset A_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $\mu^*(A_{\varepsilon}) \leq \mu^*(F) + \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  = the collection of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets. Since  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, we know that  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mu^*)$ .

So,  $\mu(X) = \mu^*(A_{\varepsilon}) + \mu^*(A_{\varepsilon}^c)$ .

By countably subadditivity of  $\mu^*$ , we obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(X) &= \mu^*(A_\varepsilon) + \mu^*(A_\varepsilon^c) \\ &\leq \mu^*(A_\varepsilon \cap E) + \mu^*(A_\varepsilon \cap E^c) + \mu^*(A_\varepsilon^c \cap E) + \mu^*(A_\varepsilon^c \cap E^c) \\ &= \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(E^c) \quad (\text{because } A_\varepsilon \in M(\mu^*).\text{.)} \\ &= \mu(X) \quad (\text{by (2)})\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we in fact have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(A_\varepsilon) &= \mu^*(A_\varepsilon \cap E) + \mu^*(A_\varepsilon \cap E^c) \\ \mu^*(A_\varepsilon^c) &= \mu^*(A_\varepsilon^c \cap E) + \mu^*(A_\varepsilon^c \cap E^c)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $F \cap A_\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(F \cap E) + \mu^*(F \cap E^c) &\leq \mu^*(A_\varepsilon \cap E) + \mu^*(A_\varepsilon \cap E^c) \\ &= \mu^*(A_\varepsilon) \leq \mu^*(F) + \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\mu^*(F \cap E) + \mu^*(F \cap E^c) \leq \mu^*(F)$ . The opposite inequality is obvious, therefore  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.

4. (i) By the following two theorem (one is proved in the class and the other is showed in Folland, Real Analysis):

**Theorem 1.** (*Carathéodory extension*) Let  $\nu$  be a countably additive on a ring  $R$  and  $\nu : R \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ . There exists a measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra, that coincides with  $\nu$  on  $R$ . (Indeed, )

**Theorem 2.** (*Folland, Real Analysis, Theorem 1.14*)

We know we can extend  $\mu$  from a ring or an algebra to a  $\sigma$ -algebra if  $\mu$  is countably additive. But notice that  $\mathcal{E}$  is not a ring! For instance, we may define  $A_1 = (-2, -1] \cup (1, 2]$  and  $A_2 = (-4, -3] \cup (3, 4]$ . Thus it's easy to see  $A_1 \cup A_2$  doesn't belong to  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Therefore we need to find a way to prove this problem. Here are 2 methods to prove it, but the ideas are essentially the same. Since if we write down them all, the proof becomes too long, we only show the sketches.

- 1) Define  $\mathcal{R} =$  the ring generated by  $\mathcal{E}$ . Show that

$$\mathcal{R} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \left\{ E \mid E = \bigcup_{n=1}^m A_{a_n, b_n}, \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } A_{a_n, b_n} \in \mathcal{E} \text{ are mutually disjoint} \right\}.$$

Hence we can define  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  by

$$\tilde{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^m A_{a_n, b_n}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^m \mu(A_{a_n, b_n}), \text{ and } \tilde{\mu}(\emptyset) = 0$$

Check  $\tilde{\mu}$  is countable additive on  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

By Theorem 1, there exists a measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra, that coincides with  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  and therefore on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

2) Show that  $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \cup \emptyset$  is a semi-ring.

That is  $\mathcal{E}'$  satisfies the following properties:

a.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}'$

b.  $A, B \in \mathcal{E}' \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{E}'$

c.  $A, B \in \mathcal{E}' \Rightarrow \exists n \geq 0, \exists A_i \in \mathcal{E}'$  are disjoint s.t.  $A \setminus B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$

Use the following theorem, then we can extend  $\mu$  to a  $\sigma$ -algebra:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a semi-ring on  $X$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a measure on  $\mathcal{S}$ . There exists a measure  $\bar{\mu} : \sigma(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $\bar{\mu} = \mu$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(ii)  $[1, 2]$  is NOT a  $\mu^*$ -measurable!

By definition of  $\mu^*$ :

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_n \mu(A_n) \mid E \subset \bigcup_n A_n, A_n \in \mathcal{A} \right\},$$

therefore  $\mu^*([1, 2]) = \mu^*[-2, -1] = 1$ .

Suppose to the contrary that  $[1, 2]$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable, then

$$1 = \mu^*([-2, -1] \cup [1, 2]) = \mu^*([1, 2]) + \mu^*([-2, -1]) = 1 + 1 = 2$$

Therefore we get a contradiction! Hence  $[1, 2]$  is not  $\mu^*$ -measurable.