

Towards $A + B$ Theory in Conifold Transitions for Calabi–Yau Threefolds

Chin-Lung Wang
National Taiwan University
(Joint work with Yuan-Pin Lee and Hui-Wen Lin)

Second Annual Meeting of ICCM
Taipei, Taiwan
December 27, 2018

Contents

- 1 Calabi–Yau 3-folds
- 2 A model and B model
- 3 Mirror, flops, and transitions
- 4 An observation from ordinary k -fold singularity
- 5 Statements for conifold transitions
- 6 Linked GW invariants for A model
- 7 Linked B model via VMHS

I. Calabi–Yau 3-folds

- ▶ A projective manifold X/\mathbb{C} is Calabi–Yau if $\pi_1(X)$ is finite and $K_X = 0$ (or $c_1(X) = 0$).
- ▶ Yau’s solution to the Calabi conjecture \implies for any cpt Kähler X with $c_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$, \exists finite cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$:

$$\tilde{X} = A \times B \times C.$$

$A \cong \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ (flat), B is hyperkähler ($SU(m)$), and C is CY ($SU(n)$). Also $\pi_1(B) = \pi_1(C) = 0$, **C is projective**.

- ▶ The first new case appears in $\dim = 3$. We have $h^1(\mathcal{O}) = h^2(\mathcal{O}) = 0$. WLOG we assume that $\pi_1(X) = 0$.
- ▶ **Question: classification of CY 3-folds?**
- ▶ What is the global structure (symmetries?) of \mathcal{M}_{CY3} ?

- ▶ **Examples.** Adjunction formula for hypersurfaces $X \subset Y$:

$$K_X = (K_Y + X)|_X = 0 \iff X \text{ is anti-canonical in Fano } Y.$$

- ▶ $X = (n + 1) \subset P^n$. E.g. the Fermat hypersurfaces

$$x_0^{n+1} + \dots + x_n^{n+1} = 0$$

is a CY $(n - 1)$ -fold. E.g. quintic 3-folds.

- ▶ $X = (\vec{d}_1, \dots, \vec{d}_k) \subset \prod_{i=1}^m P^{n_i}$ with $\vec{d}_j = (d_{ji})_{i=1}^m$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^k d_{ji} = n_i + 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

This is a CICY of dimension $D = \sum n_i - m$.

- ▶ Let N_D be the numbers of them. Then $N_3 = 7890$.

- ▶ **Toric CY.** A lattice polytope $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$, $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is reflexive if $0 \in \text{int } \Delta$ and its polar (dual) polytope

$$\Delta^\circ := \{w \in N := M^\vee \mid \langle w, v \rangle \geq -1, \forall v \in \Delta\}$$

is also a lattice polytope, in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

- ▶ Number of them [Kruezer–Skarke, 2000]:

$$\mathbf{N}_1 = 16, \quad \mathbf{N}_2 = 4319, \quad \mathbf{N}_3 = 473800776, \dots$$

- ▶ For a reflexive pair (Δ, Δ°) , the toric variety

$$P_\Delta := \text{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{C}^{k\Delta \cap M}\right)$$

is Fano with $H^0(K_{P_\Delta}^{-1}) = \bigoplus_{v \in \Delta \cap M} \mathbb{C} t^v$; similarly for P_{Δ° .

- ▶ For a general section f , $X_f := \{f = 0\}$ is a CY n -fold.

II. Classical A model and B model

- ▶ The Hodge numbers of a CY 3-fold X are

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & 0 & & h^{22} & & 0 \\ 1 & & h^{21} & & & h^{12} & & 1 \\ & & 0 & & h^{11} & & 0 \\ & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & & 1 \end{array}$$

- ▶ $h^{11} = h^1(X, \Omega_X) = h^2$ parametrizes Kähler classes.
- ▶ $A(X) = QH(X)$ is the $g = 0$ Gromov–Witten theory in

$$\omega = B + iH \in \mathcal{K}_X^{\mathbb{C}} = H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \sqrt{-1}\text{Amp}(X).$$

