

# Aspects on Calabi–Yau moduli

Chin-Lung Wang  
National Taiwan University

The 90th Anniversary of Tsinghua Math.  
April 23, 2017

# Contents

- ▶ Distance
- ▶ Curvature
- ▶ Singularities
- ▶ Transitions
- ▶ Invariance

# Distance

For a polarized family of Calabi–Yau  $n$ -folds  $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow S$ ,

$$\omega_{WP} = c_1(F^n, \langle, \rangle) = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial} \log \langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle$$

where  $\Omega$  is any local holomorphic section of  $F^n = \pi_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/S}$

## Theorem (W-1996)

Let  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Delta^\times$  be a polarized VHS of weight  $n$  with  $\text{rank } F^n = 1$ .  
Then  $0 \in \Delta$  is at finite distance if and only if

$$NF_\infty^n = 0.$$

Here  $F_\infty^\bullet$  is the limiting Hodge filtration and  $N$  is the nilpotent part of the monodromy operator.

Assume that  $S = (\Delta^\times)^r \times \Delta^m$ ,  $0 \in \bar{S} = \Delta^{r+m}$ , and

$$D = \bar{S} \setminus S = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_r$$

a NCD with nilpotent monodromy  $N_j$  along  $D_j = (t_j)$ .

### Conjecture

*The point  $0 \in \bar{S}$  is at finite  $g_{WP}$  distance if and only if*

$$N_j F_\infty^n = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Here  $F_\infty^\bullet$  is the limiting Hodge filtration with respect to  $N = \sum_{j=1}^r N_j$ .

$\Leftarrow$  is easy: for  $\gamma(t) = (t^{d_1}, \dots, t^{d_r}, c_1, \dots, c_m)$ ,  $d_j > 0$ ,

$$N_{\vec{d}} = \sum_{j=1}^r d_j N_j$$

all define the same weight filtration  $W_\bullet$ .

# Approach I: VMHS

By the nilpotent orbit theorem, we may pick

$$\Omega(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum (\log t_j) N_j} a(t) \in F_t^n,$$

where  $a(t)$  is holomorphic in  $t$  with  $a(0) \in F_\infty^n$ .

## Theorem (T.-J. Lee 2016)

*Let  $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow S = (\Delta^\times)^2 \times \Delta^m$  be a polarized family of Calabi–Yau 3-folds. Then the distance measured by the dominant term of the Weil–Petersson potential is infinite if  $N_j F_\infty^3 \neq 0$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ .*

## Question

*Is there a geodesic  $\gamma \subset S$  towards  $0 \in \bar{S}$  which lies in a holomorphic curve  $C \subset S$ ? Is there a geodesic which fails this property?*

## Approach II: Hessian geometry

Given  $ds^2 = \sum h_{ij} dy_i \otimes dy_j$ ,  $h = -\log p$ , i.e.  $h_{ij} = p^{-2} p_i p_j - p^{-1} p_{ij}$ . Assume that  $(p_{ij}) = D^2 p$  is invertible,  $(dp)^2 := \sum p^{ij} p_i p_j$ , then

$$h^{ij} = -p \left( p^{ij} - \frac{p^i p^j}{(dp)^2 - p} \right),$$

$$0 < \|\nabla h\|^2 = \sum h^{ij} h_i h_j = -\frac{1}{p} \left( (dp)^2 - \frac{((dp)^2)^2}{(dp)^2 - p} \right) = \frac{(dp)^2}{(dp)^2 - p}.$$

Hence  $(dp)^2 > p$ , and  $\|\nabla h\| \leq f \iff p \leq (1 - f^{-2})(dp)^2$ . Then

$$|\gamma| = \int_{\gamma} \|\gamma'\| ds \geq \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{f} |\nabla h \cdot \gamma'| ds \geq \left| \int_{\gamma} \frac{dh}{f} \right|.$$

Then  $|\gamma| = \infty$  if  $f = c$ , or  $f = c|h| \log|h| \log(\log|h|)$  etc..

# Curvature

Let  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow S$  be an effective polarized VHS of weight  $n$  with  $h^{n,0} = 1$ . Let  $g_{WP} = \sum g_{i\bar{j}} dt_i \otimes d\bar{t}_j$  on  $S$ .

