

§12.1

#2 (a) Suppose $\#E < \infty$, $E = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N\} = \bar{E}$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, let Q_R be the cube with center X_R and side length $(\frac{\epsilon}{2N})^{\frac{1}{n}}$, then

$$\bar{E} = \bigcup_{R=1}^N \{X_R\} \subset \bigcup_{R=1}^N Q_R,$$

$$\sum_{R=1}^N |Q_R| = \sum_{R=1}^N \left[\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2N} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]^n = \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon.$$

By Thm 12.8, E is a Jordan region of volume zero.

(b) Let $E = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]\}$, then E is countable.

$$\partial E = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \quad \therefore \text{Vol}(\partial E) = 1$$

$\Rightarrow E$ is not a Jordan region.

(c) Let $E = \{(x, c) \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, let $R = [a, b] \times [c - \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)+1}, c + \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)+1}]$, a grid

$\mathcal{G} = \{R\}$. Then $R \supset E$ and

$$\begin{aligned} V(\partial E; \mathcal{G}) &= V(E; \mathcal{G}) \\ &= |R| = (b-a) \times \frac{2\epsilon}{2(b-a)+1} < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

\therefore By Definition 12.3, E is a Jordan region.

The case $\{(c, y) \mid a \leq y \leq b\}$ is similar.

§12.1

#4. E_1, E_2 are Jordan regions in \mathbb{R}^n .

(a) For any rectangle $R \supset E_2 \supset E_1$ with a grid \mathcal{G} , Rmk 12.1(i) \Rightarrow

$$V(E_1; \mathcal{G}) \leq V(E_2; \mathcal{G})$$

$$\Rightarrow Vol(E_1) \leq Vol(E_2)$$

(b) E_1, E_2 are Jordan regions $\Leftrightarrow Vol(\partial E_1) = Vol(\partial E_2) = 0$

$$\partial(E_1 \cap E_2) \subseteq \partial E_1 \cup \partial E_2, \text{ and}$$

$$\partial(E_1 \setminus E_2) = \partial(E_1 \cap E_2^c) \subseteq \partial E_1 \cup \partial(E_2^c) = \partial E_1 \cup \partial E_2$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \underset{\text{by (a)}}{Vol(\partial(E_1 \cap E_2))} \leq Vol(\partial E_1 \cup \partial E_2) \leq \underset{\text{by Corollary 12.9}}{Vol(\partial E_1) + Vol(\partial E_2)} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Vol(\partial(E_1 \cap E_2)) = Vol(\partial(E_1 \setminus E_2)) = 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow E_1 \cap E_2$ and $E_1 \setminus E_2$ are Jordan regions.

(c) By Corollary 12.9, $Vol(E_1 \cup E_2) \leq Vol(E_1) + Vol(E_2)$.

Hence it's sufficient to show that $Vol(E_1 \cup E_2) \geq Vol(E_1) + Vol(E_2)$.

For arbitrary rectangles R_1, R_2 with grids $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{R_1^{(1)}, R_2^{(1)}, \dots, R_{l_1}^{(1)}\}$,

$\mathcal{G}_2 = \{R_1^{(2)}, R_2^{(2)}, \dots, R_{l_2}^{(2)}\}$ and $R_1 \supset E_1 \setminus E_2, R_2 \supset E_2$.

Let R be a rectangle containing R_1 & R_2 with a grid $\mathcal{G} = \{R_1^{(0)}, R_2^{(0)}, \dots, R_{l_0}^{(0)}\}$ s.t. \mathcal{G} is finer than \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 if \mathcal{G} is restricted on R_1 and R_2 respectively.