- ▶ $h^{21} = h^1(X, T_X)$ parametrizes complex deformations.
- ▶ $B(X) = (\mathcal{H}^3, \nabla^{GM})$ is the VHS on the complex moduli \mathcal{M}_X under the Gauss–Manin connection with lattice $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

- ▶ **A model.** For a CY 3-fold X , let $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$,

$$\overline{M}(X, \beta) = \{h : C \rightarrow X \text{ stable} \mid C \text{ is nodal}, p_a(C) = 0, h_*[C] = \beta\} / \sim.$$

- ▶ Virtual dim = 0: the essential genus 0 GW invariants are

$$n_{\beta}^X = \langle - \rangle_{\beta}^X = \int_{[\overline{M}(X, \beta)]^{virt}} \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

- ▶ *Toric example.* Let $X_f \subset P_{\Delta}$ with $f \in H^0(K_{P_{\Delta}}^{-1})$.
- ▶ $A(X_f)$ is determined by \mathbb{C}^{\times} -**localization** data [LLY, G 1999]:

$$I^X(q^{\bullet}, z^{-1}) = \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X_f, \mathbb{Z})} q^{\beta} \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{K^{-1} \cdot \beta} (K_{P_{\Delta}}^{-1} + mz)}{\prod_{\rho \in \Sigma_1} \prod_{m=1}^{D_{\rho} \cdot \beta} (D_{\rho} + mz)}.$$

- ▶ Σ is the *normal fan* of P_{Δ} and D_{ρ} is the *torus invariant divisor* corresponding to the one-edge $\rho \in \Sigma_1$, $q^{\beta} = e^{2\pi i(\beta \cdot \omega)}$.

- ▶ **B model.** For the CY family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S := \mathcal{M}_X$,

$$\mathcal{H}^3 := R^3 \pi_* \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow S,$$

$F^p = \pi_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^p$, $\mathcal{H}^{pq} = F^p \cap \overline{F}^q$, $\Omega \in \Gamma(S, F^3)$. Then

$$\nabla^{\text{GM}} F^p \hookrightarrow F^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_S^1, \quad \langle \nabla_{\partial/\partial x_j}^{\text{GM}} \Omega \rangle_{j=1}^{h^{21}} = \mathcal{H}^{21}.$$

- ▶ **Periods.** Let $\delta_m \in H_3(X)$ be a basis with dual $\delta_m^* \in H^3(X)$. For $\eta \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}^3)$, since $\nabla^{\text{GM}} \delta_m^* = 0$, we have

$$\nabla_{\partial/\partial x_j}^{\text{GM}} \eta = \sum_m \delta_m^* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \int_{\delta_m} \eta, \quad j \in [1, h^{21}].$$

GM \iff Picard–Fuchs equations of period integrals $\int_{\delta_m} \Omega$.

- ▶ *Toric example:* $B(X_f)$ is determined by the GKZ* system:
 - (1) symmetry operators;
 - (2) for ℓ a relation of $m_i \in \Delta \cap M$ with $\sum \ell_i = 0$,

$$\square_\ell := \prod_{\ell_i > 0} \partial_i^{\ell_i} - \prod_{\ell_i < 0} \partial_i^{-\ell_i}.$$

III. Mirror, flops, and transitions

- ▶ **Mirror symmetry.**

- ▶ *Topological MS*: (Y, Y°) is a mirror pair of CY 3-folds if

$$h^{21}(Y) = h^{11}(Y^\circ), \quad h^{11}(Y) = h^{21}(Y^\circ).$$

- ▶ *Classical MS*, or $A \leftrightarrow B$ MS: $B(Y) \cong A(Y^\circ), A(Y) \cong B(Y^\circ)$.
- ▶ *Toric Example*: Consider 2 families of CY 3-folds

$$X_f \subset P_\Delta, \quad X_g^\circ \subset P_{\Delta^\circ}.$$

- ▶ Topological MS holds [Batyrev '94].
- ▶ $A \leftrightarrow B$ MS holds for “many cases”.
- ▶ Observation: $\Sigma_1 =$ rays from 0 to $\text{Vert}(\Delta^\circ)$.
- ▶ [HLY 1998] \exists max-deg-point (\Rightarrow mirror transform).