**Theorem (W-1997, see also Schumacher 1993)**

*The full curvature tensor of  $g_{WP}$  is given by*

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -(g_{i\bar{j}}g_{k\bar{l}} + g_{i\bar{l}}g_{k\bar{j}}) + \frac{\langle \sigma_i \sigma_k \Omega, \sigma_j \sigma_l \Omega \rangle}{\langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle},$$

where  $\sigma_i = \sigma(\partial/\partial t_i)$  is the infinitesimal period map.

For  $n = 3$ , it is equivalent to Strominger's formula

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -(g_{i\bar{j}}g_{k\bar{l}} + g_{i\bar{l}}g_{k\bar{j}}) + \sum_{p,q} g^{p\bar{q}} F_{pik} \overline{F_{qjl}},$$

where  $F_{ijk}$  is the Bryant–Griffiths cubic form  $F_{ijk} = \frac{\int_X \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \Omega \wedge \Omega}{\int_X \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}}$ .

## Definition

The length of Yukawa coupling  $\ell(\pi)$  for a VHS  $\pi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow S$  is the largest integer  $\ell$  with  $\sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_\ell} \neq 0$  for some  $i_1, \dots, i_\ell$ .

- (i) The existence of *maximal degenerate point* implies that  $\ell(\pi) = n$ . Then the VHS over  $S$  is rigid [Viehweg and Zuo].
- (ii) There exist maximal families (moduli) of Calabi–Yau manifolds  $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  with  $\ell(\pi) = 1$ . Then

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -(g_{i\bar{j}}g_{k\bar{l}} + g_{i\bar{l}}g_{k\bar{j}}).$$

That is,  $(\mathcal{M}, g_{WP})$  is locally complex hyperbolic.

## Question

Is there a mirror-like phenomenon near the boundary of  $\mathcal{M}$ ?



# Examples

Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{n,m} \ni A = \{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$  be the space of  $m$ -hyperplane arrangements of  $P^n$  in general positions. Let  $n \geq 3$  be odd and

$$f_n : \mathfrak{X}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{n,n+3}$$

be the family of  $r = \frac{1}{2}(n+3)$ -fold cyclic cover  $\pi_A : X_A \rightarrow P^n$  branched along  $H_A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+3} H_i \subset P^n$ . Then

$$K_{X_A} = \pi_A^* K_{P^n} + (r-1)H_A \sim 0.$$

## Theorem (Sheng–Xu–Zuo 2013)

*There is a crepant resolution family  $\tilde{f}_n : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{n,n+3}$ ,  $\tilde{X}_A \rightarrow X_A$ , which is a maximal family of Calabi–Yau  $n$ -folds with  $\ell(\tilde{f}_n) = 1$ .*

The  $S_n$ -Galois cover  $\gamma : (P^1)^n \rightarrow \text{Sym}^n P^1 = P^n$  induces

$$\Gamma : \mathfrak{M}_{1,n+3} \cong \mathfrak{M}_{n,n+3},$$

by  $p_i \in P^1 \mapsto H_i = \gamma(\{p_i\} \times (P^1)^{n-1})$ . Now  $f_1 : \mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{1,n+3}$  is a family of curves  $C$  which are  $r$ -cyclic covers of  $P^1$  at  $n+3$  general points. Then  $g(C) = \frac{1}{4}(n+1)^2$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{M}_{1,n+3} = n = h^{1,n-1}(\tilde{X}_A)$ , and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^n / G & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathfrak{X}_n, \\ & \searrow h_n & \swarrow f_n \\ & \mathfrak{M}_{n,n+3} & \end{array}$$

where  $G = N \rtimes S_n$ ,  $N$  is abelian, and  $h_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/r$ -Galois cover.

## Question

Classify maximal Calabi–Yau families  $\pi$  with  $\ell(\pi) = 1$ . Is the harmonic  $K$ -S field  $v \in (T^*)^{0,1} \otimes T \cong \text{Hom}(\bar{T}, T)$  corresponding to  $\sigma_i \Omega$  parallel?

# Singularities

## Definition

A  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein variety  $\bar{X}$  has at most canonical singularities if there is a resolution  $\phi : Y \rightarrow \bar{X}$  such that  $K_Y = \phi^* K_{\bar{X}} + \sum a_i E_i$  and  $a_i \geq 0$ .

## Theorem (W-1996)

- (i) For a semi-stable CY degeneration  $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  with  $\mathfrak{X}_0 = \bigcup_i X_i$ ,  $NF_{\infty}^n = 0 \iff$  there is a component  $X_0$  in  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  with  $h^{n,0} \neq 0$ .
- (ii) Hence a CY degeneration  $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  with  $\mathfrak{X}_0 = \bar{X}$  irreducible and with at most canonical singularities is at finite  $g_{WP}$  distance.

