

Advanced Algebra II Homework 3

Yang Ju-Chen

B95201057

2007/03/23

1. Determine $\mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Proof.

Let the free \mathbb{Z} -module on $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ be F , and let the submodule used to create tensor product be K . Define the \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism $f : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(m,n)}$ by $f(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \overline{ab}$. Now for any $\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_m, \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f((\bar{a}_1 + \bar{a}_2, \bar{b}) - (\bar{a}_1, \bar{b}) - (\bar{a}_2, \bar{b})) &= f(\overline{(\bar{a}_1 + \bar{a}_2, \bar{b})}) - f(\overline{(\bar{a}_1, \bar{b})}) - f(\overline{(\bar{a}_2, \bar{b})}) \\ &= \overline{(a_1 + a_2)b} - \overline{a_1 b} - \overline{a_2 b} = 0, \\ f((\bar{a}, \bar{b}_1 + \bar{b}_2) - (\bar{a}, \bar{b}_1) - (\bar{a}, \bar{b}_2)) &= f(\overline{(\bar{a}, \bar{b}_1 + \bar{b}_2)}) - f(\overline{(\bar{a}, \bar{b}_1)}) - f(\overline{(\bar{a}, \bar{b}_2)}) \\ &= \overline{a(b_1 + b_2)} - \overline{ab_1} - \overline{ab_2} = 0, \\ f((\bar{a}, r\bar{b}) - r(\bar{a}, \bar{b})) &= f(\overline{(\bar{a}, r\bar{b})}) - rf(\overline{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}) \\ &= \overline{a(r\bar{b})} - r\overline{a\bar{b}} = 0, \\ f((r\bar{a}, \bar{b}) - r(\bar{a}, \bar{b})) &= f(\overline{(r\bar{a}, \bar{b})}) - rf(\overline{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}) \\ &= \overline{(ra)\bar{b}} - r\overline{a\bar{b}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $K \subseteq \ker f$. We may identify $F/\ker f$ as a submodule of $F/K = \mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n$ by $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) + \ker f \rightarrow (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) + K = \bar{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{b}$. It's clear that $\text{Im } f = \mathbb{Z}_{(m,n)}$, so $F/\ker f \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(m,n)}$, hence

$$|\mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n| \geq |F/\ker f| = |\mathbb{Z}_{(m,n)}| = (m, n).$$

For any $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have

$$\bar{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{b} = ab\bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1} \in \langle \bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n$ is generated from all those elements like above, we conclude that $\mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n = \langle \bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$. From elementary number theory, there exists $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $xm + yn = (m, n)$. Hence we have

$$(m, n) \bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1} = xm\bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1} + yn\bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1} = x\bar{m} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1} + y\bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{n} = 0 \Rightarrow |\bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1}| \mid (m, n),$$

in particular,

$$|\mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n| = |\bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1}| \leq (m, n).$$

Therefore, $|\mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n| = |\bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1}| = (m, n)$, $\mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n = \langle \bar{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{1} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(m,n)}$. ■

2. Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$. Prove that M is flat if and only if both M_i are flat. What can you say if $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ with general index set I .

Proof.

Caution When I is any index set, the symbol $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ actually means all the function φ on I such that $\varphi(i) \in M_i$ and $\varphi(i) = 0$ for **all but finitely** many.

Given any exact $0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_2$, say $f_i : N_1 \otimes M_i \rightarrow N_2 \otimes M_i$, and $f : \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N_1 \otimes M_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N_2 \otimes M_i)$ defined naturally by f_i .

Claim $\ker f = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \ker f_i$.

[Given $\varphi \in \ker f$, then $f(\varphi) = 0 \Rightarrow f(\varphi)(i) = f_i(\varphi(i)) = 0$, so $\varphi(i) \in \ker f_i$ for all i . Since $\varphi \in \ker f \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N_1 \otimes M_i)$, there is only finite i such that $\varphi(i) \neq 0$, hence $\varphi \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \ker f_i$.

Now given $\varphi \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \ker f_i$, say $0 \neq \varphi(i) \in \ker f_i$ for finite $i \in I_0$, then $f_i(\varphi(i)) = f(\varphi)(i) =$

0 for all $i \in I$, hence $\varphi \in \ker f$.]

By theorem IV.5.9 on Hungerford, we have

$$N \otimes M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N \otimes M_i)$$

for any module N . Above claim says that f_i is injective for all $i \in I$ iff f is, that is,

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N_1 \otimes M_i) \xrightarrow{f} \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N_2 \otimes M_i) \cong N_2 \otimes M$$

is exact iff $0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes M_i \xrightarrow{f_i} N_2 \otimes M_i$ is exact for all $i \in I$. Hence M is flat iff M_i is for all i . ■

3. Let R be a local ring and M is a finitely generated flat R -module. Then M is free.

In fact, if $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq M$ such that they form a basis in $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ over R/\mathfrak{m} , then it forms a basis of M .

