



**PREPRINT**

國立臺灣大學 數學系 預印本 Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University

[www.math.ntu.edu.tw/~mathlib/preprint/2013-04.pdf](http://www.math.ntu.edu.tw/~mathlib/preprint/2013-04.pdf)

# Minimum rank of powers of cycles and trees

Gerard Jennhwa Chang and Jephian Chin-Hung Lin

April 7, 2013



# Minimum rank of powers of cycles and trees

Gerard Jennhwa Chang<sup>123\*</sup> and Jephian Chin-Hung Lin<sup>3†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

<sup>2</sup>Taida Institute for Mathematical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup>National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taipei Office, Taiwan

April 7, 2013

## Abstract

The minimum rank  $\text{mr}(G)$  (respectively, maximum nullity  $M(G)$ ) of a graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices is the minimum rank (respectively, maximum nullity) of an  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix  $A$  with its off-diagonal entry  $A_{ij} \neq 0$  whenever  $ij$  is an edge of  $G$ . There was an incomplete proof in a previous paper by Nazari and Radpoor [7] that  $M(C_n^r) = 2r$  for the  $r$ -th power  $C_n^r$  of the  $n$ -cycle  $C_n$  when  $r \leq \frac{n}{2}$ . In this paper, we give a complete proof for this result. We also determine  $M(T^2)$  for the square  $T^2$  of a tree  $T$ .

**Keywords.** Rank, nullity, power, cycle, path, zero forcing number, path cover number.

---

\*E-mail: gjchang@math.ntu.edu.tw. This research is partially supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China under grant NSC101-2115-M-002-005-MY3.

†E-mail: jlch3554@hotmail.com.

# 1 Introduction

Graphs and symmetric matrices are in intimate relation. For an  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix  $A$ , it is natural to consider the corresponding graph  $G = \mathcal{G}(A)$  with

$$\text{vertex set } V(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ and edge set } E(G) = \{ij: i \neq j, A_{ij} \neq 0\},$$

where  $A_{ij}$  is the  $ij$ -entry of  $A$ . Conversely, for a graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices, there is a class of  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrices whose corresponding graph is  $G$ . Denote this class as

$$\mathcal{S}(G) = \{A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}): A = A^\top, \mathcal{G}(A) = G\},$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  is the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices over the field of real numbers. The *minimum rank* of a graph  $G$  is

$$\text{mr}(G) = \min\{\text{rank}(A): A \in \mathcal{S}(G)\};$$

and the *maximum nullity* of  $G$  is

$$M(G) = \max\{\text{null}(A): A \in \mathcal{S}(G)\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\text{mr}(G) + M(G) = |V(G)|.$$

So a result in  $\text{mr}(G)$  can be presented as a result in  $M(G)$  and vice versa. In this paper we very often write results in terms of  $M(G)$  rather than  $\text{mr}(G)$ .

For a positive integer  $r$ , the  $r$ -th power of a graph  $G$  is the graph  $G^r$  whose vertex set is  $V(G)$  and two distinct vertices  $i$  and  $j$  are adjacent in  $G^r$  if their distance in  $G$  is at most  $r$ . The maximum nullity of the path  $P_n$  of  $n$  vertices is 1. de Alba et al. [2] proved that  $M(P_n^r) = \min\{r, n-1\}$ . It is also known that the maximum nullity of cycle  $C_n$  of  $n$  vertices is 2 for  $n \geq 3$ . Nazari and Radpoor [7] proved that  $M(C_n^r) = 2r$  for  $r \leq \frac{n}{2}$  by using the *delta Conjecture* that  $\delta(G) \leq M(G)$  for any graph  $G$ , which was posted in [4] but remains unsolved. In Section 3, we prove this result without using the delta Conjecture. In Section 4, we determine the maximum nullity of the square of a tree.

## 2 Notation and terminology

For a positive integer  $n$ , the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is denoted by  $[n]$ . The *support*  $\text{supp}(v)$  of a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the index set of nonzero entries of  $v$ .

A *zero forcing set* of a graph  $G$  is a subset  $F \subseteq V(G)$  which can force all vertices black at the end of repeatedly applying the following *color changing rule*:

- initially, all vertices in  $F$  are black and all other vertices are white;

- if a black vertex  $x$  has exactly one white neighbor  $y$ , then  $y$  is changed to be black.

