# THE CALDERÓN–ZYGMUND INEQUALITY AND PARAMETER DEPENDENCE OF THE BELTRAMI EQUATION

ZHENG-WEI WU

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mu$  be a complex measurable function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\|\mu\|_{\infty} \leq k < 1$ . We ask whether there exists a quasiconformal mapping f with dilation  $\mu_f = \mu$ . In other words, we are looking for solutions to the Beltrami equation

(1) 
$$f_{\bar{z}} = \mu f_z.$$

The solution f should be a homeomorphism with locally integrable distributional derivatives. In order to solve this equation, we define two integral operators P and T. The operator P is defined on functions  $h \in L^p$  with p > 2 by

$$Ph(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} h(z) \left( \frac{1}{z-\zeta} - \frac{1}{z} \right) \, dx \, dy.$$

It is shown that Ph is continuous and that the operator P is continuous with Hölder constant 1 - 2/p, that is,

$$|Ph(\zeta_1) - Ph(\zeta_2)| \le K_p ||h||_p |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|^{1-2/p}$$

where  $K_p$  is a constant depending only on p. The second operator T is defined only for compactly supported functions  $h \in C_0^2$ , by

$$Th(\zeta) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|z-\zeta| > \epsilon} \frac{h(z)}{(z-\zeta)^2} \, dx \, dy.$$

The operators P and T then satisfy the following relations.

**Lemma 1.1.** For  $h \in C_0^2$ , Th is continuously differentiable (i.e.,  $C^1$ ). Moreover, we have

(2) 
$$(Ph)_{\bar{z}} = h, \quad (Ph)_{z} = Th,$$

and

(3) 
$$\int |Th|^2 \, dx \, dy = \int |h|^2 \, dx \, dy$$

The isometric property of T allows us to extend T to  $L^2$  by continuity since  $C_0^2$  in dense in  $L^2$ , but it is difficult to extend P in a similar manner so that Lemma 1.1 still holds for  $h \in L^2$ . Nevertheless, Calderón and Zygmund showed that the isometric relation (3) can be replaced by

$$\|Th\|_p \le C_p \|h\|_p$$

for any  $p \geq 2$ . In addition, the constant  $C_p$  tends to 1 as  $p \to 2$ . This enables us to extend T to  $L^p$ . In particular, for p > 2 the differential relations (2) of P is well-defined and hold in the distributional sense by approximating  $h \in L^p$  using  $h_n \in C_0^2$ . We shall use a fixed exponent p > 2 so that  $kC_p < 1$ .

#### ZHENG-WEI WU

Now, if  $\mu$  has compact support, then the Beltrami equation (1) can be uniquely solved by expressing the solution in terms of T and P. Such solution is called a normal solution.

**Theorem 1.2.** If  $\mu$  has compact support, there exists a unique solution f of (1) such that f(0) = 0 and  $f_z - 1 \in L^p$ .

The solution f is a homeomorphism if we first assume that  $\mu$  has distributional derivative  $\mu_z \in L^p$ , p > 2. Then, by choosing a sequence  $\mu_n \in C^1$  with  $\mu_n \to \mu$  almost everywhere, we can obtain a sequence of normal solutions  $f_n$  which converges to the solution f with complex dilation  $\mu$ . More generally, the assumption that  $\mu$  is compactly supported can be removed, and we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** For any measurable  $\mu$  with  $\|\mu\|_{\infty} < 1$ , there exists a unique normalized quasiconformal mapping  $f^{\mu}$  with complex dilation  $\mu$  that leaves 0, 1, and  $\infty$  fixed.

The first part of this report is dedicated to prove the Calderón–Zygmund inequality (4). After that, we will discuss the behavior of the solution  $f^{\mu}$  as we vary the parameter  $\mu$ .

## 2. The Calderón–Zygmund Inequality

Let us first consider a one-dimensional analog to the problem.

