

Lecture III

A Quantum Splitting Principle

Chin-Lung Wang
National Taiwan University

January 22, 2019
MIST Workshop, IMS, CUHK

Contents

- ▶ QH and analytic continuations
- ▶ Quantum Leray–Hirsch in the split case: an example
 - (i) Classical splitting and inversion of degenerations
 - (ii) Strong virtual pushforward for split P^1 -bundles
 - (iii) Relative type I, II and ancestors

Quantum cohomology

- ▶ Let X be smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} .
- ▶ Basis $T_i \in H = H(X)$, dual $\{T^i\}$, $t = \sum t^i T_i$, $g_{ij} := \langle T_i, T_j \rangle$.
- ▶ Genus zero GW **formal** prepotential $F(t) = \langle\langle \rangle\rangle$:

$$\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle\rangle = \sum_{\beta \in NE(X)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^\beta}{n!} \langle a_1, \dots, a_m, t^{\otimes n} \rangle_{g=0, m+n, \beta}.$$

- ▶ 3-pt function $F_{ijk} = \partial_{ijk}^3 F = \langle\langle T_i, T_j, T_k \rangle\rangle$, $A_{ij}^k := F_{ijl} g^{lk}$, then

$$T_i *_t T_j = \sum A_{ij}^k(t) T_k.$$

- ▶ The Dubrovin connection ∇ on $T_0 \hat{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[q^\bullet]] \times \mathbb{A}_z^1$ is flat:

$$\nabla = d - \frac{1}{z} \sum_i dt^i \otimes A_i = d - \frac{1}{z} \sum_i dt^i \otimes T_i *_t.$$

Gromov–Witten invariants

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$ be the moduli stack of n -pointed genus g stable maps $f : (C; x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow X$ with $f_*[C] = \beta \in H_2(X)$. We have

$$\text{ev}_j : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta) \rightarrow X, \quad f \mapsto f(x_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

For $\alpha_j \in H^*(X)$, $\psi_j = c_1(x_j^* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)})$, the *descendant invariant* is

$$\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^n \tau_{k_j}(\alpha_j) \right\rangle_{g, \beta}^X = \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_j \text{ev}_j^*(\alpha_j) \prod_j \psi_j^{k_j}.$$

When $2g + n \geq 3$, there is a stabilization map

$$\text{st} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}.$$

Now let $\bar{\psi}_j \in H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ instead. Then the *ancestor invariant* is

$$\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^n \bar{\tau}_{k_j}(\alpha_j) \right\rangle_{g, \beta}^X = \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_j \text{ev}_j^*(\alpha_j) \text{st}^* \left(\prod_j \bar{\psi}_j^{k_j} \right).$$

Cyclic \mathcal{D}^z -modules

- ▶ $t = t_0 + t_1 + t_2, t_0 \in H^0, t_1 \in H^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} J(t, z^{-1}) &= 1 + \frac{t}{z} + \sum_{\beta, n, i} \frac{q^\beta}{n!} T_i \left\langle \frac{T^i}{z(z - \psi_1)}, (t)^n \right\rangle_\beta \\ &= e^{\frac{t}{z}} + \sum_{\beta \neq 0, n, i} \frac{q^\beta}{n!} e^{\frac{t_0 + t_1}{z} + (t_1 \cdot \beta)} T_i \left\langle \frac{T^i}{z(z - \psi_1)}, (t_2)^n \right\rangle_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ TRR \implies QDE (denote by $z\partial_i = z\partial_{t_i} = z\partial_{T_i}$):

$$z\partial_i z\partial_j J = \sum_k A_{ij}^k(t) z\partial_k J.$$

- ▶ $QH(X) \equiv$ cyclic \mathcal{D}^z -module $\mathcal{D}^z J$ with basis (frame)

$$z\partial_i J \equiv e^{t/z} T_i \pmod{q^\bullet} = T_i + \dots$$

Given an ordinary P^r -flop with extremal ray ℓ, ℓ' resp.

$$f : X \dashrightarrow X',$$

the graph $\Gamma_f \subset X \times X'$ induces an isomorphism of motives

$$\mathcal{F} = [\bar{\Gamma}_f]_* : H(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H(X'),$$

which preserves the Poincaré pairing. We set

$$\mathcal{F}(q^\beta) = q^{\mathcal{F}(\beta)}.$$

Theorem (Analytic continuation in $q^\ell = 1/q^{\ell'}$)

\mathcal{F} induces an isomorphism of big quantum rings

$$QH(X) \cong QH(X').$$

The results also hold for relative invariants and (relative) ancestors.