The *zero forcing number*  $Z(G)$  is the minimum size of a zero forcing set of  $G$ . In the above rule, we write  $x \rightarrow y$  to refer that a black vertex  $x$  forces its only white neighbor  $y$  to be black. A *chronological list* is a chronological record  $\{x_i \rightarrow y_i\}_{i=1}^s$ , where  $x_i \rightarrow y_i$  is the color changing at iteration  $i$ . A *zero forcing process*  $\zeta$  refers to a zero forcing set together with the corresponding chronological list. For more detail on the parameter  $Z$ , see [3]. The following inequality from [1] is particularly useful in this paper: for any graph  $G$ ,

$$M(G) \leq Z(G). \quad (1)$$

A *path cover* of a graph  $G$  is a collection  $\mathcal{P}$  of disjoint induced paths that cover all vertices of  $G$ . The *path cover number*  $p(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum size of a path cover of  $G$ . It is known that  $M(G) = p(T)$  for a tree  $T$  [6] and  $M(G) \leq p(G)$  for an outerplanar graph  $G$  [8]. For a positive integer  $r$ , the  *$r$ -th weight* of a path cover  $\mathcal{P}$  is

$$w_r(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}} Z(\pi^r);$$

and the  *$r$ -th path cover number* of  $G$  is

$$p_r(G) = \min\{w_r(\mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{P} \text{ is a path cover of } G\}.$$

Since  $Z(\pi) = 1$  for any path  $\pi$ , it is the case that  $p_1(G) = p(G)$ .

The *clique cover number*  $cc(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the minimum number of (not necessarily disjoint) cliques to cover  $E(G)$ . It is known that  $cc(G) \geq mr(G)$  for all  $G$ , even we replace the field  $\mathbb{R}$  by any other infinite field, see [5]. The *star-clique cover*  $\mathcal{C}$  of a graph  $G$  is a set of stars and cliques that cover all edges of  $G$ . The *weight* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $w(\mathcal{C}) = 2p + q$  when  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of  $p$  stars and  $q$  cliques. The *star-clique number* of  $G$  is

$$scc(G) = \min\{w(\mathcal{C}) : \mathcal{C} \text{ is a star-clique cover of } G\}.$$

By the facts that  $\text{rank}(A + B) \leq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$  and that  $mr(K_{a,b}) = 2$  for  $a + b \geq 3$ , it follows that  $scc(G) \geq mr(G)$  for any graph  $G$ . The *dual star-clique cover number* is defined as  $\overline{scc}(G) = |V(G)| - scc(G)$ . Then, for any graph  $G$ ,

$$\overline{scc}(G) \leq M(G) \leq Z(G). \quad (2)$$

Section 4 shows that  $\overline{scc}(T^2) = M(T^2) = Z(T^2) = p_2(T)$  for any tree  $T$ .

### 3 Powers of cycles

Recall that Nazari and Radpoor [7] proved that  $M(C_n^r) = 2r$  for  $r \leq \frac{n}{2}$  by using the delta Conjecture that  $\delta(G) \leq M(G)$  for any graph  $G$ , which was posted in [4] but remains unsolved. The purpose of this section is to give a proof of this result without using the delta Conjecture. The following lemma in [4] is useful.

**Lemma 1.** For positive integers  $k \leq n$ , there is a  $k \times n$  real matrix  $C$  whose  $k \times k$  submatrices are nonsingular. Also,  $S$  is the support of a non-zero vector  $v$  with  $Cv = 0$  if and only if  $|S| \geq k + 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** If  $n \geq 3$ , then  $M(C_n^r) = Z(C_n^r) = \min\{2r, n - 1\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $2r \geq n - 1$ , then  $C_n^r = K_n$  and so  $M(C_n^r) = Z(C_n^r) = n - 1$ .

We now consider the case of  $2r \leq n - 2$ . Since each set of  $2r$  consecutive vertices of the cycle form a zero forcing set,  $M(C_n^r) \leq Z(C_n^r) \leq 2r$ . Next, we shall prove that  $M(C_n^r) \geq 2r$  by constructing a symmetric matrix  $A$  with  $\mathcal{G}(A) = C_n^r$  and  $\text{rank}(A) \leq n - 2r$ .