$$\text{Then } v(E_1 \setminus E_2; \mathcal{G}_1) + v(E_2; \mathcal{G}_2)$$

$$\leq v(E_1 \setminus E_2; \mathcal{G} \text{ restricted on } R_1) + v(E_2; \mathcal{G} \text{ restricted on } R_2)$$

$$= \sum_{R_j^{(0)} \subset (E_1 \setminus E_2)^\circ} |R_j^{(0)}| + \sum_{R_j^{(0)} \subset E_2^\circ} |R_j^{(0)}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{R_j^{(0)} \subset (E_1 \cup E_2)^\circ} |R_j^{(0)}|$$

$$= v(E_1 \cup E_2; \mathcal{G})$$

$$\leq Vol(E_1 \cup E_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow Vol(E_1 \cup E_2) \geq Vol(E_1 \setminus E_2) + Vol(E_2) = Vol(E_1 \setminus E_2) + Vol(E_1 \cap E_2) + Vol(E_2)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \because E_1 \cup E_2 = (E_1 \setminus E_2) \cup E_2, (E_1 \setminus E_2) \cap E_2 = \emptyset \\ \Rightarrow (E_1 \cup E_2)^\circ \supseteq (E_1 \setminus E_2)^\circ \cup E_2^\circ \\ \text{and } (E_1 \setminus E_2)^\circ \cap E_2^\circ = \emptyset \end{array} \right)$$

§12.1

#5(d)(e) Let $G = \{ (x, f(x)) \mid x \in [a, b] \}$ be the graph of $y = f(x), x \in [a, b]$.
 $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable on $[a, b]$

\Rightarrow Given $\epsilon > 0$, \exists a Partition $P = \{ a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m = b \}$ of $[a, b]$
 s.t. $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$.

Since $G \subset \bigcup_{R=1}^m [x_{R-1}, x_R] \times [m_R, M_R]$, Rmk 12.1(ii), Def 12.13
 & Corollary 12.9 \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Vol}}(G) &\leq \sum_{R=1}^m \text{Vol}([x_{R-1}, x_R] \times [m_R, M_R]) \\ &= \sum_{R=1}^m (x_R - x_{R-1})(M_R - m_R) \\ &= U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \overline{\text{Vol}}(G) = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{Thm 12.14}} G$ is a Jordan region and $\text{Vol}(G) = 0$.

$$\forall \underline{\text{Vol}}(G) \geq 0$$

Case f is conti. on $[a, b] \Rightarrow f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$
 \Rightarrow the graph of $y = f(x), x \in [a, b]$ is a Jordan region in \mathbb{R}^n
 and of volume zero.

If we just assume that f is bounded, the graph of $y = f(x), x \in [a, b]$ may be not a Jordan region.

For example, let $[a, b] = [0, 1]$, define $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by
 $f(x) = \begin{cases} p\sqrt{2} \pmod{1} & \text{if } x = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}, p, q \in \mathbb{N}, (p, q) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

check by yourself

Then f is bdd, and the graph G of $y = f(x), x \in [a, b]$ is dense in $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ with $G^\circ = \emptyset$, hence $\partial G = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.
 $\text{Vol}(\partial G) = 1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow G$ is not a Jordan region.

§12.1

#8

Case: E has no cluster points.

men

By P.263 §9.1 Ex #10(b), E is finite, say $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$

For $\epsilon > 0$, let Q_R be the cube with center x_R , side length $(\frac{\epsilon}{2m})^n$.

Then $\bar{E} = E \subset \bigcup_{R=1}^m Q_R$ and $\sum_{R=1}^m |Q_R| = \sum_{R=1}^m \frac{\epsilon}{2m} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$.

Thm 12.8 $\Rightarrow E$ is a Jordan region of volume zero.

Case: E has cluster points $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m$.

Obviously, $\bar{E} \subset E \cup \{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, for each $1 \leq R \leq m$, let \tilde{Q}_R be the cube with center \bar{x}_R and side length $(\frac{\epsilon}{4m})^n$. Consider $\tilde{E} = E \setminus \bigcup_{R=1}^m \tilde{Q}_R$.

Claim: \tilde{E} is finite.

pf: Suppose the contrary, i.e. \tilde{E} is infinite.

$\tilde{E} \subset E \Rightarrow \tilde{E}$ is bounded.

P.263 §9.1 Ex #10(b) $\Rightarrow \tilde{E}$ has at least one cluster point.

But $\tilde{E} \subset E \Rightarrow$ A cluster pt of \tilde{E} must be a cluster pt of E .