Proof.

Since M is finitely generated, so is for $M/\mathfrak{m}M$, hence $\dim_K M/\mathfrak{m}M = n < \infty$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $K \equiv R/\mathfrak{m}$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq M$ such that $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$ forms a basis of $M/\mathfrak{m}M$, and let $\pi : R^n \rightarrow M$ defined by

$$\pi(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum r_i x_i, \quad r_i \in R.$$

Corollary 1.4.11 says $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = M$, so π is surjective. Do the right exact operation $\mathfrak{m} \otimes$ on the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \pi \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

to get the following exact commute diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathfrak{m} \otimes \ker \pi & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{m} \otimes R^n & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{m} \otimes M & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \ker \pi & \rightarrow & R^n & \rightarrow & M \end{array}$$

Say $f : \mathfrak{m} \otimes \ker \pi \rightarrow \ker \pi$, $g : \mathfrak{m} \otimes R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and $h : \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \rightarrow M$, Snake lemma induces the following exact sequence:

$$\ker h \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(g) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(h).$$

Now since M is flat, exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R$ induces that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \rightarrow R \otimes M \cong M$$

is exact, that is, $\ker h = 0$. Therefore,

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f) = \ker \pi / \mathfrak{m} \ker \pi \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(g) = R^n / \mathfrak{m} R^n = (R/\mathfrak{m}R)^n \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(h) = M/\mathfrak{m}M.$$

But actually $(R/\mathfrak{m}R)^n \cong M/\mathfrak{m}M$ because we take $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$ as basis of $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ over $R/\mathfrak{m}R$, so we conclude that $\ker \pi / \mathfrak{m} \ker \pi = 0$, that is, $\ker \pi = \mathfrak{m} \ker \pi$. When R is Noetherian, or M is projective, or more generally, M is finitely presented (see Lang: Lemma XVI.3.9), $\ker \pi$ is finitely generated. We can therefore apply Nakayama lemma on the relation $\ker \pi / \mathfrak{m} \ker \pi = 0$ to conclude that $\ker \pi = 0$ and $R^n \cong M$. ■

4. Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules. If both M_1, M_3 are finitely generated then so is M_2 .

Proof.

Let $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$, then $\text{Im } f = \ker g$ is finitely generated, say $\{x_i\}$, because M_1 is. Let $\{z_j\}$ be a generating set of M_3 , surjection g permits us to choose one $y_j \in g^{-1}\{z_j\}$ for each j , consider $X = \{x_i, y_j\}$. For each $x \in M_2$, we have for some $s_j \in R$

$$g(x) = \sum_j s_j z_j = \sum_j s_j g(y_j) = g\left(\sum_j s_j y_j\right) \Rightarrow x - \sum_j s_j y_j \in \ker g,$$

hence for some $r_i \in R$,

$$x = \sum_i r_i x_i + \sum_j s_j y_j \in \langle X \rangle,$$

so M_2 is finitely generated. ■

5. * Tensor product commutes with direct limit. That is, $\varinjlim(M_i \otimes N) \cong (\varinjlim M_i) \otimes N$.

Proof.

See Exercise 14 to 20 in Atiyah chapter 2. ■

6. A ring S is said to be an R -algebra if there is a ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$. Show that S is an R -module.

Proof.

Let the ring homomorphism be f , define the operation $\circ : R \times S \rightarrow S$ by

$$r \circ s \equiv f(r) s.$$

For all $a, b \in R$, $x, y \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ (x + y) &= f(a)(x + y) = f(a)x + f(a)y = a \circ x + a \circ y, \\ (a + b) \circ x &= f(a + b)x = f(a)x + f(b)x = a \circ x + b \circ x, \\ a \circ (b \circ x) &= a \circ (f(b)x) = f(a)f(b)x = f(ab)x = (ab) \circ x, \\ 1_R \circ x &= f(1_R)x = 1_S x = x, \end{aligned}$$

so S is an R -module. ■

7. Let S be a flat R -algebra and M be a flat S -module. Then M is a flat R -module.

Proof.

We first prove the following useful lemma:

Lemma 1 (Atiyah Exercise 2.15) Let A, B be rings, let M be an A -module, P a B -module and N an (A, B) bimodule. Then $M \otimes_A N$ is naturally a B -module, $N \otimes_B P$ an A -module, and we have

$$(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P \cong M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P).$$

Proof of Lemma.