## Theorem (W-2003)

Let  $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  is a finite distance degeneration of CY manifolds. Assuming MMP, then up to a finite base change and birational modifications on the central fiber  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  has only canonical singularities.

## Idea of proof

- ▶ The s.s. reduction  $\pi' : \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \Delta$  of  $\pi$  is also at finite distance. Write  $\mathfrak{X}'_0 = \bigcup_{i=0}^N X'_i$  with  $X'_0$  being the unique component with  $0 \neq \Omega \in \Gamma(X'_0, K_{X'_0})$ .
- ▶ Let  $\pi'' : \mathfrak{X}'' \rightarrow \Delta$  be the relative minimal model of  $\pi'$  constructed from divisorial contractions and flips. The component  $X'_0$  is not contracted, for otherwise it will be covered by extremal rational curves and  $\kappa(X'_0) = -\infty$ .
- ▶ The minimality of  $\pi'' \implies K_{\mathfrak{X}''} \sim 0$ . Also if  $\mathfrak{X}''_0 = \sum_{i=0}^N X_i$  with  $N > 0$  then  $-K_{X_{i,\text{red}}} = -\sum_{j \neq i} X_j|_{X_i}$  is anti-effective on  $X_{i,\text{red}}$  for each  $i$ . Hence the component  $X_0$  corresponding to  $X'_0$  does not have a non-zero section  $\Omega$ .
- ▶ We conclude  $\mathfrak{X}''_0 = X_0$  has at most canonical singularities.
- ▶ This special MMP was proved by Lai and Fujino in 2011.

# Hausdorff convergence

## Conjecture (W-1998, 2003)

- (i) CY degeneration with  $d_{WP} < \infty$
- (ii)  $\iff_I$  Continuity of  $\Omega(t)$  ( $\iff N_j F_\infty^n = 0 \iff$  *canonical*)
- (iii)  $\iff_{II}$  CY family with uniformly bounded diameters.

## Theorem (Rong–Zhang 2011)

Let  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Delta$  be a degeneration of polarized CY  $n$ -folds with  $K_{\mathfrak{X}/\Delta} \sim 0$ . Let  $g_t, t \in \Delta^\times$ , be the Yau metric in  $c_1(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathfrak{X}_t})$ . Then

$$\text{diam}_{g_t} \mathfrak{X}_t \leq 2 + c \langle \Omega(t), \Omega(t) \rangle.$$

This proves  $\implies_{II}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}(n, c, V)$  be the class of projective manifolds with  $\text{volume} = V$ ,  $|\text{Ric}| \leq 1$ , and  $\text{Vol } B_r \geq c r^{2n}$  for any  $r$ -ball with  $r \leq \text{diam } X$ . (Here  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ .)

[Tosatti 2015] *volume non-collapsing*  $\iff$  *uniform boundedness of diameter* via **Bishop volume comparison**:

$$\frac{\text{Vol}_{g_t} B_r}{c_n r^{2n}} \geq \frac{\int_{\mathfrak{X}_t} \omega_t^n}{c_n (\text{diam}_{g_t} \mathfrak{X}_t)^{2n}}.$$

**Theorem (Donaldson–Sun 2014)**

- (i) *Given  $n, V$  and  $c, \exists k, N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that any  $X \in \mathcal{K}(n, c, V)$  can be embedded in  $P^N$  by  $\Gamma(X, L^{\otimes k})$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $X_j \in \mathcal{K}(n, c, V)$  with Hausdorff limit  $X_\infty$ . Then  $X_\infty$  is homeomorphic to a normal variety  $W \subset P^N$ . By passing to a subsequence and taking suitable projective transformations, we have  $X_j \subset P^N$  converges to  $W$  in  $P^N$  which is klt.*

[Takayama 2015] then proved  $\longleftarrow_{II}$ .

# Metric completion

## Conjecture

*Given an irreducible component  $\mathcal{M}$  of the moduli of polarized CY  $n$ -folds, there exist a finite number of  $\mathcal{M}_{h_j}$ 's such that*

$$\mathcal{M}^c = \bigcup_j \mathcal{M}_{h_j} \supset \mathcal{M}$$

*is complete w.r.t. the induced WP metric. Here  $\mathcal{M}_{h_j}$  is an irreducible component of Viehweg's quasi-projective moduli of polarized CY with canonical singularities and with Hilbert polynomial  $h_j$ ,*

[Zhang 2014] Hausdorff completion using infinite covers.

