# Critical Points of Green Functions on Flat Tori

CHIN-LUNG WANG

Department of Mathematics Taiwan University

> NUS January 12, 2011

> > ▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

▶ This is a joint project with Chang-Shou Lin.

- This is a joint project with Chang-Shou Lin.
- The Green function G(z, w) on a flat torus  $T = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  is the unique function on  $T \times T$  which satisfies

$$-\triangle_z G(z,w) = \delta_w(z) - \frac{1}{|T|}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

and  $\int_T G(z, w) dA = 0$ , where  $\delta_w$  is the Dirac measure with singularity at z = w.

- This is a joint project with Chang-Shou Lin.
- The Green function G(z, w) on a flat torus  $T = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  is the unique function on  $T \times T$  which satisfies

$$-\triangle_z G(z,w) = \delta_w(z) - \frac{1}{|T|}$$

and  $\int_T G(z, w) dA = 0$ , where  $\delta_w$  is the Dirac measure with singularity at z = w.

▶ Because of the translation invariance of  $\triangle_z$ , we have G(z, w) = G(z - w, 0) and it is enough to consider *the Green function* G(z) := G(z, 0). Asymptotically

$$G(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |z| + o(|z|^2).$$

 Not surprisingly, G can be explicitly solved in terms of elliptic functions.

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへで

- Not surprisingly, G can be explicitly solved in terms of elliptic functions.
- Let z = x + iy,  $\tau := \omega_2 / \omega_1 = a + ib \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $q = e^{\pi i \tau}$  with  $|q| = e^{-\pi b} < 1$ . Then

$$\vartheta_1(z;\tau) = -i \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(n+\frac{1}{2})^2} e^{(2n+1)\pi i z}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

- Not surprisingly, G can be explicitly solved in terms of elliptic functions.
- Let z = x + iy,  $\tau := \omega_2 / \omega_1 = a + ib \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $q = e^{\pi i \tau}$  with  $|q| = e^{-\pi b} < 1$ . Then

$$\vartheta_1(z;\tau) = -i \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(n+\frac{1}{2})^2} e^{(2n+1)\pi i z}.$$

(Neron):

$$G(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{\vartheta_1(z)}{\vartheta_1'(0)} \right| + \frac{1}{2b} y^2.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Not surprisingly, G can be explicitly solved in terms of elliptic functions.
- Let z = x + iy,  $\tau := \omega_2 / \omega_1 = a + ib \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $q = e^{\pi i \tau}$  with  $|q| = e^{-\pi b} < 1$ . Then

$$\vartheta_1(z;\tau) = -i \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(n+\frac{1}{2})^2} e^{(2n+1)\pi i z}.$$

(Neron):

$$G(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{\vartheta_1(z)}{\vartheta_1'(0)} \right| + \frac{1}{2b} y^2.$$

► The structure of *G*, especially its critical points and critical values, will be the fundamental objects that interest us.
∇*G*(*z*) = 0 ⇐⇒

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial z} \equiv \frac{-1}{4\pi} \left( (\log \vartheta_1)_z + 2\pi i \frac{y}{b} \right) = 0.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\sigma(z+\omega_i) = -e^{\eta_i(z+\frac{1}{2}\omega_i)}\sigma(z)$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

with  $\eta_i = \zeta(z + \omega_i) - \zeta(z) = 2\zeta(\frac{1}{2}\omega_i)$  the quasi-periods.

$$\sigma(z+\omega_i) = -e^{\eta_i(z+\frac{1}{2}\omega_i)}\sigma(z)$$

with  $\eta_i = \zeta(z + \omega_i) - \zeta(z) = 2\zeta(\frac{1}{2}\omega_i)$  the quasi-periods.

Indeed

$$\sigma(z) = e^{\eta_1 z^2/2} \frac{\vartheta_1(z)}{\vartheta_1'(0)}.$$

(日)

Hence  $\zeta(z) - \eta_1 z = (\log \vartheta_1(z))_z$ .

$$\sigma(z+\omega_i) = -e^{\eta_i(z+\frac{1}{2}\omega_i)}\sigma(z)$$

with  $\eta_i = \zeta(z + \omega_i) - \zeta(z) = 2\zeta(\frac{1}{2}\omega_i)$  the quasi-periods.

Indeed

$$\sigma(z) = e^{\eta_1 z^2/2} \frac{\vartheta_1(z)}{\vartheta_1'(0)}.$$

Hence  $\zeta(z) - \eta_1 z = (\log \vartheta_1(z))_z$ .

• Let  $z = t\omega_1 + s\omega_2$ . By Legendre relation  $\eta_1\omega_2 - \eta_2\omega_1 = 2\pi i$ ,  $\nabla G(z) = 0$  if and only if

$$G_z = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Big( \zeta (t\omega_1 + s\omega_2) - (t\eta_1 + s\eta_2) \Big) = 0$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$\sigma(z+\omega_i) = -e^{\eta_i(z+\frac{1}{2}\omega_i)}\sigma(z)$$

with  $\eta_i = \zeta(z + \omega_i) - \zeta(z) = 2\zeta(\frac{1}{2}\omega_i)$  the quasi-periods.