For  $k \in [n - r]$ , let  $I_k$  be the subset  $\{k, k + 1, \dots, k + r\}$  of  $[n - r]$ , where the addition is taken module  $n - r$ , that is,  $k + i$  is  $k + i - (n - r)$  if  $k + i > n - r$ . By Lemma 1, we may choose an  $r \times (n - r)$  real matrix  $C$  whose  $r \times r$  submatrices are nonsingular; also a vector  $v_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$  such that  $Cv_k = 0$  with  $\text{supp}(v_k) = I_k$  for each  $k \in [n - r]$ . Next, choose appropriate coefficients  $a_k$  such that  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2r} a_i v_i v_i^\top$  has the property that  $\mathcal{G}(A) = P_{n-r}^r$ . This is possible because we only have to worry about that some nonzero entries vanish under the process of summation. However, there are only finitely many these conditions and we have infinitely many choices for the coefficients. Furthermore, we can choose  $a_{n-2r}$  as small as we want.

Let  $B$  be the  $(n - r) \times r$  matrix whose  $i$ -th column is  $v_{n-2r+i}$ . Since all  $v_i$  are in the null space of  $C$ , the space spanned by  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-r}\}$  has dimension at most  $n - 2r$ . Also,  $\text{rank}(A) \geq n - 2r$ , since  $\text{mr}(P_{n-r}^r) = n - 2r$ . Hence, there is a matrix  $X$  such that  $AX = B$ . As we may choose  $a_{n-2r}$  as small as we want,  $X^\top AX$  can be chosen to contain no zero entries. Then

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^\top & X^\top AX \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ X^\top A & X^\top B \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank  $n - 2r$  and  $\mathcal{G}(D) = C_n^r$ . These prove that  $M(C_n^r) \geq 2r$  and so  $M(C_n^r) = Z(C_n^r) = 2r$  as desired.  $\square$

## 4 Squares of trees

The purpose of this section is to determine the maximum nullity of the square of a tree. Besides a formula in terms of the zero forcing number, a procedure to compute it is also given.

**Theorem 3.** If  $T$  is a tree, then  $\overline{\text{scc}}(T^2) = M(T^2) = Z(T^2) = p_2(T)$ .

The theorem follows from (2) that  $\overline{\text{scc}}(T^2) \leq M(T^2) \leq Z(T^2)$  and the following two lemmas. The first lemma proves that  $p_2(T)$  is an upper bound of  $Z(T^2)$  and the second lemma proves that  $p_2(T)$  is a lower bound of  $\overline{\text{scc}}(T^2)$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If  $T$  is a tree, then  $Z(T^2) \leq p_2(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a path cover  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $T$  with  $w_2(\mathcal{P}) = p_2(T)$ . A vertex is *special* if it is of degree 2 and is adjacent to a leaf. We conclude the lemma by proving Claim 1 using an induction on the number  $n$  of vertices of  $T$ .

**Claim 1.**  $|F| \leq w_2(\mathcal{P})$  for some zero forcing set  $F$  of  $T^2$  with a zero forcing process  $\zeta$  for which each forcing  $x \rightarrow y$  has the property that  $d_T(x, y) = 2$  whenever  $y$  is not special in  $T$ .

The claim is clear for  $n = 1$ . Now assume that  $n \geq 2$ . For the case when  $T$  is a star,  $T^2$  is  $K_n$ . Choose  $F$  as a set of all vertices except a leaf of  $T$ . The claim follows from that  $\mathcal{P}$  consists of paths with minimum total weight  $n - 1$ . For the case when  $T$  is the  $n$ -path  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  with  $n \geq 3$ , choose  $F = \{v_1, v_2\}$  which is a zero forcing set of  $T^2$  using the chronological list  $\{v_i \rightarrow v_{i+2}\}_{i=1}^{n-2}$ . Then  $Z(T^2) \leq 2$ . As  $Z(T^2) \geq \delta(T^2) = 2$ . In fact  $Z(T^2) = 2$ . The claim then follows.