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $f \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R})$ , define

$$Hf(\xi) = \text{pr. v. } \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x-\xi} \, dx.$$

Then  $||Hf||_p \le A_p ||f||_p$  for  $p \ge 2$  with  $A_2 = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$F(\zeta) = u + iv = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x - \zeta} \, dx,$$

where  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$  is defined on the upper half-plane. Then F is by definition analytic. Since the imaginary part

$$v(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{(x-\xi)^2 + \eta^2} f(x) \, dx$$

is the Poisson integral, we have  $v(\xi, 0) = f(\xi)$ . On the other hand, for the real part we write

$$\begin{aligned} u(\xi,\eta) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x-\xi}{(x-\xi)^2 + \eta^2} f(x) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(\xi+x) - f(\xi-x)}{x} \frac{x^2}{x^2 + \eta^2} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $f \in C_0^1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(\xi,\eta) - Hf(\xi)| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{f(\xi+x) - f(\xi-x)}{x} \right| \left| \frac{x^2}{x^2 + \eta^2} - 1 \right| \, dx \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta^2}{x^2 + \eta^2} \, dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

Now, noting that F = u + iv is analytic, by a direct calculation we see that

$$\begin{split} \Delta |u|^p &= p(p-1)|u|^{p-2}(u_{\xi}^2+u_{\eta}^2)\\ \Delta |v|^p &= p(p-1)|v|^{p-2}(u_{\xi}^2+u_{\eta}^2)\\ \Delta |F|^p &= p^2|F|^{p-2}(u_{\xi}^2+u_{\eta}^2) \end{split}$$

holds almost everywhere. Thus, we find that

$$\Delta\left(|F|^p - \frac{p}{p-1}|u|^p\right) = p^2(|F|^{p-2} - |u|^{p-2})(u_{\xi}^2 + u_{\eta}^2) \ge 0.$$

Let  $g = |F|^p - \frac{p}{p-1}|u|^p$ . Applying Stokes theorem to a semicircle  $S_R$ , we have

$$0 \leq \int_{S_R} \Delta g = \int_{A_R} g_{\zeta}(\zeta) \, d|\zeta| - \int_{-R}^R g_{\eta}(\zeta) \, d\xi,$$

where  $A_R$  is the arc of  $S_R$ . Since

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \zeta} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{(x-\zeta)^2} \, dx,$$

we have  $g_{\zeta}(\zeta) = O(|\zeta|^{-2})$ . It follows that by taking  $R \to \infty$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\zeta) \, d\xi \le 0,$$

from which we see that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\zeta) d\xi$  is decreasing in  $\eta$ . Also, it is easy to see that this integral vanishes as  $\eta \to \infty$ , so we can deduce that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(\xi + i\eta)|^p \, d\xi \ge \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u(\xi + i\eta)|^p \, d\xi$$

for every  $\eta > 0$ . Now, observe that

$$\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2/p} \|u^2\|_{p/2} \le \left(\int |F|^p \, d\xi\right)^{2/p} \\ \le \|u^2 + v^2\|_{p/2} \\ \le \|u^2\|_{p/2} + \|v^2\|_{p/2}.$$

Thus,

$$\int |u|^p \, d\xi \le \left( \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{2/p} - 1 \right)^{-p/2} \int |v|^p \, d\xi,$$

and the proof concludes by letting  $\eta \to 0$ .

We proceed to prove the Calderón–Zygmund inequality. Recall that

$$Th = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|z-\zeta| > \epsilon} \frac{h(z)}{(z-\zeta)^2} \, dx \, dy$$

is defined for  $h\in C_0^2.$  We will extend T to  $L^p$  for  $p\geq 2$  so that T satisfies  $\|Th\|_p\leq C_p\|h\|_p$ 

$$|Th||_p \le C_p ||h||_p$$

and  $C_p \to 1$  as  $p \to 2$ . Define the operator

$$T^*f(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int f(z+\zeta) \frac{dx\,dy}{z|z|}, \quad f \in C_0^2$$

