

Algebraic surfaces

BLOWING-UP AND BLOWING-DOWN

Remark 0.1. *The construction of blowing up can be found almost in any book. (Some called it σ -process however). We refer [Beauville, complex algebraic surfaces, chap. II]. However, Beauville only proved that the map h is a bijective morphism. It would be a good exercise to prove that h indeed an isomorphism.*

In this section, we introduce the important notion of blowing-up. This process is essential in studying singularities and hence birational geometry in general.

We first introduce the local version. Let \mathbb{A}^n be the affine space with coordinates z_0, \dots, z_{n-1} and $0 \in \mathbb{A}^n$ be the "origin". We construct a variety $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ by $\{z_i X_j = z_j X_i\}_{i \neq j}$, where X_0, \dots, X_n are the homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . There is a natural morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ by projection. One sees that $\pi^{-1}(0) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $\pi : Y - \pi^{-1}(0) \cong \mathbb{A}^n - \{0\}$. We say Y is the blowing-up of \mathbb{A}^n at 0 and denoted $Bl_0(\mathbb{A}^n)$.

In general, let $x \in X$ be a point in a variety X . Pick an open affine neighborhood U of x . We identify (U, x) with an open set $(U', 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$. Then one has $\tilde{U} := \pi^{-1}(U') \rightarrow U'$ which is the blowing-up of U' at 0 . Glue $X - U$ and \tilde{U} together, we get $\pi_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Which is called the blowing-up of X at x . Note that one has similarly that $\pi_X^{-1}(x) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $\pi_X : \tilde{X} - \pi_X^{-1}(x) \cong X - \{x\}$. The divisor $\pi_X^{-1}(x)$ is called *the exceptional divisor*, and usually denoted E .

Exercise 0.2. *Let $\pi : X = Bl_x(\mathbb{P}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowing-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a point $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Prove that*

$$K_X = \pi^* K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + E$$

by local coordinate computation.

In fact, if $\dim X = 2$, $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a blowing-up at a point $x \in X$, then

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = \pi^* K_X + E.$$

More generally, if $\dim X = n$, and $\pi : \tilde{X} = Bl_x(X) \rightarrow X$ is the blowing-up at x , then

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = \pi^* K_X + (n - 1)E.$$

Let's play a little bit around the blowing-ups. Let's restrict ourselves to surfaces. One might expect that there are similar higher-dimensional formulation. Let X be a surface, and $C \subset X$ be a curve. Let f be the local equation of C around x . By fixing local coordinates z_1, z_2 , we can write

$$f = f(z_1, z_2) = f_m + f_{m+1} + \dots$$

with $f_m \neq 0$. We define the multiplicity of C at x to be

$$m_x(C) := m.$$

One can have an equivalent definition by vanishing order of partial differentials. Hence one can check the $m_x(C)$ is well-defined.

We consider $\pi : \tilde{X} = Bl_x(X) \rightarrow X$. And let C be a curve passing through $x \in X$. Then $\pi^{-1}(C)$ consists of irreducible components, E and the other part maps onto C . The part maps onto C can be defined as

$$\tilde{C} := \overline{\pi^{-1}(C - \{x\})},$$

which is called the *proper transform* of C . Thus we have $\pi^{-1}(C) = \tilde{C} \cup E$. More precisely, by computing the equations, one has

$$\pi^* C = \tilde{C} + m_x(C)E,$$

this is called the *total transform* of C .

We here collect some properties regarding the blowing-up on surface.

Proposition 0.3. *Let $\pi : \tilde{X} = Bl_x(X) \rightarrow X$ be the blowing-up at $x \in X$. Then one has:*

- (1) *There is a natural isomorphism $Div(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}E \rightarrow Div(\tilde{X})$ by $(D, nE) \mapsto \pi^*D + nE$. And the isomorphism induces an isomorphism $PicX \oplus \mathbb{Z}E \rightarrow Pic\tilde{X}$.*
- (2) *Let $D, D' \in Div(X)$, then $(\pi^*D).(\pi^*D') = D.D'$.*
- (3) *Let $D \in Div(X)$, then $(\pi^*D).E = 0$.*
- (4) *$E.E = -1$.*

Proof. It's easy to check the isomorphism given in (1).