Indeed

$$\sigma(z) = e^{\eta_1 z^2/2} \frac{\vartheta_1(z)}{\vartheta_1'(0)}$$

Hence  $\zeta(z) - \eta_1 z = (\log \vartheta_1(z))_z$ .

• Let  $z = t\omega_1 + s\omega_2$ . By Legendre relation  $\eta_1\omega_2 - \eta_2\omega_1 = 2\pi i$ ,  $\nabla G(z) = 0$  if and only if

$$G_z = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Big( \zeta (t\omega_1 + s\omega_2) - (t\eta_1 + s\eta_2) \Big) = 0.$$

• **Question:** How many critical points can *G* have in *T*?

$$G(z) = G(-z) \Rightarrow \nabla G(z) = -\nabla G(-z).$$

Let  $p = \frac{1}{2}\omega_i$  then p = -p in *T* and so  $\nabla G(p) = -\nabla G(p) = 0$ .

$$G(z) = G(-z) \Rightarrow \nabla G(z) = -\nabla G(-z).$$

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Let  $p = \frac{1}{2}\omega_i$  then p = -p in *T* and so  $\nabla G(p) = -\nabla G(p) = 0$ .

• Other critical points must appear in pair  $\pm z \in T$ .

$$G(z) = G(-z) \Rightarrow \nabla G(z) = -\nabla G(-z).$$

Let  $p = \frac{1}{2}\omega_i$  then p = -p in *T* and so  $\nabla G(p) = -\nabla G(p) = 0$ .

• Other critical points must appear in pair  $\pm z \in T$ .

## Example

For rectangular tori *T*:  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (1, \tau = bi), \frac{1}{2}\omega_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  are precisely all the critical points.

$$G(z) = G(-z) \Rightarrow \nabla G(z) = -\nabla G(-z).$$

Let  $p = \frac{1}{2}\omega_i$  then p = -p in *T* and so  $\nabla G(p) = -\nabla G(p) = 0$ .

• Other critical points must appear in pair  $\pm z \in T$ .

## Example

For rectangular tori *T*:  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (1, \tau = bi), \frac{1}{2}\omega_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  are precisely all the critical points.

### Example

For the torus *T* with  $\tau = e^{\pi i/3}$ , there are at least 5 critical points: 3 half periods  $\frac{1}{2}\omega_i$  plus  $\frac{1}{3}\omega_3$ ,  $\frac{2}{3}\omega_3$ .

$$G(z) = G(-z) \Rightarrow \nabla G(z) = -\nabla G(-z).$$

Let  $p = \frac{1}{2}\omega_i$  then p = -p in *T* and so  $\nabla G(p) = -\nabla G(p) = 0$ .

• Other critical points must appear in pair  $\pm z \in T$ .

### Example

For rectangular tori *T*:  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (1, \tau = bi), \frac{1}{2}\omega_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  are precisely all the critical points.

### Example

For the torus *T* with  $\tau = e^{\pi i/3}$ , there are at least 5 critical points: 3 half periods  $\frac{1}{2}\omega_i$  plus  $\frac{1}{3}\omega_3$ ,  $\frac{2}{3}\omega_3$ .

• However, it is very difficult to study the critical points from the "simple equation"  $\zeta(t\omega_1 + s\omega_2) = t\eta_1 + s\eta_2$  directly.

$$\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

$$\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 It is originated from the prescribed curvature problem (Nirenberg problem, constant K with cone metrics etc.).

$$\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- It is originated from the prescribed curvature problem (Nirenberg problem, constant K with cone metrics etc.).
- It is the mean field limit of Euler flow in statistic physics.

$$\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- It is originated from the prescribed curvature problem (Nirenberg problem, constant K with cone metrics etc.).
- It is the mean field limit of Euler flow in statistic physics.
- It is related to the self-dual condensation of abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs model (Nolasco and Tarantello 1999).

$$\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0.$$

- It is originated from the prescribed curvature problem (Nirenberg problem, constant K with cone metrics etc.).
- It is the mean field limit of Euler flow in statistic physics.
- It is related to the self-dual condensation of abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs model (Nolasco and Tarantello 1999).
- ▶ In Arithmetic Geometry, G(z, w) also appears in the Arakelov geometry as the intersection number of two sections *z* and *w* of the arithmetic surface  $T \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  at the ∞ fiber  $T_{\infty} =$  Riemann surface *T*.

▶ When  $\rho \notin 8\pi\mathbb{N}$ , it has been proved by C.-C. Chen and C.-S. Lin that the Leray-Schauder degree is

$$d_{\rho} = k+1$$
 for  $\rho \in (8k\pi, 8(k+1)\pi)$ ,

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

so the equation has solutions, regardless on the shape of *T*.

When ρ ∉ 8πN, it has been proved by C.-C. Chen and C.-S. Lin that the Leray-Schauder degree is

$$d_{\rho} = k + 1$$
 for  $\rho \in (8k\pi, 8(k+1)\pi)$ ,

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

so the equation has solutions, regardless on the shape of *T*.

The first interesting case remained is when ρ = 8π where the degree theory fails completely.