Let  $z = re^{i\theta}$ . Then we have

$$T^*f(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\zeta + re^{i\theta}) - f(\zeta - re^{i\theta})}{r} \, dr\right) e^{-i\theta} \, d\theta.$$

Taking the (two-dimensional) p-norm, we see that

$$\|T^*f\|_p \le \frac{\pi}{2} \max_{\theta \in [0,\pi]} \left\| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\zeta + re^{i\theta}) - f(\zeta - re^{i\theta})}{r} \, dr \right\|_p$$

Now, write  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$  and let  $g_{\eta}^{\theta}(\xi) = f(e^{i\theta}(\xi + i\eta))$ . Note that the norm on the right does not change if we replace  $\zeta$  with  $\zeta e^{i\theta}$ , in which case the integral becomes

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(e^{i\theta}(\zeta+r)) - f(e^{i\theta}(\zeta-r))}{r} \, dr = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{g_\eta^\theta(\xi+r) - g_\eta^\theta(\xi-r)}{r} \, dr = Hg_\xi^\theta(\xi).$$

Therefore, we can use Lemma 2.1 to obtain

$$\begin{split} \|Hg^{\theta}_{\eta}(\xi)\|_{p}^{p} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Hg^{\theta}_{\eta}(\xi)|^{p} \, d\xi \, d\eta \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_{p}^{p} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g^{\theta}_{\eta}(\xi)|^{p} \, d\xi \right) d\eta \\ &= A_{p}^{p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(e^{i\theta}\zeta)|^{p} \, d\xi \, d\eta = A_{p}^{p} \|f\|_{p}, \end{split}$$

and we find that  $||T^*f||_p \leq \frac{\pi}{2}A_p||f||_p$ . Now, by continuity we extend  $T^*$  to  $L^p$ . If we can show that  $Tf = -T^*T^*f$  for  $f \in C_0^2$ , then T is natrually extended to  $L^p$  and the proof is completed. Note that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(1/|z|) = -1/2z|z|$ . Recall that integration by parts gives

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \, dx \, dy &= \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{i}{2} \int_{|z| < R} f \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \, dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &= \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{i}{2} \int_{|z| < R} f \, d(g \, d\bar{z}) \\ &= \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{i}{2} \left( \int_{|z| = R} f g \, d\bar{z} - \int_{|z| < R} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} g \, dz \wedge d\bar{z} \right) \\ &= \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{i}{2} \int_{|z| = R} f g \, d\bar{z} - \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} g \, dx \, dy \end{split}$$

whenever it makes sense. If  $f \in C_0^2$ , we obtain

(5)  

$$T^*f(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int f(z+\zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{|z|} dx dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int f_z(z+\zeta) \frac{1}{|z|} dx dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \int f(z) \frac{dx dy}{|z-\zeta|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \int f(z) \left(\frac{1}{|z-\zeta|} - \frac{1}{|z|}\right) dx dy.$$

4

For any test function  $\phi$ , it follows that

$$\int T^* f(\zeta)\phi(\zeta) \,d\xi \,d\eta = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int f(z) \left(\frac{1}{|z-\zeta|} - \frac{1}{|z|}\right) \phi_{\zeta}(\zeta) \,dx \,dy \,d\xi \,d\eta$$

This remains true for  $f \in L^p$  since the integral on the right is absolutely convergent. In other words, (5) holds for  $f \in L^p$  in the distributional sense. Applying  $T^*$  to (5) again, we have

$$T^{*}T^{*}f(w) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\int T^{*}f(\zeta)\left(\frac{1}{|\zeta-w|} - \frac{1}{|\zeta|}\right)d\xi\,d\eta$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\int\left(\int\frac{f_{z}(z)\,dx\,dy}{|z-\zeta|}\right)\left(\frac{1}{|\zeta-w|} - \frac{1}{|\zeta|}\right)d\xi\,d\eta$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\int f_{z}(z)\left(\int\frac{1}{|z-\zeta|}\left(\frac{1}{|\zeta-w|} - \frac{1}{|\zeta|}\right)d\xi\,d\eta\right)dx\,dy$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\int f(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\int\frac{1}{|z-\zeta|}\left(\frac{1}{|\zeta-w|} - \frac{1}{|\zeta|}\right)d\xi\,d\eta\right)dx\,dy.$$