Now we consider the case when  $T$  is neither a star nor a path. In this case, there is always a path  $\pi: v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r, \dots, v_s$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $v_1$  is a leaf in  $T$  and  $v_r$  is the only vertex of  $\pi$  adjacent to an unique vertex  $v \notin \pi$  in  $T$ . Then,  $T_1 := T - \pi$  is a tree of at least 3 vertices and  $\mathcal{P}_1 := \mathcal{P} - \{\pi\}$  is a path cover of  $T_1$ . We claim that  $v$  is not a special vertex in  $T_1$  when  $s = 2$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $s = 2$  but  $v$  is of degree 2 and is adjacent to a leaf  $u$  in  $T_1$ . Suppose  $u$  is a vertex of a path  $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**Case 1.**  $\pi_1 = u$ . Suppose  $v$  is in path  $\pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ . In this case, we may replace  $\mathcal{P}$  by the path cover  $(\mathcal{P} - \{\pi_2, \pi\}) \cup \{\pi_2 + \pi\}$  of weight no more than  $\mathcal{P}$  and replace  $\pi$  by  $u$ .

**Case 2.**  $\pi_1 = uv$ . In this case, we may replace  $\mathcal{P}$  by the path cover  $(\mathcal{P} - \{\pi_1, \pi\}) \cup \{\pi_1 + \pi\}$  of weight no more than  $\mathcal{P}$  and replace  $\pi$  by  $\pi_1 + \pi$ .

**Case 3.**  $\pi_1$  has at least 3 vertices. In this case, we may replace  $\mathcal{P}$  by the path cover  $(\mathcal{P} - \{\pi_1, \pi\}) \cup \{u, (\pi_1 - u) + \pi\}$  of weight no more than  $\mathcal{P}$  and replace  $\pi$  by  $u$ .

So, we may assume that either  $s \neq 2$  or  $v$  is not special. By the induction hypothesis,  $|F_1| \leq w_2(\mathcal{P}_1)$  for some zero forcing set  $F_1$  of  $T_1^2$  with a zero forcing process  $\zeta_1$  for which each forcing  $x \rightarrow y$  has the property that  $d_{T_1}(x, y) = 2$  whenever  $y$  is not special in  $T_1$ , in particular when  $y$  is  $v$  for the case of  $s = 2$ .

Let  $F = F_1 \cup \{v_1\}$  when  $s \leq 2$  and let  $F = F_1 \cup \{v_1, v_2\}$  when  $s \geq 3$ . We shall check that  $F$  is a zero forcing set of  $T^2$  by constructing a zero forcing process corresponding to  $F$  as follows. First, if  $s \geq 3$ , then do forcing  $v_i \rightarrow v_{i+2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r - 2$ . By now,  $v_r$  is black unless  $s = 2$ . Next, do all forcing  $x \rightarrow y$  of  $\zeta_1$  until  $v^* \rightarrow v$ . Notice that either  $v_r$  is black or else  $s = 2$  and so  $d_{T_1}(v^*, v) = 2$ . In either case, all the forcing of  $\zeta_1$  mentioned above do not infect the vertices in  $\pi$ . Then do the forcing  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2$  when  $s = 2$  or the forcing  $v_{r-1} \rightarrow v_{r+1}$  when  $s \geq 3$ . Finally, do the remaining forcing of  $\zeta_1$ , follow by the remaining forcing  $v_i \rightarrow v_{i+2}$  alone  $\pi$  for  $r \leq i \leq s - 2$ . These give a zero forcing processing corresponding to  $F$  with the property that  $d_T(x, y) = 2$  whenever  $y$  is not special in  $T$ . This completes the proof of Claim 1.  $\square$

For a vertex  $v$  in  $T$ , we use  $N(v)$  and  $N^2(v)$  to denote the set of neighbors of  $v$  in  $T$  and  $T^2$  respectively. We use  $\kappa_v$  to denote the clique induced by  $N(v) \cup \{v\}$  in  $T^2$ , and use  $\sigma_v$  to denote the star in  $T^2$  whose center is  $v$  and whose set of leaves is  $N^2(v)$ .