For (2) and (3), it follows by choosing Δ, Δ' which are linear equivalent to D, D' respectively but not passing through x .

For (4), by adjunction formula and the fact the $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$,

$$-2 = deg(K_E) = (K_{\tilde{X}} + E).E = (\pi^*K_X + 2E).E = 2E.E.$$

□

The blowing-up gives the first example of birational morphism.

Definition 0.4. *By a rational map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ from X to Y , we mean a regular function on a dense Zariski open (or simply non-empty Zariski open) set $U \subset X$.*

More precisely, a rational map can be written as (U, f) where $U \subset X$ is a dense Zariski-open set and $f : U \rightarrow Y$ is regular.

We say $(U, f) \sim (V, g)$ if $f = g$ on $U \cap V$. In fact, a precise definition of rational map should be the equivalent class of the pairs (U, f) . However, we usually abuse the notation if no confusion is likely.

Definition 0.5. *A rational map $\phi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is said to be birational if it admits an inverse. That is, there is an $\psi : Y \dashrightarrow X$ such that $\psi \circ \phi = id_X, \phi \circ \psi = id_Y$*

Example 0.6. *Let $\pi : Y = Bl_0(\mathbb{A}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, take $\psi : \mathbb{A}^2 - \{0\} \rightarrow Y \subset \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\psi(x, y) = ((x, y), [x, y])$. Then $\psi \circ \pi = id_Y, \pi \circ \psi = id_X$. Hence π is a birational morphism.*

Exercise 0.7. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *X and Y are birationally equivalent.*
- (2) *there are non-empty open subset $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ such that U, V are isomorphic.*
- (3) *$K(X) \cong K(Y)$ as k -algebra.*

Given a variety X , one can obtain various birational equivalent varieties

$$\dots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X$$

by successive blowing-ups. It's also a natural question to ask if X is obtained by blowing-ups? Another way to put it is if X *minimal* or not? The precise formulation of *minimal model in any dimension* is quite subtle.

We start by working on contraction on surfaces. In order to produce a minimal object, we need to tell whether a surface X is obtained from blowing-ups.

Definition 0.8. *Let $C \subset X$ be a curve on X , we say that C is a (-1) -curve if $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $C^2 = -1$*

We seen that we can have a (-1) -curve by blowing-up. In fact we will prove that any (-1) -curve comes from blowing-ups.

Theorem 0.9 (Caltelnuovo). *Let X be a surface (non-singular complex projective surface) with $E \subset X$ a (-1) -curve. Then there is a morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow X'$ with X' non-singular such that π is the blowing-up of X' with exceptional divisor E .*

Proof. The idea is to construct a morphism which is identical at E but isomorphic outside E .

First pick H' any very ample divisor on X , Let $k := H.E > 0$. We consider $H' = H + kE$, then $H'.E = 0$. Notice that the restriction map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(H')) \rightarrow H^0(E, \mathcal{O}(H'|_E) = \mathcal{O}_E) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence the map $\varphi_{H'}$ produce by $|H'|$ is constant on E . We need to refine H so that $\varphi_{H'}$ is isomorphic outside E .

To this end, we first pick any very ample H_0 . It's clear that nH_0 is very ample for all $n > 0$. On the other hand, H_0 is ample, one can arrange that $H := nH_0$ is very ample with $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}(H)) = 0$.

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(H + (i-1)E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(H + iE) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(H + iE|_E) = \mathcal{O}_E(k-i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Claim. $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}(H + iE)) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Grant this for the time being, then one has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H + (i-1)E)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H + iE)) \rightarrow H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(k-i)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(k-i))$ is of dimension $k-i+1$, let $a_{i,0}, \dots, a_{i,k-i} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(H + iE))$ be the lifting of a basis in $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}(k-i))$.