We try to compute the integrand

(7) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{|\zeta - w| < R} \frac{1}{|z - \zeta|} \left( \frac{1}{|\zeta - w|} - \frac{1}{|\zeta|} \right) d\xi \, d\eta.$$

The differentiation and limit can be interchanged, since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{|\zeta - w| < R} \frac{1}{|z - \zeta|} \left( \frac{1}{|\zeta - w|} - \frac{1}{|\zeta|} \right) d\xi \, d\eta$$

converges to zero as  $R \to \infty$  unifomly on compact sets. Moreover, we may replace (7) with

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{|\zeta - w| < R} \frac{d\xi \, d\eta}{|z - \zeta| |\zeta - w|} - \int_{|\zeta| < R} \frac{d\xi \, d\eta}{|\zeta| |z - \zeta|},$$

for their difference converges to zero uniformly as  $R \to \infty$ . By a change of variable  $\zeta \mapsto \zeta |z - w| + w$ , the first term becomes

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{|\zeta-w| < R} \frac{d\xi \, d\eta}{|z-\zeta||\zeta-w|} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{|\zeta| < R/|z-w|} \frac{d\xi \, d\eta}{|1-\zeta||\zeta|} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_0^{R/|z-w|} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dr \, d\theta}{1-re^{i\theta}} \\ &= -\frac{R}{2(z-w)|z-w|} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|1-Re^{i\theta}/|z-w||}, \end{split}$$

which is easily seen to tend to  $-\pi/(z-w)$  as  $R \to \infty$ . Similarly, the second term converges to  $-\pi/z$ . Putting them back into (6), we conclude that

$$T^*T^*f(w) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\int f(z)\left(\frac{1}{z-w} - \frac{1}{z}\right)dx\,dy$$
$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial w}P(w) = -Tf(w).$$

This completes the proof of (4).

To see that  $C_p \to 1$  as  $p \to 2$ , we show more generally that  $\log C_p$  is a convex function, and then the assertion follows by continuity since from (3) we know that  $C_p = 2$  when p = 2. This result is also called the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** (Riesz-Thorin). The best constant  $C_p$  is such that  $\log C_p$  is a convex function of 1/p.

We first prove an easy lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose  $1 \le p, q \le \infty$  with 1/p + 1/q = 1. If  $f \in L^p$ , then

$$||f||_p = \sup_{||g||_q=1} \int fg.$$

*Proof.* We follow the proof in [SS11]. If f = 0 then there is nothing to prove, so we may assume  $f \neq 0$ . By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int fg \le \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

Taking the supremum over  $||g||_q \leq 1$  we have one side of the inequality. To prove the reverse inequality, consider the sign function

$$\operatorname{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

If p = 1 and  $q = \infty$ , we may take  $g(x) = \operatorname{sgn} f(x)$ . Then clearly  $||g||_{\infty} = 1$  and  $\int fg = ||f||_1$ . If  $1 < p, q < \infty$ , then we set

$$g(x) = \frac{|f(x)|^{p-1}}{\|f\|_p^{p-1}} \operatorname{sgn} f(x).$$

Observe that

$$||g||_q^q = \int \frac{|f(x)|^{q(p-1)}}{||f||_p^{q(p-1)}} = 1$$

since q(p-1) = p, and that  $\int fg = ||f||_p$ . Finally, if  $p = \infty$  and q = 1, let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and E a set of finite positive measure on which  $|f(x)| \ge ||f||_{\infty} - \epsilon$  (whose existence is from the definition of  $\infty$ -norm). Take

$$g(x) = \frac{\chi_E(x)}{\mu(E)} \operatorname{sgn} f(x),$$

where  $\chi_E$  is the characteristic function of E. Then we see that  $||g||_1 = 1$ , and also