▶ When  $\rho \notin 8\pi\mathbb{N}$ , it has been proved by C.-C. Chen and C.-S. Lin that the Leray-Schauder degree is

$$d_{\rho} = k + 1$$
 for  $\rho \in (8k\pi, 8(k+1)\pi)$ ,

so the equation has solutions, regardless on the shape of *T*.

- The first interesting case remained is when ρ = 8π where the degree theory fails completely.
- Theorem (Existence Criterion)

*For*  $\rho = 8\pi$ *, the mean field equation on a flat torus*  $T = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ *:* 

$$\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0$$

has solutions if and only if the G has more than 3 critical points. Moreover, each extra pair of critical points  $\pm p$  corresponds to an one parameter family of solutions  $u_{\lambda}$ , where  $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} u_{\lambda}(z)$  blows up precisely at  $z \equiv \pm p$ .

#### **•** Structure of solutions and relation to extra critical points.

#### Structure of solutions and relation to extra critical points.

Liouville's theorem says that any solution *u* of △*u* + *e<sup>u</sup>* = 0 in a simply connected domain Ω ⊂ C must be of the form

$$u = c_1 + \log \frac{|f'|^2}{(1+|f|^2)^2},$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

where *f* , called a developing map of *u*, is meromorphic in  $\Omega$ .

#### Structure of solutions and relation to extra critical points.

Liouville's theorem says that any solution *u* of △*u* + *e<sup>u</sup>* = 0 in a simply connected domain Ω ⊂ C must be of the form

$$u = c_1 + \log \frac{|f'|^2}{(1+|f|^2)^2},$$

where *f* , called a developing map of *u*, is meromorphic in  $\Omega$ .

It is straightforward to show that

$$S(f) \equiv \frac{f'''}{f'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)^2 = u_{zz} - \frac{1}{2}u_z^2.$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

I.e., any developing map f of u has the same Schwartz derivative.

► Thus for any two developing maps *f* and  $\tilde{f}$  of *u*, there exists  $S = \begin{pmatrix} p & -\bar{q} \\ q & \bar{p} \end{pmatrix} \in PSU(2)$  such that  $\tilde{f} = Sf := \frac{pf - \bar{q}}{qf + \bar{p}}$ .

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

- ► Thus for any two developing maps *f* and  $\tilde{f}$  of *u*, there exists  $S = \begin{pmatrix} p & -\bar{q} \\ q & \bar{p} \end{pmatrix} \in PSU(2)$  such that  $\tilde{f} = Sf := \frac{pf \bar{q}}{qf + \bar{p}}$ .
- Geometrically the Liouville equation is simply the prescribing Gauss curvature equation in the new metric  $g = e^{\mu}g_0$  over D, where  $g_0$  is the Euclidean flat metric on  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$K_g = -e^{-u} \triangle u = \rho. \tag{1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ → □ ◆ ○ ◆

- ► Thus for any two developing maps *f* and  $\tilde{f}$  of *u*, there exists  $S = \begin{pmatrix} p & -\bar{q} \\ q & \bar{p} \end{pmatrix} \in PSU(2)$  such that  $\tilde{f} = Sf := \frac{pf \bar{q}}{qf + \bar{p}}$ .
- Geometrically the Liouville equation is simply the prescribing Gauss curvature equation in the new metric  $g = e^{\mu}g_0$  over D, where  $g_0$  is the Euclidean flat metric on  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$K_g = -e^{-u} \triangle u = \rho. \tag{1}$$

• It is then clear the inverse stereographic projection  $\mathbb{C} \to S^2_{1/\sqrt{\rho}} \setminus N$ 

$$(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \left( \frac{2x}{1 + x^2 + y^2}, \frac{2y}{1 + x^2 + y^2}, \frac{-1 + x^2 + y^2}{1 + x^2 + y^2} \right)$$

provides solutions to (1).

► In this case the conformal factor is

$$e^{u} = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\rho}(1+|z|^2)}\right)^2.$$

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>

In this case the conformal factor is

$$e^u=\Big(rac{2}{\sqrt{
ho}(1+|z|^2)}\Big)^2.$$

Starting from this special solution for D = Δ, the unit disk, general solutions on simply connected domain D can be obtained by using the Riemann mapping theorem via a holomorphic map

$$f: D \to \Delta.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

In this case the conformal factor is

$$e^u=\Big(rac{2}{\sqrt{
ho}(1+|z|^2)}\Big)^2.$$

Starting from this special solution for  $D = \Delta$ , the unit disk, general solutions on simply connected domain *D* can be obtained by using the Riemann mapping theorem via a holomorphic map

$$f: D \to \Delta.$$

The conformal factor is then the one as expected:

$$e^{u} = rac{4|f'|^2}{
ho(1+|f|^2)^2}.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

► Given  $\Lambda$ , for  $\rho = 4\pi l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , by analytic continuing the f's among simply connected domains via PSU(2), f is glued into a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . (Not yet on  $T = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ .)

(日)

► Given  $\Lambda$ , for  $\rho = 4\pi l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , by analytic continuing the *f*'s among simply connected domains via PSU(2), *f* is glued into a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . (Not yet on  $T = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ .)