For simply, we always use x, y, z, a, b to denote elements in M, N, P, A, B respectively. Any original product will denote without any specific symbol. $M \otimes_A N$ is an A -module under A -tensor construction, and is module- B under new defined $\circ_{M \otimes_A N \times B}$:

$$(x \otimes_A y) \circ_{M \otimes_A N \times B} b \equiv x \otimes_A (yb).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a(x \otimes_A y)) \circ_{M \otimes_A N \times B} b &= ((ax) \otimes_A y) \circ_{M \otimes_A N \times B} b = (ax) \otimes_A (yb) \\ &= a(x \otimes_A (yb)) = a((x \otimes_A y) \circ_{M \otimes_A N \times B} b), \end{aligned}$$

so $M \otimes_A N$ is an (A, B) –bimodule. Similarly, $N \otimes_B P$ is an (A, B) –bimodule under B –tensor construction and $\circ_{A \times N \otimes_B P}$:

$$a \circ_{A \times N \otimes_B P} (y \otimes_B z) \equiv (ay) \otimes_B z.$$

$(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P$ is an (A, B) –bimodule under B –tensor construction and $\circ_{A \times (M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P}$:

$$a \circ_{A \times (M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P} (x \otimes_A y) \otimes_B z \equiv (a(x \otimes_A y)) \otimes_B z.$$

$M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$ is an (A, B) –bimodule under A –tensor construction and $\circ_{M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)}$:

$$x \otimes_A (y \otimes_B z) \circ_{M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)} b \equiv x \otimes_A ((y \otimes_B z) b).$$

Fix an $z \in P$. The mapping $(x, y) \rightarrow x \otimes_A (y \otimes_B z)$ is clearly A –linear in x , and

$$x \otimes_A ((ay) \otimes_B z) = x \otimes_A (a \circ_{A \times N \otimes_B P} (y \otimes_B z)) = a(x \otimes_A (y \otimes_B z)),$$

where the last equality holds by A –linearity of \otimes_A . Hence $(x, y) \rightarrow x \otimes_A (y \otimes_B z)$ is A –linearity in both x and y , universal property induces a A –module homomorphism $f_z : M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$ such that

$$f_z(x \otimes_A y) = x \otimes_A (y \otimes_B z).$$

Next, consider the mapping $(t, z) \rightarrow f_z(t)$ from $(M \otimes_A N) \times P$ into $M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_z((x \otimes_A y) \circ_{M \otimes_A N \times B} b) &= f_z(x \otimes_A (yb)) = x \otimes_A ((yb) \otimes_B z) \\ &= x \otimes_A ((y \otimes_B z) b) = (x \otimes_A (y \otimes_B z)) \circ_{M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P) \times B} b \\ &= f_z(x \otimes_A y) \circ_{M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P) \times B} b, \end{aligned}$$

$$f_{bz}(x \otimes_A y) = x \otimes_A (y \otimes_B (bz)) = x \otimes_A ((y \otimes_B z) b) = f_z(x \otimes_A y) \circ_{M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P) \times B} b,$$

so $(t, z) \rightarrow f_z(t)$ is B –linear in both t and z (summation homomorphic property is easy to verify). Universal property induces a B –module homomorphism $f : (M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P \rightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$ such that

$$f((x \otimes_A y) \otimes_B z) = x \otimes_A (y \otimes_B z).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} f(a \circ_{A \times (M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P} ((x \otimes_A y) \otimes_B z)) &= f((a(x \otimes_A y)) \otimes_B z) = f(((ax) \otimes_A y) \otimes_B z) \\ &= (ax) \otimes_A (y \otimes_B z) = a(x \otimes_A (y \otimes_B z)) \\ &= af((x \otimes_A y) \otimes_B z), \end{aligned}$$

hence f is an (A, B) –bimodule homomorphism. Similarly, we may construct an (A, B) –bimodule homomorphism $g : M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P) \rightarrow (M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P$ such that

$$g(x \otimes_A (y \otimes_B z)) = (x \otimes_A y) \otimes_B z.$$

Clearly $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are identity maps, hence f and g are (A, B) –bimodule isomorphism. ■

Since S is a R –algebra, in particular, S is a (R, S) –bimodule. Now given any exact R –module sequence $0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_2$, that S is R –flat implies $0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes_R S \rightarrow N_2 \otimes_R S$ is exact. $N_i \otimes_R S$ is nothing but extension of scalars from R to S , hence S –flatness of M implies $0 \rightarrow (N_1 \otimes_R S) \otimes_S M \rightarrow (N_2 \otimes_R S) \otimes_S M$ is exact. By previous lemma and Proposition 1.5.7,

$$(N_i \otimes_R S) \otimes_S M \cong N_i \otimes_R (S \otimes_S M) \cong N_i \otimes_R M,$$

that is, $0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes_R M \rightarrow N_2 \otimes_R M$ is exact. Therefore, M is a flat R –module. ■

8. * Complete the exercises and incomplete proofs in the note.