- ▶ **Flops.** A D -flop between CY 3-folds is a birational diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \ell \subset Y & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & Y' \supset \ell' \\
 \searrow \psi & & \swarrow \psi' \\
 & \bar{X} &
 \end{array}$$

where ψ is D -negative (log-extremal) and ψ' is D' -positive.

- ▶ [Kollár, Kawamata 1988] Birational CY 3-folds are connected by flops. **3D flops are classified.**
- ▶ [Kollár–Mori 1992] Birational CY 3-folds Y and Y' have

$$\mathcal{M}_X \cong \mathcal{M}_{X'} \implies B(Y) \cong B(Y')$$

since flops can be *performed in flat families*.

- ▶ [Li–Ruan 2000] $A(Y) \cong A(Y')$ under $q^\beta \mapsto q^{f*\beta}$ ($\ell \mapsto -\ell'$).

▶ **Transitions.**

- ▶ Geometric transition $X \nearrow Y$ (or $Y \searrow X$) of CY 3-folds:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & Y & K_Y = \psi^* K_{\bar{X}}, \\
 & \downarrow \psi & \\
 X \rightsquigarrow & \bar{X} & NF_{\infty}^3 = 0.
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ $X \nearrow Y$ is a conifold transition if \bar{X}_{sing} has only ODPs

$$(\bar{X}, p_i) := \{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 0\}.$$

- ▶ **Q1** [Reid 1987] Can **ALL CY 3-folds** be connected through (possibly non-projective) conifold transitions?
- ▶ **Q2** [W 2009] Does $(A(X), B(X))$ determines $(A(Y), B(Y))$ and vice versa? Notice $A(X) < A(Y)$ and $B(X) > B(Y)$.

IV. An observation from ordinary k -fold singularity

**A + B Model in
Quantum Geometry**

Oct. 12, 2009

NTU Math

Dragon

LOCAL EXAMPLES: Consider the dim k hyper-surface $X_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$:

$$x_0^k + x_1^k + \cdots + x_k^k = 0$$

with $p = 0 \in X_0$ being an ordinary k -fold singularity. The blow-up $f: X = \text{Bl}_p(X_0) \rightarrow X_0$ is crepant with exceptional divisor

$$E = (k) \subset \mathbb{P}^k, \quad N_{E/X} = \mathcal{O}(-1)|_E.$$

The local structure of $E \subset X$, namely the germ (E, X) is equivalent to \mathbb{P}^k “cut out” by the rank 2 vector bundle:

$$V_k = \mathcal{O}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k.$$

X_0 can be smoothed into a flat family $M \rightarrow \Delta$ with general smooth fiber $X' = M_t$. The semi-stable reduction $\pi: W \rightarrow \Delta$ is used to compare X and X' since $W_t = X'$ and $W_0 = X \cup E'$ for some Fano E' .

Quantum Transition from A to B:

The Gromov-Witten extremal function $f(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \langle \mathbf{a} \rangle_{dL} q^{dL}$ attached to the extremal ray $L \in \text{NE}(X)$ can be calculated, using the quantum Serre duality principle, by the bundle

$$V_k^+ = \mathcal{O}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow P^k.$$

This is in turn reduced to $\mathcal{O}(k) \rightarrow P^{k-1}$, the Calabi-Yau CY_k !

Where is the Picard-Fuchs operator P_k for $f(\mathbf{a})$?

Since $\dim CY_k = k - 2$, we must have $\deg P = k - 2$. But $\dim X' = k$. It must be the case that there is a "sub-VHS of $R^k \pi_* \mathbb{C}$ of weight $k - 2$ " which starts at $\Omega \in H^{n-1,1} = H^1(X', \mathbb{T})$. Let Γ be the vanishing cycle along π , then P_k is the Picard-Fuchs op for $\int_{\Gamma} \Omega$.