In a tree  $T$ , a *pendent path* is a maximal induced path that contains a leaf but no vertex of degree more than 2. A *pendent branch* consists of vertex  $v$  with degree  $k + 1 \geq 3$  and  $k$  pendent paths each has an end vertex adjacent to  $v$ . For the case when  $T$  is not a path, a pendent branch can be obtained from a breadth first search. Equivalently, consider  $T$  rooted at a chosen vertex  $r$  and choose a vertex  $v$  of degree  $k + 1 \geq 3$  farrest from  $r$ . Then  $v$  has  $k$  children and all proper descendants of  $v$  form  $k$  pendent paths of the pendent branch.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $T$  is a tree, then  $p_2(T) \leq \overline{\text{scc}}(T^2)$ .*

*Proof.* We shall prove the lemma by induction on the number  $n$  of vertices of  $T$ . For the case when  $T$  is a path  $\pi: v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ , the lemma follows from considering the path cover  $\{\pi\}$  and the star-clique cover  $\{\kappa_{v_i}: 2 \leq i \leq \max\{2, n-1\}\}$ . Now assume that  $T$  is not a path and so  $n \geq 4$ . Choose a pendent branch at a vertex  $v$  of degree  $k + 1 \geq 3$  with pendent paths  $\alpha_i: v_1^i, v_2^i, \dots, v_{s_i}^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . We consider three cases.

**Case 1.** One of the following conditions holds: (i) there is some  $s_i \geq 4$ , (ii) there is some  $s_i = 2$ , (iii)  $k = 2$  and there is some  $s_i = 3$  with the other  $s_j = 1$ . Let  $T_1$  be the tree obtained from  $T$  by deleting the leaf  $v_{s_i}^i$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $p_2(T_1) \leq \overline{\text{scc}}(T_1^2)$ . Choose a path cover  $\mathcal{P}_1$  of  $T_1$  with  $w_2(\mathcal{P}_1) = p_2(T_1)$  and a star-clique cover  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $T_1^2$  with  $w(\mathcal{C}_1) = \text{scc}(T_1^2)$ . Let  $\pi$  be the path in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  which contains the leaf  $v_{s_i-1}^i$  in  $T_1$ . If  $|V(\pi)| = 2$ , then we change  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\pi$  according to three subcases:

(i) The other end vertex  $v_{s_i-2}^i$  of  $\pi$  is adjacent to  $v_{s_i-3}^i$  which is an end vertex of a path  $\pi_1$  in  $\mathcal{P}_1$ . Since  $Z((\pi_1 + \pi)^2) \leq 2 \leq Z(\pi_1^2) + Z(\pi^2)$ , we may replace  $\mathcal{P}_1$  by  $(\mathcal{P}_1 - \{\pi_1, \pi\}) \cup \{\pi_1 + \pi\}$  and replace  $\pi$  by  $\pi_1 + \pi$ .

(ii) The other end vertex  $v$  of  $\pi$  is adjacent to a neighbor of  $v$  which is an end vertex of a path  $\pi_1$  in  $\mathcal{P}_1$ . Replace  $\mathcal{P}_1$  by  $(\mathcal{P}_1 - \{\pi_1, \pi\}) \cup \{\pi_1 + \pi\}$  and replace  $\pi$  by  $\pi_1 + \pi$ .

(iii) The other end vertex  $v_1^i$  of  $\pi$  is adjacent to  $v$ . Let  $v$  is in a path  $\pi_1$  in  $\mathcal{P}_1$ . If  $v$  is an end vertex of  $\pi_1$ , then replace  $\mathcal{P}_1$  by  $(\mathcal{P}_1 - \{\pi_1, \pi\}) \cup \{\pi_1 + \pi\}$  and replace  $\pi$  by  $\pi_1 + \pi$ . If  $v$  is not an end vertex of  $\pi_1$ , then the leaf  $v_1^j$  is an end vertex of  $\pi_1$ . In this case, replace  $\mathcal{P}_1$  by  $(\mathcal{P}_1 - \{\pi_1, \pi\}) \cup \{v_1^j, (\pi_1 - v_1^j) + \pi\}$  and replace  $\pi$  by  $(\pi_1 - v_1^j) + \pi$ .