Step 1 [LLW 2008]

- ▶ Degeneration + Reconstruction reduce the proof to the case of *local models*.
- ▶ Let (S, F, F') consist of two v.b.'s F and F' of rank $r + 1$ over a smooth S . The f -exc loci $Z \subset X$ and $Z' \subset X'$ are

$$\bar{\psi} : Z = P_S(F) \rightarrow S, \quad \bar{\psi}' : Z' = P_S(F') \rightarrow S,$$

and the (projective) local model of f is

$$X = P_Z(N \oplus \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{f} X' = P_{Z'}(N' \oplus \mathcal{O}),$$

where $N = N_{Z/X} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(-1) \otimes \bar{\psi}^* F'$ and similarly for N' .

- ▶ The flop f is the blowup of X along Z followed by contracting the exc-divisor $E = Z \times_S Z'$ along the $\bar{\psi}$ -ruling.
- ▶ The local model of f is a functor over the triples (S, F, F') 's.

Step 2 [LLW 2011]

- ▶ For $F = \bigoplus_{i=0}^r L_i, F' = \bigoplus_{i=0}^r L'_i$ being split bundles, based on [Brown 2009], a quantum Leray–Hirsch theorem is proved:

$$QH(X) \cong_{\mathcal{D}^z} p^* QH(S) [\hat{h}, \hat{\xi}] / (\hat{f}_F, \hat{f}_{N \oplus \mathcal{O}}).$$

- ▶ Here $\hat{h} = z\partial_h, \hat{\xi} = z\partial_{\xi}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_F &= \square_{\ell} = \prod z\partial_{h+L_i} - q^{\ell} e^{t^h} \prod z\partial_{\xi-h+L'_i}, \\ \hat{f}_{N \oplus \mathcal{O}} &= \square_{\gamma} = z\partial_{\xi} \prod z\partial_{\xi-h+L'_i} - q^{\gamma} e^{t^{\xi}},\end{aligned}$$

are the Picard–Fuchs operators which are the “quantized version” of the Chern polynomials.

- ▶ The pullback $p^*QH(S)$ is an admissible lifting of the Dubrovin connection on $H(S)$ to $H(X)$:

Let $D = t^h h + t^{\zeta} \zeta$ be the relative divisor class, $\bar{t} \in H(S)$, then

$$z\partial_i z\partial_j = \sum_{\bar{\beta} \in NE(S), k} q^{\beta} e^{D \cdot \bar{\beta}^*} [A_S]_{ij, \bar{\beta}}^k(\bar{t}) z\partial_k \mathbf{D}_{\beta}(z)$$

for some *admissible lifting* $\beta \in NE(X)$ and differential operator

$$\mathbf{D}_{\beta}(z) := \prod_{m=0}^{-\zeta \cdot \beta - 1} (z\partial_{\zeta} - mz) \times \prod_{i=0}^r \left(\prod_{m=0}^{-(h+L_i) \cdot \beta - 1} (z\partial_{h+L_i} - mz) \prod_{m=0}^{-(\zeta - h + L'_i) \cdot \beta - 1} (z\partial_{\zeta - h + L'_i} - mz) \right).$$

such that $-(h + L_i) \cdot \beta \geq 0$, $-(\zeta - h + L'_i) \cdot \beta \geq 0$ and $-\zeta \cdot \beta \geq 0$.

β exists, but might not be unique. Nevertheless, $\mathbf{D}_{\beta}(z)$ is well-defined modulo the Picard–Fuchs ideal $\langle \square_{\ell}, \square_{\gamma} \rangle$.