$$\int fg = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E |f| \ge \|f\|_{\infty} - \epsilon$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let  $p_1 = 1/\alpha_1$  and  $p_2 = 1/\alpha_2$  with  $p_1, p_2 \ge 2$ . Assume that

$$\|Tf\|_{1/\alpha_1} \le C_1 \|f\|_{1/\alpha_1},$$
  
$$\|Tf\|_{1/\alpha_2} \le C_2 \|f\|_{1/\alpha_2}.$$

If  $\alpha = (1-t)\alpha_1 + t\alpha_2$  for  $0 \le t \le 1$ , we show that

$$||Tf||_{1/\alpha} \le C_1^{1-t} C_2^t ||f||_{1/\alpha}.$$

We write  $\alpha'$  for the conjugate exponent of  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\alpha + \alpha' = 1$ . For fixed f, g and any complex  $\zeta$ , define

$$F(\zeta) = |f|^{\alpha(\zeta)/\alpha} \frac{f}{|f|},$$
  
$$G(\zeta) = |g|^{\alpha(\zeta)'/\alpha'} \frac{g}{|g|},$$

where  $\alpha(\zeta) = (1 - \zeta)\alpha_1 + \zeta\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha(\zeta)' = 1 - \alpha(\zeta)$ , with the knowledge that  $F(\zeta) = 0$  and  $G(\zeta) = 0$  whenever f = 0 and g = 0. Note that F(t) = f and G(t) = g for real  $0 \le t \le 1$ . Set

$$\phi(\zeta) = \int TF(\zeta) \cdot G(\zeta) \, dx \, dy.$$

Notice that  $\zeta$  is viewed as a parameter and  $F(\zeta), G(\zeta)$  are functions of z = x + iy. Since simple functions with compact support are dense in any  $L^p$ , we may assume that f, g are such functions. It follows that F, G are also simple, and we may write  $F(\zeta) = \sum_i F_i \chi_i$  and  $G(\zeta) = \sum_j G_j \chi_j^*$ . Thus,

$$\phi(\zeta) = \sum_{i,j} F_i G_j \int T\chi_i \cdot \chi_j^* \, dx \, dy,$$

from which we see that  $\phi$  is an exponential polynomial of the form  $\phi(\zeta) = \sum_i a_i e^{\lambda_i \zeta}$ with  $\lambda_i$  real. Therefore  $\phi$  is bounded if  $\xi = \operatorname{Re} \zeta$  is bounded. Consider now the special cases  $\xi = 0$  and  $\xi = 1$ . If  $\xi = 0$  then  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha(\zeta) = \alpha_1$  and hence  $|F(\zeta)| = |f|^{\alpha_1/\alpha}$ and  $|G(\zeta)| = |g|^{\alpha'_1/\alpha'}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(\zeta)\|_{1/\alpha_1} &= (\|f\|_{1/\alpha})^{\alpha_1/\alpha}, \\ \|G(\zeta)\|_{1/\alpha_1'} &= (\|g\|_{1/\alpha'})^{\alpha_1'/\alpha'} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume the normalization  $||f||_{1/\alpha} = 1$  for simplicity, and we obtain

 $|\phi(\zeta)| \le ||TF(\zeta)||_{1/\alpha_1} ||G(\zeta)||_{1/\alpha_1'} \le C_1.$ 

A similar argument shows that  $|\phi(\zeta)| \leq C_2$  when  $\xi = 1$ . Hence we conclude that

$$\log |\phi(\zeta)| - (1 - \xi) \log C_1 - \xi \log C_2 \le 0$$

on the boundary of the strip  $0 \le \xi \le 1$ . Since the function on the left is subharmonic, the maximum principle applies and thus the inequality holds in the strip. The theorem follows by taking  $\zeta = t$ .

#### 3. PARAMETER DEPENDENCE OF THE BELTRAMI EQUATION

Recall that  $f^{\mu}$  is the solution of the Beltrami equation with fixpoints at  $0, 1, \infty$ . We start with two lemmata.