Hence \tilde{E} has a cluster pt in $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$, say \bar{x}_{R_0} .

But $\tilde{E} \cap \tilde{Q}_{R_0} = \emptyset \Rightarrow \bar{x}_{R_0}$ is not a cluster pt of \tilde{E} *

Therefore, \tilde{E} is finite.

If $\tilde{E} = \emptyset$, then $\bar{E} \subset \{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m\} \subset \bigcup_{R=1}^m \tilde{Q}_R$, and $\sum_{R=1}^m |Q_R| = \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$.

Thm 12.8 $\Rightarrow E$ is a Jordan region of volume zero.

len

If $\tilde{E} = \{x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \dots, x_{m+l}\}$. For $m+1 \leq R \leq m+l$, let Q_R be the cube with center x_R , side length $\frac{1}{l}(\frac{\epsilon}{4m})^n$. For $1 \leq R \leq m$, split each \tilde{Q}_R into l^n equal cubes, denoted by $Q_1^{(R)}, Q_2^{(R)}, \dots, Q_{l^n}^{(R)}$.

(Note that each $Q_j^{(R)}$ has side length $\frac{1}{l}(\frac{\epsilon}{4m})^n$)

Then $\bar{E} \subset \tilde{E} \cup (\bigcup_{R=1}^m \tilde{Q}_R) \subset (\bigcup_{R=m+1}^{m+l} Q_R) \cup (\bigcup_{R=1}^m (\bigcup_{j=1}^{l^n} Q_j^{(R)}))$

and $\sum_{R=m+1}^{m+l} |Q_R| + \sum_{R=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{l^n} |Q_j^{(R)}| = (l+m \cdot l^n) \frac{1}{l^n} \frac{\epsilon}{4m} = \frac{\epsilon}{4m \cdot l^{n-1}} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$.

Thm 12.8 $\Rightarrow E$ is a Jordan region of volume zero.

§12.1

#9(a) Obvious by the definitions. (Check by yourself).

(b) Let $E = \{x_R\}_{R=1}^{\infty}$.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let R_R be the cube with center x_R , side length $(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{R+1}})^{1/d}$.

Then $E = \{x_R\}_{R=1}^{\infty} \subset \bigcup_{R=1}^{\infty} R_R$ and $\sum_{R=1}^{\infty} |R_R| = \sum_{R=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{R+1}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$.

i.e. E is of measure zero.

(c) Let $E = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]\}$. $\partial E = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

E is countable $\Rightarrow E$ is of measure zero by (b).

But $\text{Vol}(\partial E) = \text{Vol}([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) = 1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow E$ is not a Jordan region.

f is conti. at x_0 and E is open

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ if $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$ and $B_\delta(x_0) \subset E$.

Then for all $0 < r < \delta$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_r(x_0))} \int_{B_r(x_0)} f(x) dx - f(x_0) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_r(x_0))} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |f(x) - f(x_0)| dx \quad (\text{Thm 12.25 (iii)})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_r(x_0))} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \text{Vol}(B_r(x_0)) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon \quad (\text{Thm 12.25 (ii)})$$

$$\therefore \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_r(x_0))} \int_{B_r(x_0)} f(x) dx = f(x_0)$$

(Note that $B_r(x_0)$ is a Jordan domain for each $r > 0$, and f is integrable on $B_r(x_0)$ if $0 < r < \delta$ by Thm 12.29 (i))

#6(a).

① f is bdd.

Since $f_k \rightarrow f$ uniformly on E as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists K \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $|f(x) - f_k(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in E, k \geq K$.

$\therefore |f(x)| \leq |f_k(x)| + \varepsilon \leq \sup_{x \in E} |f_k(x)| + \varepsilon < \infty$ for $x \in E$ since f_k is

bounded on E ($\because f_k$ is integrable on E)

② f is conti. at the points at which f_k is conti. for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose f_k is conti. at some $x_0 \in E$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists K \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $|f(x) - f_k(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \forall x \in E, k \geq K$.