• Let 
$$z = e^{2\pi i w}$$
:  $\mathbb{H} \to \Delta^{\times}$  and let  $F(w) = f(z) = f(e^{2\pi i w})$ . Then

$$F(w+1) = SF(w)$$

for some  $S \in PSU(2)$ . Up to a conjugation, we may start with another *f* so that

$$F(w+1) = e^{-2i\theta}F(w)$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

for some  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ .
► Given  $\Lambda$ , for  $\rho = 4\pi l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , by analytic continuing the f's among simply connected domains via PSU(2), f is glued into a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . (Not yet on  $T = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ .)

• Let 
$$z = e^{2\pi i w}$$
 :  $\mathbb{H} \to \Delta^{\times}$  and let  $F(w) = f(z) = f(e^{2\pi i w})$ . Then

$$F(w+1) = SF(w)$$

for some  $S \in PSU(2)$ . Up to a conjugation, we may start with another *f* so that

$$F(w+1) = e^{-2i\theta}F(w)$$

for some  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ .

• Now let  $\Psi(w) = e^{-2i\theta w}F(w)$ . Then

$$\Psi(w+1) = e^{-2i\theta(w+1)}F(w+1) = e^{-2i\theta w}F(w) = \Psi(w).$$

Hence  $\Psi(w)$  comes from a meromorphic function  $\psi(z)$  on  $\Delta^{\times}$ .

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

If ψ has essential singularity at z = 0 then f = z<sup>θ/π</sup>ψ takes almost all values in C infinitely many times.

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへで

If ψ has essential singularity at z = 0 then f = z<sup>θ/π</sup>ψ takes almost all values in C infinitely many times.

But

$$\int_{\Delta^{\times}} \frac{4|f'|^2}{(1+|f|^2)^2} \, dA = \rho \int_{\Delta^{\times}} e^u \, dA < \infty,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

with the LHS being the spherical area under the inverse stereographic projections, covered by  $f(\Delta^{\times})$ .

If ψ has essential singularity at z = 0 then f = z<sup>θ/π</sup>ψ takes almost all values in C infinitely many times.

But

$$\int_{\Delta^{\times}} \frac{4|f'|^2}{(1+|f|^2)^2} \, dA = \rho \int_{\Delta^{\times}} e^u \, dA < \infty,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

with the LHS being the spherical area under the inverse stereographic projections, covered by  $f(\Delta^{\times})$ .

• This implies that  $\psi$  is meromorphic on the whole  $\Delta$ .

If ψ has essential singularity at z = 0 then f = z<sup>θ/π</sup>ψ takes almost all values in C infinitely many times.

But

$$\int_{\Delta^{\times}} \frac{4|f'|^2}{(1+|f|^2)^2} \, dA = \rho \int_{\Delta^{\times}} e^u \, dA < \infty,$$

with the LHS being the spherical area under the inverse stereographic projections, covered by  $f(\Delta^{\times})$ .

- This implies that  $\psi$  is meromorphic on the whole  $\Delta$ .
- For  $\rho = 4\pi l$  with  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , the asymptotic of u at z = 0 is given by

$$u(z) \sim 2l \log |z|$$

- ロト・ 日本・ モー・ モー・ うらく

since  $\rho/2\pi = 2l$ .

• Let  $n = \operatorname{ord}_{z=0} \psi \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\psi = z^n g$ . Then  $f = z^a g$  with  $a = n + \theta / \pi$  and

$$u = c_1 + 2\log\frac{|z|^{a-1}|ag + zg'|}{1 + |z^ag|^2}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Let 
$$n = \operatorname{ord}_{z=0} \psi \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 and  $\psi = z^n g$ . Then  $f = z^a g$  with  $a = n + \theta / \pi$  and

$$u = c_1 + 2\log\frac{|z|^{a-1}|ag + zg'|}{1 + |z^ag|^2}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

• If a = 0 then n = 0 and  $\theta = 0$  (since  $0 \le \theta < \pi$ ). In this case  $f = g = \psi$  is holomorphic at 0. So we may assume that  $a \ne 0$ .

Let 
$$n = \operatorname{ord}_{z=0} \psi \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 and  $\psi = z^n g$ . Then  $f = z^a g$  with  $a = n + \theta / \pi$  and

$$u = c_1 + 2\log\frac{|z|^{a-1}|ag + zg'|}{1 + |z^ag|^2}$$

• If a = 0 then n = 0 and  $\theta = 0$  (since  $0 \le \theta < \pi$ ). In this case  $f = g = \psi$  is holomorphic at 0. So we may assume that  $a \ne 0$ .

The asymptotic is then given by

$$u(z) \sim 2(|a|-1)\log |z|.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

In particular,  $|a| = l + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , which forces  $\theta = 0$  because  $0 \le \theta < \pi$ . Moreover  $f = z^{\pm (l+1)}g$  is meromorphic at z = 0.

### **•** First constraint from the double periodicity:

$$f(z + \omega_1) = S_1 f, \quad f(z + \omega_2) = S_2 f$$

with  $S_1 S_2 = \pm S_2 S_1$ .