**Lemma 3.1** (Cauchy–Pompeiu formula). Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region and let  $f : \overline{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a  $C^1$  function. Then for any  $\zeta \in U$ ,

$$f(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(z) dz}{z - \zeta} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{f_{\overline{z}}(z)}{z - \zeta} dx dy.$$

*Proof.* For  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $\Delta_{\epsilon}(\zeta)$  denote the disk with radius  $\epsilon$  at  $\zeta$ . Then by Stokes theorem we have

$$\int_{\partial D} \frac{f(z) dz}{z - \zeta} - \int_{\partial \Delta_{\epsilon}(\zeta)} \frac{f(z) dz}{z - \zeta} = \int_{D \setminus \Delta_{\epsilon}(\zeta)} d\left(\frac{f(z) dz}{z - \zeta}\right) = -\int_{D \setminus \Delta_{\epsilon}(\zeta)} \frac{f_{\bar{z}}(z)}{z - \zeta} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$$
  
Since

 $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Delta_{\epsilon}(\zeta)} \frac{f(z) dz}{z - \zeta} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(z + \epsilon e^{i\theta}) d\theta = f(z)$ 

by continuity, the result follows by letting  $\epsilon \to 0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $k = \|\mu\|_{\infty} \to 0$ , then  $\|f_z^{\mu} - 1\|_{1,p} \to 0$  for all p, where  $\|\cdot\|_{R,p}$  denotes the p-norm over  $|z| \leq R$ .

*Proof.* We first show the case where  $\mu$  has compact support. Let  $F^{\mu}$  be the normal solution obtained in Theorem 1.2, in whose proof we recall that  $h = F_z^{\mu} - 1$  is obtained from

$$h = T(\mu h) + T\mu$$

This implies that  $||h||_p \leq C ||\mu||_p \to 0$  as long as  $kC_p < 1$ . Since  $f^{\mu} = F^{\mu}/F^{\mu}(1)$  is just a normalization, and  $F^{\mu}(1) \to 1$ , the assertion is clear for compactly supported  $\mu$ .

Let  $\check{f}(z) = 1/f(1/z)$ . We show that  $\|\check{f}_z^{\mu} - 1\|_{1,p} \to 0$  as well when  $\mu$  has compact support. Again it suffices to prove the corresponding statement for the normal solution  $\check{F}^{\mu}$ . Observe that

$$\int_{|z|<1} |\check{F}_z - 1|^p \, dx \, dy = \int_{|z|>1} \left| \frac{z^2 F_z(z)}{F(z)^2} - 1 \right|^p \frac{dx \, dy}{|z|^4}.$$

For 1 < |z| < R, the integral can be written as

$$\int_{1 < |z| < R} \left| \frac{z^2 (F_z(z) - 1)}{F(z)} + \frac{z^2}{F(z)^2} - 1 \right|^p \frac{dx \, dy}{|z|^4},$$

which converges to zero since  $||F_z - 1||_{R,p} \to 0$  and  $F(z) \to z$  uniformly. It is also easy to see that the integral vanishes for |z| > R.

In the general case we write  $f = \check{g} \circ h$  with  $\mu_h = \mu_f$  inside the unit disk, and h analytic outside. Note that  $\mu_g$  and  $\mu_h$  are both bounded by k and have compact support. Since

$$f_z = (\check{g}_z \circ h)h_z + (\check{g}_{\bar{z}} \circ h)\overline{h}_z = (\check{g}_z \circ h)h_z,$$

in the unit disk, we have

$$||f_z - 1||_{1,p} \le ||((\check{g}_z - 1) \circ h)h_z||_{1,p} + ||h_z - 1||_{1,p}$$