V. Statements for conifold transitions

Let $X \nearrow Y$ be a *projective* conifold transition of CY 3-folds through \bar{X} with k ODPs p_1, \dots, p_k , $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow \bar{X}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C_i \subset Y & N_{C_i/Y} = \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \\ & \downarrow \psi & \\ N_{S_i/X} = T^*S^3 & S_i \subset X \xrightarrow{\pi} p_i \in \bar{X} & \end{array}$$

Let $\mu := h^{2,1}(X) - h^{2,1}(Y) > 0$ and $\rho := h^{1,1}(Y) - h^{1,1}(X) > 0$.

$$\chi(X) - k\chi(S^3) = \chi(Y) - k\chi(S^2) \implies \mu + \rho = k.$$

Hence there are **non-trivial relations** between the “vanishing cycles”:

$$\begin{array}{ll} A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{k \times \mu}, & \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ij}[C_i] = 0, \\ B = (b_{ij}) \in M_{k \times \rho}, & \sum_{i=1}^k b_{ij}[S_i] = 0. \end{array}$$

Let $0 \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow H_3(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$ and $V := \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem (Basic exact sequence)

We have an exact sequence of *weight two pure Hodge structures*:

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(Y)/H^2(X) \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{C}^k \xrightarrow{A^t} V \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\psi : Y \rightarrow \bar{X}$ deforms in families, this identifies \mathcal{M}_Y as a codimension μ boundary strata in $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ and locally $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \cong \Delta^\mu \times \mathcal{M}_Y$. Write $V = \mathbb{C}\langle \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_\mu \rangle$ in terms of a basis Γ_j 's. Then the α -periods

$$r_j = \int_{\Gamma_j} \Omega, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \mu$$

form the *degeneration coordinates* around $[\bar{X}]$. The discriminant loci of $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ is described by a **central hyperplane arrangement** $D_B = \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i$:

Proposition (Friedman 1986)

Let $w_i = a_{i1}r_1 + \dots + a_{i\mu}r_\mu$, then the divisor $D_i := \{w_i = 0\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ is the loci where the sphere S_i shrinks to an ODP p_i .

- ▶ The β -periods in transversal directions are given by a function u :

$$u_p = \partial_p u = \int_{\beta_p} \Omega$$

- ▶ The Bryant–Griffiths–Yukawa couplings extend over D_B and

$$u_{pmn} := \partial_{pmn}^3 u = O(1) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{a_{ip}a_{im}a_{in}}{w_i} = \int \partial_p \partial_m \partial_n \Omega \wedge \Omega$$

for $1 \leq p, m, n \leq \mu$. It is holomorphic outside this index range.

- ▶ Let $y = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i e_i \in \mathbb{C}^k$, with e^i 's being the dual basis on $(\mathbb{C}^k)^\vee$. The **trivial logarithmic connection** on $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^k \oplus (\underline{\mathbb{C}}^k)^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ is

$$\nabla^k = d + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{dy_i}{y_i} \otimes (e^i \otimes e_i^*).$$

Theorem (Local invariance: $\text{Exc}(\mathcal{A}) + \text{Exc}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{trivial}$)

- (1) ∇^k restricts to the logarithmic part of ∇^{GM} on V^* .
- (2) ∇^k restricts to the logarithmic part of ∇^{Dubrovin} on $H^2(Y)/H^2(X)$.

Theorem (Linked $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ theory)

Let $[X]$ be a nearby point of $[\bar{X}]$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$,

- (1) $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is a sub-theory of $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ (i.e. quantum sub-ring).
- (2) $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ is a sub-theory of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ (sub-moduli, invariant sub-VHS).
- (3) $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ can be reconstructed from a “refined \mathcal{A} theory” on

$$X^\circ := X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k S_i$$

“linked” by the vanishing 3-spheres in $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

- (4) $\mathcal{B}(X)$ can be reconstructed from the variations of MHS on $H^3(Y^\circ)$,

$$Y^\circ := Y \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i$$

“linked” by the exceptional curves in $\mathcal{A}(Y)$.