- ▶ Now we may compute the first order system

$$z\partial_{t^a}(\hat{T}_i) = (\hat{T}_i)C_a(z, q^\bullet), \quad t^a = t^h, t^\xi, \bar{t}^i.$$

under the naive frame $\hat{T}_i = z\partial_{\bar{t}^i}(z\partial_{t^h})^j(z\partial_{t^\xi})^{k'}s$.

- ▶ This is “equivalent” to $\mathcal{D}^z J^X$ as \mathcal{D}^z -modules.
- ▶ The analytic continuation of \mathcal{D}^z -modules in q^ℓ follows easily from the above presentation of C_a and

$$\mathcal{F} : \langle \square_\ell, \square_\gamma \rangle \cong \langle \square_{\ell'}, \square_{\gamma'} \rangle.$$

- ▶ To get $QH(X)$ from the \mathcal{D}^z -module, we need BF/GMT: Birkhoff factorization/generalized mirror transform.
- ▶ **A technical induction** was performed so that B, τ are compatible with analytic continuations.

Example

Let $f : X \dashrightarrow X'$ be a P^1 -flop, $(S, F, F') = (P^1, \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$.
Write $H(S) = \mathbb{C}[p]/(p^2)$ with Chern polynomials

$$f_F(h) = h^2, \quad f_{N \oplus \mathcal{O}}(\xi) = \xi(\xi - h)(\xi - h + p).$$

Then $H = H(X) = H(S)[h, \xi]/(f_F, f_{N \oplus \mathcal{O}})$ has dimension $N = 12$
with basis $\{T_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 11\}$ being

$$1, h, \xi, p, h\xi, hp, \xi^2, \xi p, h\xi^2, h\xi p, \xi^2 p, h\xi^2 p.$$

Denote by $q_1 = q^\ell e^{t^1}$, $q_2 = q^\gamma e^{t^2}$, $\bar{q} = q^b e^{t^3}$, where $b = [S] \cong [P^1]$.
The Picard-Fuchs operators are

$$\square_\ell = (z\partial_h)^2 - q_1 z\partial_{\xi-h} z\partial_{\xi-h+p},$$

$$\square_\gamma = z\partial_\xi z\partial_{\xi-h} z\partial_{\xi-h+p} - q_2.$$

They lead to a Grobner basis:

$$\begin{aligned}(z\partial_h)^2 &= \mathbf{f}(q_1)((z\partial_\xi)^2 - z\partial_p z\partial_h + z\partial_p z\partial_\xi - 2z\partial_h z\partial_\xi), \\(z\partial_\xi)^3 &= q_2(1 - q_1) - z\partial_p(z\partial_\xi)^2 + 2z\partial_h(z\partial_\xi)^2 + z\partial_p z\partial_h z\partial_\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbf{f}(q) := q/(1 - (-1)^{r+1}q)$ which satisfies

$$\mathbf{f}(q) + \mathbf{f}(q^{-1}) = (-1)^r.$$

$H(S) = \mathbf{C1} \oplus \mathbf{C}p$ has only small parameter \bar{q} with QDE

$$z\partial_p(z\partial_1, z\partial_p) = (z\partial_1, z\partial_p) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{q} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have admissible lifting $b^l = b - \gamma$ and $\mathbf{D}_b = z\partial_\xi z\partial_{\xi-h}$, hence the lifted QDE:

$$(z\partial_p)^2 = \bar{q}q_2^{-1} z\partial_\xi z\partial_{\xi-h}.$$

$$C_{\xi} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & A & zq_1q_2 & zAg & z^2q_1q_2g \\ & & & & & A & & zAg \\ & & & & & 2q_1q_2 & -q_2g & zq_1q_2g \\ & & & & & q_1q_2 & A(1+g) & zq_1q_2(1+2g) \\ & & & & & & z^2g & -q_2q^*(1+g) \\ & & & & & & & A(1+g) \\ & & & & & & -z^2g & \\ & & & & & & & q_1q_2(2+g) \\ & & & & & & & -z^2g \\ & & & & & & zg & \\ & & & & & & 2zg & \\ & & & & & & -2zg & \\ & & & & & & & -2zg \\ & & & & & & -1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & -1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & 2+g \\ & & & & & & & -2zg \end{bmatrix},$$

Step 3: splitting principle [L-L-Qu-W 2014]

Proposition

Given a \mathbb{C}^k -bundle $F \rightarrow S$, there exists a sequence of blow-ups on smooth centers $\phi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ such that there is a filtration of subbundles

$$0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_k = \phi^*F$$

with $\text{rk } F_{i+1}/F_i = 1$ for all i ; ϕ^*F can be deformed to a split bundle.