$\therefore |f(x) - f_k(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \forall x \in E$.

f_k is conti. at x_0 .

$\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|f_k(x) - f_k(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ if $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$ and $x \in E$.

$\therefore |f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq |f(x) - f_k(x)| + |f_k(x) - f_k(x_0)| + |f_k(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon$

if $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$ and $x \in E$.

i.e. f is conti. at x_0 .

② the set of pts of discontinuity of f on E is of measure zero.

Let $Z = \{x \in E \mid f \text{ is not conti. at } x\}$.

$Z_R = \{x \in E \mid f_R \text{ is not conti. at } x\}$

f_R is integrable on $E \Rightarrow Z_R$ is of measure zero by Thm 12.29 (i)

By ②, $Z^c \supset \bigcap_{R=1}^{\infty} Z_R^c \Rightarrow Z \subset \bigcup_{R=1}^{\infty} Z_R$

By Rmk 12.28, $\bigcup_{R=1}^{\infty} Z_R$ is of measure zero. Then, it's obvious that Z is of measure zero just by the definition.

①+③ and Thm 12.29 (i) $\Rightarrow f$ is integrable on E .

Given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists K \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $|f(x) - f_R(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{\text{Vol}(E) + 1} \quad \forall x \in E \quad R \geq K$.

\therefore For $R \geq K$,

$$\left| \int_E f_R(x) dx - \int_E f(x) dx \right| \leq \int_E |f_R(x) - f(x)| dx \quad (\text{Thm 12.25 (iii)})$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{\text{Vol}(E) + 1} \text{Vol}(E) < \epsilon \quad (\text{Thm 12.25 (ii)})$$

i.e. $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_R(x) dx = \int_E f(x) dx$.

(b) Define $f_R: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f_R(x, y) = \cos\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) e^{\frac{y}{R}}$ for $(x, y) \in E$.

E is bdd $\Rightarrow \exists M > 0$ s.t. $|x| \leq M, |y| \leq M$ if $(x, y) \in E$.

$\cos x e^y$ is conti. at $(0, 0) \Rightarrow$ for each $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$|\cos x e^y - 1| = |\cos x e^y - \cos 0 e^0| < \epsilon \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in B_\delta(0, 0).$$

Choose $K \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\frac{M}{K} < \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}}$ then $\forall (x, y) \in E, |x| < M, |y| < M$.

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{R}\right)^2} \leq \frac{M}{R} \sqrt{2} \leq \frac{M}{K} \sqrt{2} < \delta \quad \text{if } R \geq K.$$

i.e. $\left(\frac{x}{R}, \frac{y}{R}\right) \in B_\delta(0, 0)$ if $R \geq K$

$$\Rightarrow |f_R(x, y) - 1| = \left| \cos \frac{x}{R} e^{\frac{y}{R}} - 1 \right| < \epsilon \quad \forall (x, y) \in E \quad \text{if } R \geq K$$

i.e. $f_R \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on E as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

By (a), $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \iint_E \cos\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) e^{\frac{y}{R}} dA = \iint_E dA = \text{Vol}(E)$.

#10. Suppose that $\exists x_0 \in V$ s.t. $f(x_0) > 0$. f is conti. at x_0 and V is open
 $\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $f(x) > \frac{f(x_0)}{2} > 0$ if $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$, and $B_\delta(x_0) \subset V$.

Obviously, $B_\delta(x_0)$ is a Jordan region and $B_\delta(x_0) \subset V$, hence

$$0 = \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} f(x) dx \geq \frac{f(x_0)}{2} \cdot \text{Vol}(B_\delta(x_0)) > 0 \quad \times$$

$\therefore f(x) \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in V$.

Similarly, $f(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in V$

$\Rightarrow f \equiv 0$ on V .

#11. E is a Jordan domain and $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable.

(a) $f(E) \subseteq H$ for some cpt set H . $\phi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is conti.

ϕ is conti. on the cpt set $H \Rightarrow \phi$ is bdd. $\Rightarrow \phi \circ f$ is bdd. on E .