### First constraint from the double periodicity:

$$f(z + \omega_1) = S_1 f$$
,  $f(z + \omega_2) = S_2 f$ 

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>

with  $S_1 S_2 = \pm S_2 S_1$ .

Second constraint from the Dirac singularity:

#### First constraint from the double periodicity:

$$f(z + \omega_1) = S_1 f, \quad f(z + \omega_2) = S_2 f$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

with  $S_1 S_2 = \pm S_2 S_1$ .

### Second constraint from the Dirac singularity:

(1) Let f(z) has a pole at  $z_0$ .

If  $z_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{\Lambda}$  then the order r = l + 1. If  $z_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\Lambda}$  then r = 1.

### First constraint from the double periodicity:

$$f(z + \omega_1) = S_1 f, \quad f(z + \omega_2) = S_2 f$$

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E • 9 < @</p>

with  $S_1 S_2 = \pm S_2 S_1$ .

### Second constraint from the Dirac singularity:

• May assume that 
$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_1} \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} p & -\bar{q} \\ q & \bar{p} \end{pmatrix}$ , then

$$f(z + \omega_1) = e^{2i\theta_1}f(z), \quad f(z + \omega_2) = S_2f(z).$$

 $S_1S_2 = \pm S_2S_1$  leaves with essentially 2 possibilities:

• May assume that 
$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_1} \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} p & -\bar{q} \\ q & \bar{p} \end{pmatrix}$ , then

$$f(z + \omega_1) = e^{2i\theta_1}f(z), \quad f(z + \omega_2) = S_2f(z).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

 $S_1S_2 = \pm S_2S_1$  leaves with essentially 2 possibilities:

(1) p = 0 and  $e^{i\theta_1} = \pm i$  (may further assume q = 1).

• May assume that 
$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_1} \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} p & -\bar{q} \\ q & \bar{p} \end{pmatrix}$ , then

$$f(z + \omega_1) = e^{2i\theta_1}f(z), \quad f(z + \omega_2) = S_2f(z).$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

 $S_1S_2 = \pm S_2S_1 \text{ leaves with essentially 2 possibilities:}$ (1) p = 0 and  $e^{i\theta_1} = \pm i$  (may further assume q = 1). (2) q = 0 (and so  $p = e^{i\theta_2}$ ).

• May assume that 
$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_1} \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} p & -\bar{q} \\ q & \bar{p} \end{pmatrix}$ , then

$$f(z + \omega_1) = e^{2i\theta_1}f(z), \quad f(z + \omega_2) = S_2f(z).$$

 $S_1S_2 = \pm S_2S_1$  leaves with essentially 2 possibilities: (1) p = 0 and  $e^{i\theta_1} = \pm i$  (may further assume q = 1). (2) q = 0 (and so  $p = e^{i\theta_2}$ ).

The essential object to consider is the logarithmic derivative

$$g(z) = (\log f(z))' = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Any zero/pole of *f* gives a simple pole of *g*. The residue is +1/-1 outside  $\Lambda$ .

**•** Type I (Topological) Solutions:

$$f(z+\omega_1)=-f(z),\qquad f(z+\omega_2)=\frac{1}{f(z)}.$$

Then  $g = (\log f)'$  is elliptic on  $T' = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda'$ ,  $\Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}2\omega_2$  with

$$g(z+\omega_2)=-g(z).$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Type I (Topological) Solutions:

$$f(z + \omega_1) = -f(z), \qquad f(z + \omega_2) = \frac{1}{f(z)}.$$

Then  $g = (\log f)'$  is elliptic on  $T' = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda'$ ,  $\Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}2\omega_2$  with

$$g(z+\omega_2)=-g(z).$$

► For  $\rho = 4\pi l$ , since *g* must have zeros, we get  $f(z) = f(0) + a_{l+1}z^{l+1} + \cdots$  with  $f(0) \neq 0$  and *g* has its only zeros at z = 0,  $\omega_2 \mod \Lambda'$ , both of order *l*.

Type I (Topological) Solutions:

$$f(z + \omega_1) = -f(z), \qquad f(z + \omega_2) = \frac{1}{f(z)}.$$

Then  $g = (\log f)'$  is elliptic on  $T' = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda'$ ,  $\Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}2\omega_2$  with

$$g(z+\omega_2)=-g(z).$$

- ► For  $\rho = 4\pi l$ , since *g* must have zeros, we get  $f(z) = f(0) + a_{l+1}z^{l+1} + \cdots$  with  $f(0) \neq 0$  and *g* has its only zeros at z = 0,  $\omega_2 \mod \Lambda'$ , both of order *l*.
- So g has 2l simple poles coming from p<sub>1</sub>,..., p<sub>l</sub> (simple zeros of f) and q<sub>1</sub>,..., q<sub>l</sub> (simple poles of f) on T'. May set

$$q_i \equiv p_i + \omega_2, \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

The first condition forces that  $\sum p_i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \pmod{\Lambda}$ .