The second term tends to zero by our previous case. For the first term on the right, we obtain by a change of variable

$$\begin{split} \|((\check{g}_{z}-1)\circ h)h_{z}\|_{1,p}^{p} &= \int_{|z|<1} |(\check{g}_{z}-1)\circ h|^{p}|h_{z}|^{p} \, dx \, dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-k^{2}} \int_{h(\{|z|<1\})} |\check{g}_{z}-1|^{p}|hc_{z}\circ h^{-1}|^{p-2} \, dx \, dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-k^{2}} \left( \int_{h(\{|z|<1\})} |\check{g}_{z}-1|^{2p} \int_{|z|<1} |h_{z}|^{2(p-2)} \right)^{1/2} \to 0, \end{split}$$

where we used the fact that the Jacobian determinant is  $J(h) = |h_z|^2(1-\mu^2)$ . Note that the region  $h(\{|z| < 1\})$  may be slightly different from the unit disk, but this does not change the result as can be seen from the proof for  $\check{f}$ . This completes the proof.

Now, we assume that  $\mu$  depends on a parameter t in the form

$$\mu(z,t) = t\nu(z) + t\epsilon(z,t),$$

where  $\nu, \epsilon \in L^{\infty}$  and  $\|\epsilon(z,t)\|_{\infty} \to 0$  as  $t \to 0$ . We will derive a first-order approximation for  $f^{\mu} = f(z,t)$  by finding a formula for the *t*-derivative  $\dot{f} = \partial f / \partial t$  at t = 0. For  $|\zeta| < 1$ , we write

(8) 
$$f(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} \frac{f(z) \, dz}{z-\zeta} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|z|<1} \frac{f_{\bar{z}}(z)}{z-\zeta} \, dx \, dy.$$

Replacing z with 1/z, the first integral on the right becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} \frac{f(1/z) \, dz}{z(1-z\zeta)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} f(1/z) \left(\frac{1}{z} + \zeta + \frac{z\zeta^2}{1-z\zeta}\right) dz \\ &= A + B\zeta + \frac{\zeta^2}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} \frac{\check{f}(z)^{-1}z}{1-z\zeta} \, dz \\ &= A + B\zeta - \frac{\zeta^2}{\pi} \int_{|z|<1} \frac{\check{f}_{\bar{z}}(z)z}{\check{f}(z)^2(1-z\zeta)} \, dx \, dy, \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence at the last line holds for t sufficiently small so that  $\|\check{\mu}\|_{\infty} = K < 2$  since  $|\check{f}(z)| > C|z|^K$  for  $|z| = \delta$  small and

$$\int_{|z|=\delta} \frac{|\check{f}(z)^{-1}||z|}{|1-z\zeta|} |dz| < C' \delta^{2-K} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \delta \to 0.$$

The constants A and B can be solved by the normalization f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|z|<1} \frac{f_{\bar{z}}(z)}{z} \, dx \, dy, \\ B &= \zeta + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|z|<1} f_{\bar{z}}(z) \left(\frac{1}{z-1} - \frac{1}{z}\right) dx \, dy + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|z|<1} \frac{\check{f}_{\bar{z}}(z)z}{\check{f}(z)^2(1-z)} \, dx \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (8) becomes

$$\begin{split} f(\zeta) &= \zeta - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|z|<1} f_{\bar{z}}(z) \left( \frac{1}{z-\zeta} - \frac{\zeta}{z-1} + \frac{\zeta-1}{z} \right) dx \, dy \\ &- \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|z|<1} \frac{\check{f}_{\bar{z}}(z)}{\check{f}(z)^2} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 z}{1-z\zeta} - \frac{\zeta z}{1-z} \right) dx \, dy. \end{split}$$