Proof.

Consider the complete flag bundle over S and a rational section s :

$$\mathcal{F}_S(F) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{s} \end{array} S.$$

Let $\phi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ resolves s . Then ϕ^*F admits a complete flag, and there is a deformation of sending all extension classes to 0. \square

- ▶ In the classical setting

$$p^* : H(S) \hookrightarrow H(\mathcal{F}_S(F)), \quad \phi^* : H(S) \hookrightarrow H(\tilde{S})$$

are both **ring monomorphisms**.

- ▶ They lead to the *classical splitting principle*.
- ▶ Such functorialities are **not yet available for QH**.
- ▶ Instead, we develop a *quantum splitting principle* to study

$$QH(S) \dashrightarrow QH(\mathcal{F}_S(F)), \quad QH(S) \dashrightarrow QH(\tilde{S}).$$

- ▶ In particular, \mathcal{F} -invariance (analytic continuations)

$$\mathcal{F} : QH(X_{(S,F,F')}) \cong QH(X'_{(S,F,F')})$$

with $\mathcal{F}q^\ell = (q^{\ell'})^{-1}$ is reduced to the split case.

Starting with $(S_0, F_0, F'_0) = (S, F, F')$, we construct $(S_i, F_i, F'_i)_{i \geq 0}$:

$$\phi_i : S_{i+1} = \text{Bl}_{T_i} S_i \rightarrow S_i$$

for some smooth $T_i \subset S_i$, $F_{i+1} = \phi_i^* F_i$ and $F'_{i+1} = \phi_i^* F'_i$.

- ▶ Will show the \mathcal{F} -invariance for (S_i, F_i, F'_i) can be reduced to the \mathcal{F} -invariance for $(S_{i+1}, F_{i+1}, F'_{i+1})$.
- ▶ The problem is then solved for $S_{i+1} = \tilde{S}$, the split case, since GW theory is invariant under smooth deformations.

We consider the deformation to the normal cone for $T_i \hookrightarrow S_i$:

$$\Phi_i : \mathbf{S} = \text{Bl}_{T_i \times \{0\}}(S_i \times \mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1,$$

$$\mathbf{S}_t = S_i \sim S_{i+1} \cup_{E_i} P_i = \mathbf{S}_0,$$

$E_i = \text{Exc } \phi_i = P_{T_i}(N_{T_i/S_i})$, and $P_i = \text{Exc } \Phi_i = P_{T_i}(N_{T_i/S_i} \oplus \mathcal{O})$.

- ▶ For simplicity, we write

$$X_{S_i} \equiv X_{(S_i, F_i, F'_i)}$$

etc. when the bundles are from pullbacks (restrictions).

- ▶ The degeneration formula in GW theory says that

$$\langle \alpha \rangle^{X_{S_i}} = \sum_{\vec{\mu}} \langle \alpha_1 \mid \vec{\mu} \rangle^{\bullet(X_{S_{i+1}}, X_{E_i})} \langle \alpha_2 \mid \vec{\mu}^\vee \rangle^{\bullet(X_{P_i}, X_{E_i})}$$

where $\vec{\mu} = \{(\mu_i, e_i)\}$ is a $H(X_{E_i})$ -weighted partition.

- ▶ Thus, for both factors, we need to control

relative invariants for a smooth divisor pair (X_S, X_D)

by the *absolute invariants* of X_S and X_D .