▶ Using elliptic functions on *T*′ and the addition theorem,

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (\zeta(z-p_i) - \zeta(z-p_i - \omega_2)) + l\eta_2/2$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\wp'(z-p_i)}{\wp(z-p_i) - e_2} \qquad (e_i := \wp(\frac{1}{2}\omega'_i)).$ 

▶ Using elliptic functions on *T*′ and the addition theorem,

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (\zeta(z - p_i) - \zeta(z - p_i - \omega_2)) + l\eta_2/2$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\wp'(z - p_i)}{\wp(z - p_i) - e_2}$   $(e_i := \wp(\frac{1}{2}\omega'_i)).$ 

Lemma (ODE for Slopes)

*The* **slope function**  $s := \wp' / (\wp - e_2)$  *satisfies the ODE:* 

$$s'' = \frac{1}{2}s^3 - 6e_2s.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Using elliptic functions on T' and the addition theorem,

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (\zeta(z-p_i) - \zeta(z-p_i - \omega_2)) + l\eta_2/2$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\wp'(z-p_i)}{\wp(z-p_i) - e_2}$   $(e_i := \wp(\frac{1}{2}\omega'_i)).$ 

Lemma (ODE for Slopes)

*The* **slope function**  $s := \wp' / (\wp - e_2)$  *satisfies the ODE:* 

$$s'' = \frac{1}{2}s^3 - 6e_2s.$$

► Then 0 = g(0) = g''(0) = g<sup>(4)</sup>(0) = · · · leads to that all odd symmetric function of slopes s(p<sub>i</sub>)'s are zero. This leads to the evenness of solutions.

$$\wp(z+\omega_2) = e_2 + \frac{(e_1-e_2)(e_3-e_2)}{\wp(z)-e_2}.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\wp(z+\omega_2) = e_2 + \frac{(e_1-e_2)(e_3-e_2)}{\wp(z)-e_2}$$

# ► Theorem

All type I solutions u are even with  $\sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \pmod{\Lambda}$ .

$$\wp(z + \omega_2) = e_2 + \frac{(e_1 - e_2)(e_3 - e_2)}{\wp(z) - e_2}$$

(日)

## ► Theorem

All type I solutions u are even with  $\sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \pmod{\Lambda}$ .

(1) No type I solutions for  $\rho = 8k\pi, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\wp(z + \omega_2) = e_2 + \frac{(e_1 - e_2)(e_3 - e_2)}{\wp(z) - e_2}$$

## Theorem

All type I solutions u are even with  $\sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \pmod{\Lambda}$ .

No type I solutions for ρ = 8kπ, k ∈ N.
 For ρ = 4πl with l = 2k + 1 (k ≥ 0), f has simple zeros at ω<sub>1</sub>/2 and ±p<sub>i</sub> for i = 1,...,k. When k = 0 (ρ = 4π), ∃! solution.

$$\wp(z + \omega_2) = e_2 + \frac{(e_1 - e_2)(e_3 - e_2)}{\wp(z) - e_2}$$

## Theorem

All type I solutions u are even with  $\sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \pmod{\Lambda}$ .

(1) No type I solutions for 
$$\rho = 8k\pi$$
,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (2) For  $\rho = 4\pi l$  with l = 2k + 1 ( $k \ge 0$ ), f has simple zeros at  $\omega_1/2$ and  $\pm p_i$  for i = 1, ..., k. When k = 0 ( $\rho = 4\pi$ ),  $\exists$ ! solution.
- (3) The equation is algebraically completely integrable: For x<sub>i</sub> := ℘(p<sub>i</sub>) e<sub>2</sub> and x̃<sub>i</sub> := ℘(q<sub>i</sub> = p<sub>i</sub> + ω<sub>2</sub>) e<sub>2</sub>,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{m} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{x}_{i}^{m} = c_{m}, \quad x_{m} \tilde{x}_{m} = \mu, \qquad m = 1, \dots, k$$

### **•** Type II (Blow-Up) Solutions:

$$f(z + \omega_1) = e^{2i\theta_1}f(z), \qquad f(z + \omega_2) = e^{2i\theta_2}f(z).$$

### Type II (Blow-Up) Solutions:

$$f(z + \omega_1) = e^{2i\theta_1}f(z), \qquad f(z + \omega_2) = e^{2i\theta_2}f(z).$$

• If *f* satisfies this,  $e^{\lambda}f$  also satisfies this for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus

$$u_{\lambda}(z) = c_1 + \log \frac{e^{2\lambda} |f'(z)|^2}{(1 + e^{2\lambda} |f(z)|^2)^2}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

is a scaling family of solutions with developing maps  $\{e^{\lambda}f\}$ .

#### Type II (Blow-Up) Solutions:

$$f(z + \omega_1) = e^{2i\theta_1}f(z), \qquad f(z + \omega_2) = e^{2i\theta_2}f(z).$$

• If *f* satisfies this,  $e^{\lambda}f$  also satisfies this for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus

$$u_{\lambda}(z) = c_1 + \log \frac{e^{2\lambda} |f'(z)|^2}{(1 + e^{2\lambda} |f(z)|^2)^2}$$

is a scaling family of solutions with developing maps  $\{e^{\lambda}f\}$ .

The blow-up points for λ → ∞ (resp. −∞) are precisely zeros (resp. poles) of f(z).