For (3) and (4), **effective methods** are under developed.

VI. Linked GW invariants for \mathcal{A} model

- ▶ It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}(X) \subset \mathcal{A}(Y)$: for $0 \neq \beta \in H_2(X)$, by degeneration formula in GW theory [Li] we can show

$$n_{\beta}^X = \sum_{\gamma \mapsto \beta} n_{\gamma}^Y.$$

- ▶ To determine $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ from $\mathcal{A}(X) + \mathcal{B}(X)$, it is equivalent to find a definition of each individual term n_{γ}^Y with the same β **in terms of a refined data in X** .

Lemma

$H_2(X^{\circ}) \cong H_2(Y^{\circ}) \cong H_2(Y)$. In particular, for a map $h : C \rightarrow X^{\circ}$, $\gamma := h_*[C] \in H_2(Y)$ is well-defined.

- ▶ This γ is called **a linking data (β, L)** . It encodes the link between $h(C)$ (2D) and S_i 's (3D) inside a 6D space X .

- ▶ If the stable maps $h : C \rightarrow X$ **do not touch** $\cup_i S_i^3$, then the linked GW invariants $n_{(\beta,L)}^X$ is defined and $n_{(\beta,L)}^X = n_\gamma^Y$.
- ▶ In general this is not true. However, it is **true in the virtual sense**, which is all we need:

Proposition

For X_t with $t \in \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ small in the degenerating family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ arising from the semi-stable reduction, we have a decomposition of the virtual class $[\overline{M}(X_t, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}$ into a finite disjoint union of cycles

$$[\overline{M}(X_t, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} = \coprod_{\gamma \in H_2(X^\circ)} [\overline{M}(X_t, \gamma)]^{\text{virt}},$$

where $[\overline{M}(Y, \gamma)]^{\text{virt}} \sim [\overline{M}(X_t, \gamma)]^{\text{virt}} \in A_{\text{vdim}}(\overline{M}(X_t, \beta))$ is a cycle class corresponding to the linking data γ of X_t .

VII. Linked \mathcal{B} model via VMHS

- ▶ $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ is a sub-theory of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ by viewing $\mathcal{M}_Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ as a boundary strata of \mathcal{M}_X .
- ▶ We will show that $\mathcal{B}(Y)$, together with the knowledge of extremal curves $Z := \bigcup_i C_i \subset Y$ determines $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

▶ Proposition

There is a short exact sequence of *mixed Hodge structures*

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow H^3(X) \rightarrow H^3(U) \rightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

where $H^3(X)$ is equipped with the *limiting MHS of Schmid*,

$$V \cong H_{\infty}^{1,1} H^3(X),$$

and $H^3(U)$ is equipped with the *canonical mixed Hodge structure of Deligne*. In particular, $F^3 H^3(X) \cong F^3 H^3(U)$, $F^2 H^3(X) \cong F^2 H^3(U)$.

- ▶ We have on \bar{X} , $U \cong \bar{X}^\circ := \bar{X} \setminus p$, where $p = \bigcup \{p_i\}$:

$$\cdots H_p^1(\Theta_{\bar{X}}) \rightarrow H^1(\Theta_{\bar{X}}) \rightarrow H^1(U, T_U) \rightarrow H_p^2(\Theta_{\bar{X}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

- ▶ [Schlessinger] p_i is a hypersurface singularity \implies
depth $\mathcal{O}_{p_i} = 3$ $\implies H_p^1(\Theta_{\bar{X}}) = 0$ and $H_p^2(\Theta_{\bar{X}}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{C}_{p_i}$:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\Theta_{\bar{X}}) \rightarrow H^1(U, T_U) \rightarrow H_p^2(\Theta_{\bar{X}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

- ▶ Comparing with the local to global spectral sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\Theta_{\bar{X}}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} Ext^1(\Omega_{\bar{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}xt^1(\Omega_{\bar{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})) \xrightarrow{\kappa} H^2(\Theta_{\bar{X}}),$$

- ▶ $\implies \text{Def}(\bar{X}) \cong H^1(U, T_U)$. Similarly, for $Y \supset Z = \bigcup C_i$ we get

$$\text{Def}(Y) = H^1(T_Y) \subset H^1(U, T_U) \cong \text{Def}(\bar{X}),$$

and then $\mathcal{M}_Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ (unobstructedness theorem).