- ▶ A trivial degeneration (to the normal cone)

$$S \sim S \cup_D P, \quad P = P_D(N \oplus \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\pi} D$$

leads to

$$\langle \alpha \rangle^{X_S} = \sum_{\vec{\mu}} \langle \alpha_1 \mid \vec{\mu} \rangle^{\bullet(X_S, X_D)} \langle \alpha_2 \mid \vec{\mu}^\vee \rangle^{\bullet(X_P, X_D)}.$$

- ▶ The problem becomes “inversion of this linear system”, with coefficients being relative invariants of (X_P, X_D) .
- ▶ Here $X_P \rightarrow X_D$ is a **split P^1 -bundle** arising from $\pi : P \rightarrow D$.
- ▶ Since $D = P_T(N_{T/S}) \rightarrow T$ has

$$\dim T < \dim S.$$

\implies the **absolute invariants** for X_P are handled inductively.

- ▶ To handle (X_P, X_D) , *fiberwise localization* was used in [Maulik–Pandharipande 2006].
- ▶ Among other technical issues, localizations create *descendants* which breaks \mathcal{F} -invariance.
- ▶ Now, to treat general $P = P_D(N \oplus \mathcal{O})$, localizations are replaced by *more complex degeneration argument* and
- ▶ the *strong virtual pushforward property*, which extends earlier works of [Hsin-Hong Lai 2008, Manolache 2012] from absolute GW to relative GW.

Review of relative obstruction theory

The universal curve $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}(X, \beta)$ with $f = \text{ev}_{n+1} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow \pi & & \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta), & & \end{array}$$

leads to a perfect obstruction theory and virtual cycle

$$E^\bullet := (R\pi_* f^* T_X)^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$$

[Li–Tian 1998, Behrend–Fantachi 1997].

Also a relative theory for

$$i : X \hookrightarrow X' \quad \text{with} \quad i_* : A_1(X) \hookrightarrow A_1(X')$$

Then

$$\bar{i} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X', i_*\beta),$$

with

$$E_{\bar{i}}^\bullet := (R\pi_* f^* \mathbf{L}_i^\vee)^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{\bar{i}}.$$

It is perfect if $g = 0$ and X' is convex (e.g. homogeneous).

Then the *virtual pull-back formula* holds:

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}} = \bar{i}^! [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X', i_*\beta)]^{\text{vir}}.$$

[Manolache 2012]:

Strong Virtual Pushforward for Relative GW

- ▶ Consider the split P^1 -bundle

$$\pi : Y = P_X(L \oplus \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow X,$$

which has two sections

$$i_0 : Y_0 \hookrightarrow Y, \quad i_\infty : Y_\infty \hookrightarrow Y.$$

- ▶ **Relative/Log GW invariants** on (Y, Y_0) and (Y, Y_∞) are called *type I*;
- ▶ those on $(Y, Y_0 \sqcup Y_\infty)$ are called *type II*.
- ▶ Relative/Log GW are equivalent for $g = 0$ [Abramovich et. al 2014].

- ▶ Let $(Y, Y_0 \sqcup Y_\infty)$, (Y, Y_0) and (Y, Y_∞) denote the log schemes, which are log smooth and integral. And

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(Y; \mu, \nu) := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}((Y, Y_0 \sqcup Y_\infty), \beta; \mu, \nu) \quad \text{etc.}$$

be the log stack of stable log maps with curve class β .

- ▶ μ, ν are partitions of

$$d_0 = \int_{\beta} Y_0, \quad d_\infty = \int_{\beta} Y_\infty,$$

(contact orders of marked points in Y_0 and Y_∞).

- ▶ When $\theta := \pi_* \beta \neq 0$ or $n \geq 3$, we have induced maps:

$$p : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(Y; \mu, \nu) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \theta),$$

$$q : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(Y; \nu) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \theta).$$

Lemma (Virtual dimension count)

1. $\dim [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(Y; \mu, \nu)]^{\text{vir}} = \dim [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \theta)]^{\text{vir}} + 1 - g.$
2. $\dim [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(Y; \nu)]^{\text{vir}} = \dim [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \theta)]^{\text{vir}} + 1 - g + \int_{\beta} Y_0.$

Proof. For log moduli stack we need to impose conditions by the contact orders:

In (1) it is $d_0 + d_{\infty}$ and in (2) it is d_{∞} .