Remark. Before we move on, we would like to remark the difference between $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$ and $\mathcal{L}(D)$. It actually comes from two possible definition of $\mathcal{O}(D)$. If we define the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(D)$ as $\mathcal{O}(D)(U) = \{f \in K(X) | \text{div}(f) + D|_U \geq 0 \text{ on } U\}$. Then $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D)) = \mathcal{L}(D)$. However, another way to look at the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is to consider it as the sheaf of sections line bundle associate to D . Then under this consideration, for $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$, $\text{div}(s)$ gives an effective divisor D_s linearly equivalent to D . To view it as $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is the classical treatment. The Modern viewpoint tends to think it as section of line bundles. We take the convention that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$ represents the global section of line bundle of D from now on.

Let me describe the correspondence in more detail. Given a divisor D , one has a system of local equations (U_i, f_i) . The basic idea behind the notion of line bundle is instead of looking at functions, we look at local functions satisfying given patching conditions. The correspondence is given as

$$\mathcal{L}(D) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D)),$$

$$f \mapsto (U_i, f f_i) = s.$$

And the correspondence between their divisor is given by

$$\text{div}(s) = \text{div}(f) + D,$$

which is an effective divisor $D_s \in |D|$.

Turning back to the proof, let $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(E))$ be a section such that $\text{div}(s) = E$. Then the map $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H + (i-1)E)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H + iE))$ is given by multiplying s . Therefore, by working on the sequence inductively, one can have a basis of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(H + kE))$, given as

$$\{s_0 s^k, \dots, s_n s^k, a_{1,0} s^{k-1}, \dots, a_{1,k-1} s^{k-1}, \dots, a_{k-1,0} s, a_{k-1,1} s, a_k\}.$$

We consider the map $\varphi_{H'} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ given by the above basis. Note that $a_k \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(H'))$ whose restriction to E is a non-zero constant. Hence one has

φ is well-defined along E and $\varphi(E) = [0, \dots, a_k] = [0, \dots, 1]$. Moreover, for $x \notin E$, $s(x) \neq 0$, hence

$$[s_0 s^k(x), \dots, s_n s^k(x)] = [s_0(x), \dots, s_n(x)] = \varphi_H(x).$$

Since H is very ample, φ_H defines an embedding on X and hence on $X - E$. One sees that the first $n + 1$ coordinate of $\varphi_{H'}$ gives an embedding on $X - E$ already, so it follows that $\varphi_{H'}$ gives an embedding on $X - E$.

It remains to show that $X' := \varphi_{H'}(X)$ is non-singular. Let $U \subset X$ be the open subset defined by $a_k \neq 0$. It's clear that $E \subset U$. We want to identify U with an open set $V \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{A}^2} \subset \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. This can be achieved by considering

$$h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \\ x \mapsto \left(\left(\frac{a_{k-1,0}s}{a_k}(x), \frac{a_{k-1,1}s}{a_k}(x) \right), [a_{k-1,0}(x), a_{k-1,1}(x)] \right).$$

We might need to shrink U so that $a_{k-1,0}(x)$ and $a_{k-1,1}(x)$ are not simultaneously vanishing. It's obvious that h factor through $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}^2}$. Let $V = h(U) \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{A}^2}$. Moreover, one has the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{h} & \widetilde{\mathbb{A}^2} \\ \varphi_{H'} \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ \varphi_{H'}(U) & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & \mathbb{A}^2, \end{array}$$

where $\bar{h} = \left(\frac{a_{k-1,0}s}{a_k}, \frac{a_{k-1,1}s}{a_k} \right)$ is a rational map on \mathbb{P}^1 defined on $\varphi_{H'}(U)$. Another remark is that h clearly map $E \subset U$ onto $E \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{A}^2}$. It suffices to show that $h : U \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism. Because, the induced map \bar{h} is an isomorphism. Therefore, $\varphi_{H'}(U)$ is non-singular at $\varphi_{H'}(E)$, which is the only possible singularity.

However, to show that h is an isomorphism is not trivial. One can first prove that it's a homeomorphism, hence in particular, bijective. Then one prove the h induces isomorphism on all local rings. (cf. [Ha. Ex I.3.2, I.3.3]) \square