We write  $f_{\bar{z}} = \mu f_z = \mu (f_z - 1) + \mu$  and use a corresponding expression for  $\check{f}_{\bar{z}}$  with  $\check{\mu}(z) = (z/\bar{z})^2 \mu(1/z)$ . Since  $||f_{\bar{z}} - 1||_{1,p} \to 0$  and  $||\check{f}_{\bar{z}} - 1||_{1,p} \to 0$  by Lemma 3.2,

and also  $\mu/t \to \nu$  as  $t \to \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \dot{f}(\zeta) &= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(\zeta) - \zeta}{t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|z| < 1} \nu(z) \left( \frac{1}{z - \zeta} - \frac{\zeta}{z - 1} + \frac{\zeta - 1}{z} \right) dx \, dy \\ &- \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|z| < 1} \nu(1/z) \frac{1}{\bar{z}^2} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 z}{1 - z\zeta} - \frac{\zeta z}{1 - z} \right) dx \, dy, \end{split}$$

where we used the fact that  $z^2/\check{f}(z)^2 \to 1$ . Note that the convergence is uniform in a compact subset of  $|\zeta| < 1$ . Now, observe that under inversion  $z \mapsto 1/z$ , the integrand of the second integral is the same as that in the first integral, and the domain becomes |z| > 1. Hence we find that

$$\dot{f}(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \nu(z) R(z,\zeta) \, dx \, dy,$$

where

$$R(z,\zeta) = \frac{1}{z-\zeta} - \frac{\zeta}{z-1} + \frac{\zeta-1}{z} = \frac{\zeta(\zeta-1)}{z(z-1)(z-\zeta)}$$

We now expand  $\mu(t)$  about an arbitrary  $t_0$ , that is, we assume

$$\mu(t) = \mu(t_0) + \nu(t_0)(t - t_0) + o(t - t_0).$$

Consider

$$f^{\mu(t)} = f^{\lambda} \circ f^{\mu(t_0)},$$

where

$$\lambda = \lambda(t) = \left(\frac{\mu(t_0)}{1 - \mu(t)\overline{\mu}(t_0)} \cdot \frac{f_z^{\mu_0}}{\overline{f}_z^{\mu_0}}\right) \circ (f^{\mu_0})^{-1}$$

by the composition of quasiconformal mappings. It is clear that  $\lambda(t) = (t-t_0)\dot{\lambda}(t_0) + o(t-t_0)$  with

$$\dot{\lambda}(t_0) = \left(\frac{\nu(t_0)}{1 - |\mu_0|^2} \cdot \frac{f_z^{\mu_0}}{\overline{f}_{\bar{z}}^{\mu_0}}\right) \circ (f^{\mu_0})^{-1}.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\zeta, t_0) &= \dot{f} \circ f^{\mu_0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \left( \frac{\nu(t_0)}{1 - |\mu_0|^2} \cdot \frac{f_z^{\mu_0}}{\bar{f}_{\bar{z}}^{\mu_0}} \right) \circ (f^{\mu_0})^{-1} R(z, f^{\mu_0}(\zeta)) \, dx \, dy \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \nu(t_0, z) (f_z^{\mu_0})^2 R(f^{\mu_0}(z), f^{\mu_0}(\zeta)) \, dx \, dy. \end{split}$$

This is the general perturbation formula. Our result is summarized as follows.

## Theorem 3.3. Suppose

$$\begin{split} \mu(t+s)(z) &= \mu(t)(z) + s\nu(t)(z) + s\epsilon(s,t)(z) \\ with \ \nu(t), \nu(t), \epsilon(s,t) \in L^{\infty}, \ \|\mu(t)\|_{\infty} < 1, \ and \ \|\epsilon(s,t)\|_{\infty} \to 0 \ as \ s \to 0. \ Then \\ f^{\mu(t+s)}(\zeta) &= f^{\mu(t)}(\zeta) + s\dot{f}(\zeta,t) + o(s) \end{split}$$

10

uniformly on compact sets, where

$$\dot{f}(\zeta,t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \nu(t)(z) (f_z^{\mu(t)}(z))^2 R(f^{\mu(t)}(z), f^{\mu(t)}(\zeta)) \, dx \, dy.$$
  
REFERENCES

- [Ahl06] Lars V. Ahlfors, Lectures on quasiconformal mappings, University lecture series, American Mathematical Society, 2006.
- [SS11] Elias M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi, Functional analysis: Introduction to further topics in analysis, Princeton University Press, 2011.