Now

$$c_1(Y). \beta = (\pi^* c_1(X) + Y_0 + Y_{\infty}). \beta = c_1(X). \theta + d_0 + d_{\infty}.$$

Also

$$(\dim Y - \dim X)(1 - g) = 1 - g.$$

□

Proposition (Strong virtual pushforward for $g = 0$)

1. In $A_*([\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \theta)])$, there exists $N(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$p_*([\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(Y; \mu, \nu)]^{\text{vir}} = 0,$$

$$p_*([\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(Y; \mu, \nu)]^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{ev}_1^*[Y_0]) = N(\mu, \nu)[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \theta)]^{\text{vir}}.$$

2. Assume $\int_{\beta} Y_0 \geq 0$, then $q_*[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(Y; \nu)]^{\text{vir}} = 0$.

Proof. Choose $M \in \text{Pic } X$ such that M and $L \otimes M$ are both very ample. Then we have a cartesian diagram of embeddings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{j} & P(\mathcal{O}(-1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}) \\ \pi \downarrow & & \tilde{\pi} \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{i} & P^{|M|} \times P^{|L \otimes M|}, \end{array}$$

with $L = i^* \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)$. The proposition holds for $\tilde{\pi}$.

It induces a cartesian diagram between (log) stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(Y; \mu, \nu) & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(P(\mathcal{O}(-1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}); \mu, \nu) \\
 \downarrow p & & \downarrow \tilde{p} \\
 \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \theta) & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(P^{|M|} \times P^{|L \otimes M|}, (\int_{\theta} M, \int_{\theta} L \otimes M)).
 \end{array}$$

The *relative perfect obstruction theories* $E_{\bar{i}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\bar{i}}$ and $E_{\bar{j}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\bar{j}}$ fit in

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_{\bar{j}}^{\bullet} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{\bar{j}} \\
 \uparrow \approx & & \uparrow \\
 p^* E_{\bar{i}}^{\bullet} & \longrightarrow & p^* \mathbb{L}_{\bar{i}}
 \end{array}$$

since $p^* \mathbb{L}_{\bar{i}} \cong \mathbb{L}_{\bar{j}}$ (cf. Manolache). Now $\bar{i}^!$ and $\bar{j}^!$ pullback virtual cycles. The results for (π, p) follow from that for $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{p})$. \square

Back to (X_P, X_D) , i.e. type I invariants

- ▶ Recall $\pi : P = P_D(N \oplus \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow D$, with $P_0, P_\infty \cong D$, induces

$$\pi : X_P = P_{X_D}(L \oplus \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow X_D,$$

with $L = N_D|_{X_D}$ and sections $X_{P_0}, X_{P_\infty} \cong X_D$.

- ▶ A *non-vanishing theorem* modelled on $(P^1, \{0\}) \times X_{\text{pt}}$ is proved to show the invertibility of the linear system.
- ▶ **Claim:** under the trivial degenerations

$$P \sim P \cup_{P_\infty} P, \quad (P, P_0) \sim (P, P_0) \cup_{P_\infty} P,$$

the “*strong virtual pushforward*” and “*TRR for ancestors*” \implies *type I invariants* are determined by *absolute, type II, and rubber invariants* modulo lower degree ones.

- ▶ For $X_P \rightarrow Z_P \rightarrow P$, a class $\beta \in NE(X_P)$ has $(\beta_P, d) \in NE(P) \times \mathbb{Z}$ with $d = \int_{\beta} \zeta$. The *generating series*

$$\langle \alpha \rangle_{(\beta_P, d)}^{X_P} := \sum_{\beta \in (\beta_P, d)} \langle \alpha \rangle_{\beta}^{X_P} q^{\beta}$$

is a sum over the extremal ray. Similarly for type I, II, etc.

