

2) Jordan form (I)

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V = n$$

V : finite dim'l vector space

$T: V \rightarrow V$ linear transformation

Def. V is T -cyclic if

V is spanned by $\{v, Tv, \dots, T^{n-1}v\}$

for some v

Theorem (★★)

Suppose $V = \ker T^m$ for some m

(hence $V = \ker T^n$)

Then V is a direct sum of T -cyclic subspaces.

proof We shall prove by induction on dim'l of V .

If $\dim V = 1$, then V is T -cyclic by definition.

Consider the invariant subspace $\text{Im} T = TV \subset V$

Since $T^n = 0$ ($\Rightarrow \det T = 0 \Rightarrow \ker T \neq 0$)

$$\Rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Im} T < \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V$$

$\text{Im} T \subset V \Rightarrow T^n$ kills $\text{Im} T$

\parallel
 n

By induction hypothesis, $\text{Im} T$ is a direct sum

of T -cyclic subspace. Namely, there exist

$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s \in \text{Im} T$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}' := \{ w_1, Tw_1, \dots, T^{m_1-1} w_1, (T^{m_1} w_1 = 0) \}$$

$$w_2, Tw_2, \dots, T^{m_2-1} w_2, (T^{m_2} w_2 = 0)$$

$$\vdots$$
$$w_s, Tw_s, \dots, T^{m_s-1} w_s, (T^{m_s} w_s = 0) \}$$

is a basis of $\text{Im} T = TV$.

• $\dim \text{Im} T = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_s$.

Consider $\{T^{m_1-1}w_1, \dots, T^{m_s-1}w_s\} \subset \text{ker} T$

By definition, $\{T^{m_1-1}w_1, \dots, T^{m_s-1}w_s\}$ is linearly indep.

$\Rightarrow r := \dim \text{ker} T \geq s$.

Let $\{T^{m_1-1}w_1, \dots, T^{m_s-1}w_s, w_{s+1}, \dots, w_r\}$ be a basis of $\text{ker} T$.

Choose v_1, \dots, v_s such that $T v_i = w_i$
 $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Set $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_1, T v_1, \dots, T^{m_s} v_1, \\ \vdots \\ v_s, T v_s, \dots, T^{m_s} v_s, \\ v_{s+1} \\ \vdots \\ v_r \end{array} \right\}$

The number of elements of $\mathcal{A} = m_1 + \dots + m_s + r$

$$= \dim \operatorname{Im} T + \dim \operatorname{ker} T$$

$$= n = \dim V$$

Claim \mathcal{A} is linearly indep.

If the claim is true, then

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \operatorname{span}_F \{v_i, Tv_i, \dots, T^{m_i} v_i\}$$

$$\bigoplus_{k=s+1}^r F \cdot v_k \quad \text{is a direct}$$

sum of cyclic subspaces.

Proof of the claim:

If we have a linear relation

$$(*) \quad \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{m_i} \alpha_{ij} T^j v_i + \sum_{k=s+1}^r \beta_k v_k = 0,$$

applying T on both sides of $(*)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} \alpha_{ij} T^j w_i = 0 \quad (T v_i = w_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall \quad \begin{matrix} i=1, \dots, s \\ j=0, \dots, m_i-1. \end{matrix}$$

$\therefore \{w_1, \dots, T^{m_1-1} w_1, \dots, w_s, \dots, T^{m_s-1} w_s\}$ is

$$(*) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_{i m_i} T^{m_i} v_i + \sum_{k=s+1}^r \beta_k v_k = 0 \quad \text{a basis of Im } T$$

||

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_{i m_i} \cdot T^{m_i-1} w_i + \sum_{k=s+1}^r \beta_k v_k = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{1 m_1} = \alpha_{1 m_2} = \dots = \beta_{s+1} = \dots = \beta_r = 0$$

$\therefore \{T^{m_1-1} w_1, \dots, T^{m_s-1} w_s, v_{s+1}, \dots, v_r\}$

is a basis of Ker T.

□.