By now we may assume that  $|V(\pi)| \neq 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{P} := (\mathcal{P}_1 - \{\pi\}) \cup \{\pi + v_{s_i}^i\}$  is a path cover of  $T$  with  $w_2(\mathcal{P}) = w_2(\mathcal{P}_1)$ , since  $Z((\pi + v_{s_i}^i)^2) = Z(\pi^2) = 1$  if  $|V(\pi)| = 1$  and  $Z((\pi + v_{s_i}^i)^2) = Z(\pi^2) = 2$  if  $|V(\pi)| \geq 3$ . Also  $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \{\kappa_{v_{s_i-1}^i}\}$  is a star-clique cover of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $w(\mathcal{C}_1) + 1 = w(\mathcal{C})$ . Consequently,

$$p_2(T) \leq w_2(\mathcal{P}) = w_2(\mathcal{P}_1) \leq \overline{\text{scc}}(T_1^2) = |V(T_1)| - w(\mathcal{C}_1) = |V(T)| - w(\mathcal{C}) \leq \overline{\text{scc}}(T^2).$$

**Case 2.**  $k = 2$  and  $s_1 = s_2 = 3$ . Let  $T_1$  be the tree obtained from  $T$  by deleting  $\alpha_1$ ,  $v$  and  $\alpha_2$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $p_2(T_1) \leq \overline{\text{scc}}(T_1^2)$ . Choose a path cover  $\mathcal{P}_1$  of  $T_1$

with  $w_2(\mathcal{P}_1) = p_2(T_1)$  and a star-clique cover  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $T_1^2$  with  $w(\mathcal{C}_1) = \text{scc}(T_1^2)$ . Then  $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \{\alpha_1 + v + \alpha_2\}$  is a path cover of  $T$  with  $w_2(\mathcal{P}) = w_2(\mathcal{P}_1) + 2$ . Also  $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \{\sigma_v, \kappa_v, \kappa_{v_1^2}, \kappa_{v_2^2}\}$  is a star-clique cover of  $T^2$  with  $w(\mathcal{C}_1) + 5 = w(\mathcal{C})$ . Consequently,

$$p_2(T) \leq w_2(\mathcal{P}) = w_2(\mathcal{P}_1) + 2 \leq \overline{\text{scc}}(T_1^2) + 2 = |V(T_1)| - w(\mathcal{C}_1) + 2 = |V(T)| - w(\mathcal{C}) \leq \overline{\text{scc}}(T^2).$$

**Case 3.** One of the following conditions holds: (i)  $k = 2$  with  $s_1 = s_2 = 1$ , (ii)  $k \geq 3$  with some  $s_i$  say  $s_1 = 1$ , (iii)  $k \geq 3$  with all  $s_i = 3$ . Let  $T_1$  be the tree obtained from  $T$  by deleting  $\alpha_1$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $p_2(T_1) \leq \overline{\text{scc}}(T_1^2)$ . Choose a path cover  $\mathcal{P}_1$  of  $T_1$  with  $w_2(\mathcal{P}_1) = p_2(T_1)$  and a star-clique cover  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $T_1^2$  with  $w(\mathcal{C}_1) = \text{scc}(T_1^2)$ . We have the following facts.

- (a) We may assume that if  $\sigma_x \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , then  $x$  has degree at least 3 in  $T_1$ . For otherwise we may replace  $\sigma_x$  by  $\kappa_y$  for all  $y \in N_{T_1}(x)$  to get a star-clique cover of weight no more than  $\mathcal{C}_1$ .
- (b) We may assume that if  $x$  has at least two neighbors  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  of degree 1 or 2 in  $T_1$ , then  $\kappa_x \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . As the edge  $y_1y_2$  can be covered only by  $\kappa_x, \sigma_{y_1}$  or  $\sigma_{y_2}$ , this follows from (a).
- (c) Under condition (i), we may assume that  $\kappa_v \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . This follows from the facts that the edge  $vv_1^2$  can only be covered by  $\kappa_v$  or  $\kappa_{v_1^2}$  and that  $\kappa_v$  covers more edges than  $\kappa_{v_1^2}$ .
- (d) Under condition (iii), we may assume that  $\sigma_v \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . For otherwise  $\kappa_{v_1^i}$  and  $\kappa_{v_2^i}$  are in  $\mathcal{C}_1$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$  and so we may replace  $\kappa_{v_1^i}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$  by  $\sigma_v$  to get a star-clique cover of weight no more than  $\mathcal{C}_1$ .