#### Type II (Blow-Up) Solutions:

$$f(z + \omega_1) = e^{2i\theta_1}f(z), \qquad f(z + \omega_2) = e^{2i\theta_2}f(z).$$

• If *f* satisfies this,  $e^{\lambda}f$  also satisfies this for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus

$$u_{\lambda}(z) = c_1 + \log \frac{e^{2\lambda} |f'(z)|^2}{(1 + e^{2\lambda} |f(z)|^2)^2}$$

is a scaling family of solutions with developing maps  $\{e^{\lambda}f\}$ .

► The blow-up points for  $\lambda \to \infty$  (resp.  $-\infty$ ) are precisely zeros (resp. poles) of f(z).

► 
$$g = (\log f)'$$
 is elliptic on  $T = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , so  $g(z) = A \frac{\sigma^{l}(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{l} \sigma(z - p_{i})}$ .  
Then  $l = 2k$  since  $\sum \operatorname{res}_{p_{i}}g = \sum (\pm 1) = 0$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

1 . .

▶ **Periods integrals.** Let *L*<sub>1</sub>, *L*<sub>2</sub> be the fundamental 1-cycles. Then

$$F_i(p) := \int_{L_i} \Omega(\xi, p) \, d\xi,$$

where  $p \not\equiv \frac{1}{2}\omega_i \pmod{\Lambda}$  and

$$\Omega(\xi, p) = A \frac{\sigma^2(\xi)}{\sigma(\xi - p)\sigma(\xi + p)} = \frac{\wp'(p)}{\wp(\xi) - \wp(p)}$$
$$= 2\zeta(p) - \zeta(p + \xi) - \zeta(p - \xi).$$

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへで

▶ **Periods integrals.** Let *L*<sub>1</sub>, *L*<sub>2</sub> be the fundamental 1-cycles. Then

$$F_i(p) := \int_{L_i} \Omega(\xi, p) \, d\xi,$$

where  $p \not\equiv \frac{1}{2}\omega_i \pmod{\Lambda}$  and

$$\Omega(\xi, p) = A \frac{\sigma^2(\xi)}{\sigma(\xi - p)\sigma(\xi + p)} = \frac{\wp'(p)}{\wp(\xi) - \wp(p)}$$
$$= 2\zeta(p) - \zeta(p + \xi) - \zeta(p - \xi).$$

Lemma (Periods Integrals and Critical Points)

Let  $p = t\omega_1 + s\omega_2$ , then up to  $4\pi i\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$F_1(p) = 2(\omega_1 \zeta(p) - \eta_1 p) = 2(\zeta - t\eta_1 - s\eta_2)\omega_1 - 4\pi is,$$
  

$$F_2(p) = 2(\omega_2 \zeta(p) - \eta_2 p) = 2(\zeta - t\eta_1 - s\eta_2)\omega_2 + 4\pi it.$$

• E.g. when 
$$\rho = 8\pi$$
 ( $l = 2$ ),  $p_1 = p$ ,  $p_2 = -p$ ,  $g(z) = \Omega(z, p)$  and  
 $f(z) = f(0) \exp \int_0^z g(\xi) d\xi$ 

gives rise to a type II solution  $\iff F_i(p) \in i \mathbb{R} \iff \nabla G(p) = 0.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

• E.g. when 
$$\rho = 8\pi$$
 ( $l = 2$ ),  $p_1 = p$ ,  $p_2 = -p$ ,  $g(z) = \Omega(z, p)$  and  
 $f(z) = f(0) \exp \int_0^z g(\xi) d\xi$ 

gives rise to a type II solution  $\iff F_i(p) \in i \mathbb{R} \iff \nabla G(p) = 0.$ 

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Theorem (Uniqueness)

For  $\rho = 8\pi$ , the mean field equation  $\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0$  on a flat torus has at most one solution **up to scaling**.

• E.g. when 
$$\rho = 8\pi$$
 ( $l = 2$ ),  $p_1 = p$ ,  $p_2 = -p$ ,  $g(z) = \Omega(z, p)$  and  
 $f(z) = f(0) \exp \int_0^z g(\xi) d\xi$ 

gives rise to a type II solution  $\iff F_i(p) \in i \mathbb{R} \iff \nabla G(p) = 0.$ 

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Theorem (Uniqueness)

For  $\rho = 8\pi$ , the mean field equation  $\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0$  on a flat torus has at most one solution **up to scaling**.

Theorem (Number of Critical Points)

The Green function has either 3 or 5 critical points.
• E.g. when 
$$\rho = 8\pi$$
 ( $l = 2$ ),  $p_1 = p$ ,  $p_2 = -p$ ,  $g(z) = \Omega(z, p)$  and  
 $f(z) = f(0) \exp \int_0^z g(\xi) d\xi$ 

gives rise to a type II solution  $\iff F_i(p) \in i \mathbb{R} \iff \nabla G(p) = 0.$ 

## Theorem (Uniqueness)

For  $\rho = 8\pi$ , the mean field equation  $\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0$  on a flat torus has at most one solution **up to scaling**.

Theorem (Number of Critical Points)

The Green function has either 3 or 5 critical points.

• We were unable to prove it from the critical point equation.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Our proof on uniqueness is based on the method of symmetrization applied to the linearized equation at the unique even solution in u<sub>λ</sub> (choose λ = -log |f(0)| to get f(0) = 1).