- ▶ Then **for ω being pullback insertions from X_D** , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \vec{v} \mid \omega \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k i_{\infty*}(\alpha_i) \right\rangle_{(\beta_P, d)}^{(X_P, X_{P_{\infty}})} = \\ & \sum_{I, \eta = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)} C_{\eta} \left\langle \vec{v} \mid \omega_1 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k i_{\infty*}(\alpha_i) \mid \mu, e^I \right\rangle_{\Gamma_1} \cdot \langle \mu, e_I \mid \omega_2 \rangle_{\Gamma_2}^{\bullet} \end{aligned}$$

spanned by type II and **type I series with pullback insertions**.

- ▶ Moreover, if $\int_{\beta_P} P_0 \geq 0$ then $\langle \omega \mid \vec{v} \rangle_{\beta_S, d}^{(X_P, X_{P_{\infty}})} = 0$.

Proposition (Type I reduction)

Assume $\int_{\beta_P} P_0 < 0$. An ordering is introduced on $\{\vec{v}\}$ such that

1. If $\vec{v} = \{(v_j, B_j)\} \neq \emptyset$ then there exists $C(\vec{v}) > 0$, $k(\vec{v}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$C(\vec{v}) \langle \omega \mid \vec{v} \rangle_{(\beta_P, d)}^{(X_P, X_{P_\infty})} - \left\langle \omega \cdot [X_{P_\infty}]^{k(\vec{v})} \cdot \prod_j \bar{\tau}_{v_j-1}(i_{\infty*}(B_j)) \right\rangle_{(\beta_P, d)}^{X_P}$$

is generated by “relative and rubber series” on X_P of class at most (β_P, d) , and those of (X_P, X_{P_∞}) involving class (β_P, d) whose orders are lower than $\langle \omega \mid \vec{v} \rangle_{(\beta_P, d)}$.

2. If $\vec{v} = \emptyset$ then

$$\langle \omega \mid \vec{v} \rangle_{(\beta_P, d)}^{(X_P, X_{P_\infty})} - \langle \omega \rangle_{(\beta_P, d)}^{X_P}$$

is generated by series of relative invariants on X_P with curve classes lower than (β_P, d) .

Theorem (Type II invariance)

\mathcal{F} -invariance for X_D implies \mathcal{F} -invariance for $(X_P, X_{P_0} \sqcup X_{P_\infty})$.

- ▶ For fiber class inv., i.e. $\beta \in NE(X_P/D)$, they are reduced to the cup product on a birational $D' \rightarrow D$ and the case

$$(P^1, \{0, \infty\}) \times X_{\text{pt}}.$$

Thus we consider non-fiber class type II-inv.

- ▶ Let $k \geq 0$ be the number of non-pullback insertions in $\pi : X_P \rightarrow X_D$. **If $k \leq 1$, the strong pushforward (1) applies.**
- ▶ If $k \geq 2$, since

$$[X_{P_0}] - [X_{P_\infty}] = \pi^* c_1(N_{X_D/X_P}),$$

modulo type II-inv with $k - 1$ non-pullback insertions, we may assume one is $i_{0*}(\alpha)$ and the others are $i_{\infty*}(\alpha_i)$.

The family $W = \text{Bl}_{X_{P_\infty} \times \{0\}} X_P \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X_P \times \mathbb{A}^1$ gives

$$\left\langle \vec{\mu} \mid \omega \cdot i_{0*}(\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} i_{\infty*}(\alpha_i) \mid \vec{\nu} \right\rangle_{(\beta_P, d)}^{(X_P, X_{P_0}, X_{P_\infty})} = \sum_{I, \eta} C_\eta \left\langle \vec{\mu} \mid \omega_1 \cdot i_{0*}(\alpha) \mid \lambda, e^I \right\rangle_{\Gamma_1}^\bullet \left\langle \lambda, e_I \mid \omega_2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} i_{\infty*}(\alpha_i) \mid \vec{\nu} \right\rangle_{\Gamma_2}^\bullet,$$

where $\eta = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ is the splitting type.

- ▶ Here $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2$ are pullbacks insertions from X_D .
- ▶ The RHS is determined by type II generating functions with at most $k - 1$ non-pullback insertions.
- ▶ This relation is compatible with \mathcal{F} -invariance, and the theorem follows by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We omit the discussion on **rubber calculus**.

QED