Now  $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \{\alpha_1\}$  is a path cover of  $T$  with  $w_2(\mathcal{P}) = w_2(\mathcal{P}_1) + Z(\alpha_1^2)$ . According to (a), (b), and (c),  $\kappa_v \in \mathcal{C}_1$  in any case. According to (d),  $\sigma_v \in \mathcal{C}_1$  under condition (iii). Under condition (i) or (ii),  $\mathcal{C} := (\mathcal{C}_1 - \{\kappa_v \text{ in } T_1\}) \cup \{\kappa_v \text{ in } T\}$  is a clique cover of  $T$  with  $w(\mathcal{C}_1) = w(\mathcal{C})$ . Under condition (iii),  $\mathcal{C} := (\mathcal{C}_1 - \{\kappa_v \text{ and } \sigma_v \text{ in } T_1\}) \cup \{\kappa_v \text{ and } \sigma_v \text{ in } T, \kappa_{v_1^2}\}$  is a clique cover of  $T$  with  $w(\mathcal{C}_1) + 1 = w(\mathcal{C})$ . In any case,  $w(\mathcal{C}_1) + |V(\alpha_1)| - Z(\alpha_1^2) = w(\mathcal{C})$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(T) &\leq w_2(\mathcal{P}) = w_2(\mathcal{P}_1) + Z(\alpha_1^2) = p_2(T_1) + Z(\alpha_1^2) \leq \overline{\text{scc}}(T_1^2) + Z(\alpha_1^2) \\ &= |V(T_1)| - w(\mathcal{C}_1) + Z(\alpha_1^2) = |V(T)| - w(\mathcal{C}) = \overline{\text{scc}}(T^2). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The proof of the theorem in fact provides an algorithm for computing  $M(T^2)$ . We summarize it as follows.

**Corollary 6.** *If  $T$  is a path, then  $M(T^2) = 1$  when  $|V(T)| \leq 2$  and  $M(T) = 2$  when  $|V(T)| \geq 3$ . If  $T$  is a tree containing a pendent branch  $\mathcal{B}$  which has  $p$  pendent paths with at most 2 vertices and  $q$  paths of at least 3 vertices,  $T_1$  is obtained from  $T$  by deleting  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $T_2$  is obtained from  $T$  by replacing  $\mathcal{B}$  with a path of two vertices, then  $M(T) = M(T_1) + p + 2q - 2$  if  $q \geq 2$  and  $M(T) = M(T_2) + p + q - 1$  if  $q \leq 1$ .*



## References

- [1] AIM Minimum Rank-Special Graphs Work Group, Zero forcing sets and the minimum rank of graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **428** (2008) 1628–1648.
- [2] L. de Alba, J. Grout, I-J. Kim, S. Kirkland, J. McDonald and A. Yielding, Minimum rank of powers of trees, *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra* **23** (2012), 151–163.
- [3] F. Barioli, W. Barrett, S. M. Fallat, H. T. Hall, L. Hogben, B. Shader, P. van den Driessche and H. van der Holst, Zero forcing parameters and minimum rank problems, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **433** (2010) 401–411.
- [4] A. Berman, S. Friedland, L. Hogben and U. G. Rothblum, An upper bound for the minimum rank of a graph, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **429/7** (2008) 1629–1638.
- [5] S. Fallat and L. Hogben, The minimum rank of symmetric matrices described by a graph: A survey, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **426** (2007) 558–582.
- [6] C. R. Johnson and A. L. Duarte, The maximum multiplicity of an eigenvalue in a matrix whose graph is a tree, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **46** (1999) 139–44.
- [7] A. Nazari and M. K. Radpoor, Minimum rank of graphs powers family, *Open Journal of Discrete Mathematics* **2012-2** (2012) 65–69.
- [8] J. Sinkovic, Maximum nullity of outer planar graphs and the path cover number, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **432** (2010) 2052–2060.