Our proof on uniqueness is based on the method of symmetrization applied to the linearized equation at the unique even solution in u<sub>λ</sub> (choose λ = -log |f(0)| to get f(0) = 1).

In fact we prove uniqueness of the one parameter family

$$\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0, \quad \rho \in [4\pi, 8\pi]$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

on *T* within *even solutions*, by the continuity method.

Our proof on uniqueness is based on the method of symmetrization applied to the linearized equation at the unique even solution in u<sub>λ</sub> (choose λ = -log |f(0)| to get f(0) = 1).

In fact we prove uniqueness of the one parameter family

$$\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0, \quad \rho \in [4\pi, 8\pi]$$

on *T* within *even solutions*, by the continuity method.

## Theorem

For  $\rho \in [4\pi, 8\pi]$ , Let u be a solution of  $\triangle u + \rho e^u = \rho \delta_0$ , u(-z) = u(z) in T (so  $\int_T e^u = 1$ .) Then the linearized equation at u:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \varphi + \rho e^{u} \varphi = 0\\ \varphi(z) = \varphi(-z) \end{cases} \quad in \ T$$

*is non-degenerate, i.e. it has only trivial solution*  $\varphi \equiv 0$ *.* 

## Sketch of the main idea:

Use  $x = \wp(z)$  as two-fold covering map  $T \to S^2 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  and require  $\wp$  being an isometry:

$$e^{u(z)}|dz|^2 = e^{v(x)}|dx|^2 = e^{v(x)}|\wp'(z)|^2|dz|^2.$$

Namely we set

$$v(x) := u(z) - \log |\wp'(z)|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) := \varphi(z).$$

There are four branch points on  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $p_0 = \wp(0) = \infty$  and  $p_j = e_j := \wp(\omega_j/2)$  for j = 1, 2, 3. Since  $\wp'(z)^2 = 4 \prod_{j=1}^3 (x - e_j)$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v + \rho e^{v} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} (-2\pi) \delta_{p_{j}} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \\ \Delta \psi + \rho e^{v} \psi = 0 \end{cases}$$

At infinity let y = 1/x. The isometry reads as

$$e^{u(z)}|dz|^{2} = e^{w(y)}|dy|^{2} = e^{w(y)}\frac{|\wp'(z)|^{2}}{|\wp(z)|^{4}}|dz|^{2},$$

$$w(y) = u(z) - \log \frac{|\wp(z)|^2}{|\wp(z)|^4} \sim \left(\frac{\rho}{2\pi} - 2\right) \frac{1}{2} \log|y|.$$

Thus  $\rho \ge 4\pi$  implies that  $p_0$  is a singularity with non-negative  $\alpha_0$ .

By replacing *u* by  $u + \log \rho$  etc., we may (and will) replace the  $\rho$  in the left hand side by 1 for simplicity. The total measure on *T* and  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are then given by

$$\int_T e^u dz = \rho \le 8\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^v dx = \frac{\rho}{2} \le 4\pi$$

The proof is then reduced to:

## Theorem (Symmetrization Lemma) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a simply-connected domain and let v be a solution of

$$\triangle v + e^v = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \delta_{p_j}$$

in  $\Omega$ . Suppose that the first eigenvalue of  $\triangle + e^v$  is zero on  $\Omega$  with  $\varphi$  the first eigenfunction. If the isoperimetric inequality with respect to  $ds^2 = e^v |dx|^2$ :  $2\ell^2(\partial \omega) \ge m(\omega)(4\pi - m(\omega))$ 

holds for all level domains  $\omega = \{ \phi \ge t \}$  with  $t \ge 0$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{v} \, dx \ge 2\pi.$$

*Moreover, the isoperimetric inequality holds if there is only one negative*  $\alpha_j$  *and*  $\alpha_j = -1$ *.* 

- ロト・ 日本・ モー・ モー・ うらく

• It remains to study the geometry of critical points over  $\mathcal{M}_1$ .

- It remains to study the geometry of critical points over  $\mathcal{M}_1$ .
- It relies on methods of deformations in  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and the degeneracy analysis of half periods.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

- It remains to study the geometry of critical points over  $\mathcal{M}_1$ .
- It relies on methods of deformations in  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and the degeneracy analysis of half periods.
- Theorem (Moduli dependence\*\*)
  - Let Ω<sub>3</sub> ⊂ M<sub>1</sub> ∪ {∞} ≅ S<sup>2</sup> (resp. Ω<sub>5</sub>) be the set of tori with 3 (resp. 5) critical points, then Ω<sub>3</sub> ∪ {∞} is closed containing iℝ, Ω<sub>5</sub> is open containing the vertical line [e<sup>πi/3</sup>, i∞).
  - Both Ω<sub>3</sub> and Ω<sub>5</sub> are simply connected with C := ∂Ω<sub>3</sub> = ∂Ω<sub>5</sub> homeomorphic to S<sup>1</sup> containing ∞.
  - (3) Moreover, the extra critical points are split out from some half period point when the tori move from Ω<sub>3</sub> to Ω<sub>5</sub> across C.