Let $Z = \{x \in E \mid f \text{ is not conti. at } x\}$.

f is integrable on $E \Rightarrow Z$ is of measure zero. (Thm 12.29 (i))

But for each $x \in E \setminus Z$, f is conti. at x . ϕ is conti. at $f(x)$
 $\Rightarrow \phi \circ f$ is conti. at $x \Rightarrow$ The set of pts of discontinuity of $\phi \circ f$ is contained in Z , hence is of measure zero.

$\Rightarrow \phi \circ f$ is integrable on E .

(b). Let $E = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, $f(x, y) = x$ for $(x, y) \in E$.

f is conti. on $E \Rightarrow f$ is integrable on E .

$f(E) = [0, 1] =: H$. H is compact.

Define $\phi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\phi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t} & \text{if } t \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}$

Then ϕ is conti. on H except 0.

But $\phi \circ f$ is unbounded on E hence is not integrable.

§12.3

15. (a) It's easy to show that $f(x, \cdot)$ is conti. on $[c, d]$ for each $x \in [a, b]$, hence is integrable on $[c, d]$ for each $x \in [a, b]$; $f(\cdot, y)$ is conti. on $[a, b]$ for each $y \in [c, d]$ hence is integrable on $[a, b]$ for each $y \in [c, d]$.
 f is conti. on $R \Rightarrow f$ is integrable on R .

\therefore The hypotheses of Fubini's Thm hold.

(b) Define $f: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2^{2n} \sin(2^n \pi x) \sin(2^n \pi y) & \text{for } (x, y) \in [2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}] \times [2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}], n \in \mathbb{N} \\ -2^{2n+1} \sin(2^{n+1} \pi x) \sin(2^n \pi y) & \text{for } (x, y) \in [2^{-n-1}, 2^{-n}] \times [2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}], n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously, f is conti. in each variable separately.

For each $0 < y \leq 1$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $y \in [2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}]$,

$$\int_0^1 f(x, y) dx = \sin(2^n \pi y) \left[-2^{2n+1} \int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} \sin(2^{n+1} \pi x) dx + 2^{2n} \int_{2^{-n}}^{2^{-n+1}} \sin(2^n \pi x) dx \right] = 0$$

$$\int_0^1 f(x, 0) dx = 0$$

$$\therefore \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^1 0 dy = 0$$

For each $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $x \in [2^{-n-1}, 2^{-n}]$,

$$\int_0^1 f(x, y) dy = \sin(2^{n+1} \pi x) \left[2^{2n+2} \int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} \sin(2^{n+1} \pi y) dy - 2^{2n+1} \int_{2^{-n}}^{2^{-n+1}} \sin(2^n \pi y) dy \right] = 0$$

$$\int_0^1 f(0, y) dy = 0$$

For each $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1$,

$$\int_0^1 f(x, y) dy = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 2^2 \sin(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi y) dy = \frac{-4 \sin(2\pi x)}{\pi}$$

$$\therefore \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x, y) dy dx = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{-4 \sin(2\pi x)}{\pi} dx = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \neq 0 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x, y) dx dy$$

i.e. The result of Fubini's Thm doesn't hold.

3.12.5
 #6(a). Define $f: R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\cdots f_n(x_n)$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R$.
 f_k is integrable on $[a_k, b_k]$ for $k=1, \dots, n$.
 $\Rightarrow f_k$ is bounded on $[a_k, b_k]$ for $k=1, \dots, n$.
 $\Rightarrow \exists M > 1$ s.t. $|f_k(x_k)| \leq M \quad \forall x_k \in [a_k, b_k]$ for $k=1, \dots, n$.

For any rectangle $I = [c_1, d_1] \times [c_2, d_2] \times \cdots \times [c_n, d_n] \subset R$

We can show that

$$\sup_{x \in I} f(x) - \inf_{x \in I} f(x) \leq 4^n M^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sup_{x_k \in [c_k, d_k]} f_k(x_k) - \inf_{x_k \in [c_k, d_k]} f_k(x_k) \right)$$

by induction. (Show it!)

