

臺灣大學數學系

八十九學年度第一學期碩博士班資格考試試題

統計與機率

[\[回上頁\]](#)

§機率與統計擇一科作答, 如兩科都作答, 皆以零分計算.

§機率 Probability (70/100)

1. (15/100)(1.1) State and prove the weak law of large numbers.
(1.2) (*Weierstrass Approximation Theorem.*) Given a continuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ construct first the *Bernstein polynomials* $\{B_n(x; f), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ associate with f . Then apply the weak law of large numbers to prove that $B_n(x; f) \rightarrow f(x)$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$.
2. (20/100) (2.1) Show that for any random variables X one has
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X| \geq n) \leq E(X) \leq 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X| \geq n).$$
(2.2) Let (Y_n) be an iid sequence of random variables. Show that $E(|Y_1|) < \infty$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Y_n|/n = 0$ almost surely (a.s.). (Hint. Apply Borel-Cantelli lemma and the result in (2.1) with $X = Y_1/\varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$.)
3. (20/100) (3.1) Let (X_n) be a sequence of random variables. Assume it is known that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ converges in probability (i.p.) if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ converges a.s. Apply this result to prove that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(X_n) < \infty$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n - E(X_n))$ converges a.s.
(3.2) Let (Z_n) be an iid sequence of random variables with $E(Z_n) = 0$, $\text{Var}(Z_n) = 1$. Denote $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j$. Apply the result in (3.1) and Kronecker's lemma to find the range

of δ , as large as you can, for which $\frac{S_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log n)^\delta} \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

4.

(15/100) (Solve only one of the following two questions.)

(4.1)

Suppose $X_n, n \geq 0; Y_n, n \geq 1$ are random variables such that X_n converges to X_0 in distribution and Y_n converges to 0 i.p. Show that $X_n + Y_n$ converges to X_0 in distribution.

(4.2)

Let probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ and sub-field $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be given. Let X, Y be two random variables such that $X, XY \in L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ and Y is \mathcal{G} -measurable.

Show that $E[XY|\mathcal{G}] = YE[X|\mathcal{G}]$ a.s.

§統計

Let $\chi_{\alpha, df}^2$ denote the α -th quantile of Chi-square distribution with degree of freedom df .

df	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
$\chi_{0.05, df}^2$	11.59	12.34	13.09	13.85	14.61	15.38	16.15	16.93	17.71	18.49
$\chi_{0.95, df}^2$	32.67	33.92	35.17	36.42	37.65	38.89	40.11	41.34	42.56	43.77
df	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
$\chi_{0.05, df}^2$	19.28	20.07	20.87	21.66	22.47	23.27	24.07	24.88	25.7	26.51
$\chi_{0.95, df}^2$	44.99	46.19	47.4	48.6	49.8	51	52.19	53.38	54.57	55.76
df	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
$\chi_{0.05, df}^2$	27.33	28.14	28.96	29.79	30.61	31.44	32.27	33.1	33.93	34.76
$\chi_{0.95, df}^2$	56.94	58.12	59.3	60.48	61.66	62.83	64	65.17	66.34	67.5
df	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
$\chi_{0.05, df}^2$	35.6	36.44	37.28	38.12	38.96	39.8	40.65	41.49	42.34	43.19
$\chi_{0.95, df}^2$	68.67	69.83	70.99	72.15	73.31	74.47	75.62	76.78	77.93	79.08

1.

1. (A bio-assay problem) Suppose that the probability of death $p(x)$ is related to the

dose x of a certain drug in the following manner

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\alpha + \beta x)}},$$

where $\alpha > 0, \beta \in R$ are unknown parameters. In an experiment, k different(given) doses x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k of the drug are considered, dose level x_i is applied to n_i (given) animals and the number Y_i of deaths among them are recorded. Derive sufficient statistics for (α, β) . (8 points)

2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables with p.d.f. $f(\cdot; \theta), \theta \in \Omega \subset R$, and let $\vec{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m), T_j = T_j(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n), j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. be any statistic. Let $U = U(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ be an unbiased statistic for θ . Prove or disprove that

1. $E_\theta(U | \vec{T})$ is an unbiased statistic for θ . (4 points)

2. $\sigma_\theta^2[E_\theta(U | \vec{T})] \leq \sigma_\theta^2[U]$. (3 points)

2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with p.d.f. f given by

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}, \quad x > 0, \theta > 0.$$

1. Derive(base on Neyman-Pearson Lemma) the uniformly most powerful test for testing the hypothesis $H : \theta = \theta_0$ against the alternative $A : \theta < \theta_0$ at level of significance α . (8 points)
2. Determine the minimum sample size n required to obtain power at least **0.95** against the alternative $\theta = 500$ when $\theta_0 = 1000$ and $\alpha = 0.05$.(7 points)

[\[回上頁\]](#)