- ▶ Write $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{M}_Y}$ as the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{M}_Y \subset \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$.

- ▶ Since $H^2(U, T_U) \neq 0$, the deformation of U could be obstructed. Nevertheless, *the first-order deformation of U exists* and is parameterized by $H^1(U, T_U) \supset \text{Def}(Y)$.
- ▶ Therefore, we have the following *smooth family*

$$\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1 := Z_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}}(\mathcal{I}^2) \supset \mathcal{M}_Y,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_1 = Z_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}}(\mathcal{I}^2)$ stands for the nonreduced subscheme of $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ as the first jet extension of \mathcal{M}_Y in $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$.

- ▶ [Katz] ∇^{GM} for $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1$ is defined by the lattice $H^3(U, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^3(U, \mathbb{C})$. **It underlies VMHS** instead of VHS.
- ▶ The proposition implies

$$W_i H^3(U) = 0, \quad i \leq 2; \quad W_3 \subset W_4$$

with $\text{Gr}_3^W H^3(U) \cong H^3(Y)$ and $\text{Gr}_4^W H^3(U) \cong V^*$.

- ▶ The Hodge filtration of the local system $F^0 = H^3(U, \mathbb{C})$:

$$F^\bullet = \{F^3 \subset F^2 \subset F^1 \subset F^0\}$$

satisfies **Griffiths' transversality**.

- ▶ Since $K_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U$, F^3 is a line bundle over \mathcal{Z}_1 spanned by $\Omega \in \Omega_{U/\mathcal{Z}_1}^3$. Near $[Y] \in \mathcal{Z}_1$,

F^2 is then spanned by Ω and $v(\Omega)$

where v runs through a basis of $H^1(U, T_U)$.

- ▶ Notice that $v(\Omega) \in W_3$ precisely when $v \in H^1(Y, T_Y)$.
- ▶ Proposition $\Rightarrow F^3 \subset F^2$ on $H^3(U)$ over \mathcal{Z}_1 lifts uniquely to $\tilde{F}^3 \subset \tilde{F}^2$ on $H^3(X)$ over \mathcal{Z}_1 with

$$\tilde{F}^3 \cong F^3, \quad \tilde{F}^2 \cong F^2.$$

- ▶ The complete lifting \tilde{F}^\bullet is then determined since

$$\tilde{F}^1 = (\tilde{F}^3)^\perp$$

by the first Hodge–Riemann relation on $H^3(X)$.

- ▶ Now \tilde{F}^\bullet over \mathcal{Z}_1 uniquely determines a horizontal map

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 \rightarrow \check{\mathbb{D}}.$$

- ▶ Since it has maximal tangent dimension

$$h^1(U, T_U) = h^1(X, T_X),$$

it determines *the maximal horizontal slice*

$$\psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \check{\mathbb{D}}$$

with $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ near \mathcal{M}_Y .

- ▶ Let Γ be the monodromy group generated by the local monodromy $T^{(i)} = \exp N^{(i)}$ around the divisor

$$D_i := \{w_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} a_{ij}r_j = 0\}.$$

- ▶ Under the coordinates $\mathbf{t} = (r, s)$, the period map

$$\phi : \mathcal{M}_X = \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k D^i \rightarrow \mathbb{D}/\Gamma$$

is then given (by an extension of Schmid's NOT) as

$$\phi(r, s) = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\log w_i}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} N^{(i)} \right) \psi(r, s),$$

- ▶ Since $N^{(i)}$ is determined by the Picard–Lefschetz formula, the period map ϕ is completely determined by A and C_i 's.
- ▶ Hence the refined \mathcal{B} model on $Y \setminus Z = U$ determines the \mathcal{B} model on X .

END