Hence for each grid Q on R ,

$$U(f, Q) - L(f, Q) \leq 4^n M^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left[U(f_k, P_k(Q)) - L(f_k, P_k(Q)) \right] \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n (b_j - a_j)$$

where $P_k(Q)$ is defined as in P.381.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, choose P_k the partition of $[a_k, b_k]$ s.t.

$$U(f_k, P_k) - L(f_k, P_k) < \left(4^n M^n n \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n (b_j - a_j) \right)^{-1} \varepsilon$$

Then $U(f, Q) - L(f, Q) < \varepsilon$ where Q is the grid associated to P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n . i.e. f is integrable on R .

According to Lemma 12.36 and the previous result, f is integrable on R and for each $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}]$, $f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\cdots f_{n-1}(x_{n-1})f_n(\cdot)$ is integrable on $[a_n, b_n] \Rightarrow$

$$\int_{a_n}^{b_n} f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\cdots f_{n-1}(x_{n-1})f_n(x_n) dx_n$$

$$= f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\cdots f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) \int_{a_n}^{b_n} f_n(x_n) dx_n$$

is integrable on $[a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}]$, and

$$\int_R f(x) dx = \int_{[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \cdots \times [a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}]} f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\cdots f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) \int_{a_n}^{b_n} f_n(x_n) dx_n d(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

$$= \left(\int_{[a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}]} f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\cdots f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) d(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \right) \left(\int_{a_n}^{b_n} f_n(x_n) dx_n \right)$$

Apply the previous result to $n-1, n-2, \dots, 1$, we get

$$\int_R f(x) dx = \left(\int_{[a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_{n-2}, b_{n-2}]} f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\cdots f_{n-2}(x_{n-2}) d(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) \right)$$

$$\cdot \left(\int_{a_{n-1}}^{b_{n-1}} f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) dx_{n-1} \right) \left(\int_{a_n}^{b_n} f_n(x_n) dx_n \right)$$

• $\phi \mu \equiv i \pi \ominus \equiv L^*$ { $f \theta \delta \circ \Omega \Omega S : \| - \Phi O \} \mp \ddot{U} \phi \Omega \Omega N \% \leftarrow \ddot{U} \% \zeta \zeta \hat{a} ? \leq \{ \dot{E} \Gamma \dot{E} \dot{E} S \neg^* \cap \Gamma I \div 9 D \Delta \dot{z} \} / Z \ddot{+} \dot{E} \{ \ddot{B} \phi \% \} \circ \dot{E} S \%$

=

$$= \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} f_1(x_1) dx_1 \right) \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} f_2(x_2) dx_2 \right) \dots \left(\int_{a_n}^{b_n} f_n(x_n) dx_n \right)$$

#6(b) Define $f_R: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f_R(x_R) = e^{-x_R}$ for $x_R \in [0, 1]$ for $R=1, \dots, n$

Then f_R is integrable on $[0, 1]$.

By (a),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q e^{-x \cdot \mathcal{Y}} dx &= \int_Q e^{-x_1} e^{-x_2} \dots e^{-x_n} dx \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 e^{-x_1} dx_1 \right) \left(\int_0^1 e^{-x_2} dx_2 \right) \dots \left(\int_0^1 e^{-x_n} dx_n \right) \\ &= (1 - e^{-1})^n = \left(\frac{e-1}{e} \right)^n \end{aligned}$$

P.S. In (a), in fact,

$$\sup_{x \in I} f(x) - \inf_{x \in I} f(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{R=1}^n (M_R - m_R) + M \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{\substack{R=1 \\ R \neq i}}^n (M_R - m_R) + M^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n} \prod_{\substack{R=1 \\ R \neq i_1, i_2}}^n (M_R - m_R) \\ &+ M^3 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq n} \prod_{\substack{R=1 \\ R \neq i_1, i_2, i_3}}^n (M_R - m_R) + \dots + M^{n-1} \sum_{R=1}^n (M_R - m_R) \end{aligned}$$

Where $M_R = \sup_{x_R \in [c_R, d_R]} f_R(x_R)$, $m_R = \inf_{x_R \in [c_R, d_R]} f_R(x_R)$.