**Question (Main remaining question  $\implies_I$  for HD base)**

*Given a polarized CY degeneration  $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow S$  such that  $p \in S$  is at finite WP distance. Do we have  $\text{diam } \mathfrak{X}_t < C$  for  $t$  close to  $p$ ?*

## Transitions $Y \searrow X$ or $X \nearrow Y$ through $\bar{X}$

[Namikawa 2002] Let  $S \rightarrow P^1$  be a rational elliptic surface with 6 singular fibers of type II (i.e., cuspidal). Then

- ▶  $\bar{X} = S \times_{P^1} S$  is a CY 3-fold with 6  $cA_2$  singular points:

$$x^2 - y^3 = u^2 - v^3.$$

- ▶  $\bar{X}$  admits **smoothings** to  $X = S_1 \times_{P^1} S_2$  with  $S_i \rightarrow P^1$  having disjoint discriminant loci, and
- ▶ an explicit **small resolution**  $\pi : Y \rightarrow \bar{X}$  exists.
- ▶ The  $\pi$ -exceptional loci can not be deformed to a disjoint union of  $(-1, -1)$ -curves since a singular fiber of type II splits up into at most 2 singular fibers of type I, and a general fiber of small deformation of a singularity of  $\bar{X}$ , which preserves small resolutions, has 3 ODPs.



# Conifold transitions

## Theorem (S.-S. Wang 2015)

*Namikawa's examples of extremal transition can be factorized into composition of conifold transitions up to flat deformations.*

## Lemma

*Let  $Y \rightarrow \bar{X}$  be a small resolution of a terminal 3-fold  $\bar{X}$  and  $X$  a smoothing of  $\bar{X}$ . Then  $e(Y) - e(X) \geq 2 |\text{Sing}(\bar{X})|$  with equality holds if and only if all the singularities of  $X$  are ODPs.*

*Proof:* Let  $C_i \rightarrow p_i \in \text{Sing}(\bar{X})$ . Since  $\bar{X}$  is terminal Gorenstein,  $p_i$  is an isolated hypersurface singularity (cDV). It is well-known that

$$e(Y) - e(X) = \sum_i \mu_{p_i} + \sum (e(C_i) - 1),$$

where  $\mu_{p_i}$  = Milnor number.  $\text{Supp } C_i$  is a transverse union of  $n_i$   $P^1$ 's and  $n_i = e(C_i) - 1$ . So  $\sum \mu_{p_i} + \sum n_i \geq 2 |\text{Sing}(X)|$ , with "=" if and only if  $n_i = \mu_{p_i} = 1$  for all  $i$ , i.e.,  $p_i$  is an ODP. **QED**

# Projective CICY web

## Definition (Determinantal contractions/transitions)

Let  $Y \subset S \times P^n$  be the zero loci of sections  $s_i \in \Gamma(S \times P^n, \mathcal{L}_i)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_i = L_i \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{P^n}(1)$  with  $L_i$  semi-ample on  $S$ . We write  $s_i = \sum_{j=0}^n s_{ij} x_j$ , where  $s_{ij} \in \Gamma(S, L_i)$ . For  $\pi : S \times P^n \rightarrow S$ , let

$$\psi = \pi|_Y : Y \rightarrow \bar{X} := \pi(Y) \subset S.$$

For  $p \in \bar{X}$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(p)$  is not unique if and only if  $p$  is a singular point of

$$\Delta := \det s_{ij} = 0.$$

Since  $\Delta \in \Gamma(S, \otimes_{i=0}^n L_i)$  and  $\bar{X} = (\Delta)$ , if  $X_\tau = (\tau)$  is smooth for general  $\tau \in \Gamma(S, \otimes_{i=0}^n L_i)$ , then we get a transition  $Y \searrow X_\tau$ .

## Theorem (Greene–Hubsch 1988, S.-S. Wang 2005)

The web of CICY 3-folds in  $\prod P^{n_i}$  is connected by conifold transitions.

# Invariance [LLW 2015]

Let  $X \nearrow Y$  be a *projective* conifold transition of CY 3-folds through  $\bar{X}$  with  $k$  ODPs  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ ,  $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ ,  $\psi : Y \rightarrow \bar{X}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C_i \subset Y & N_{C_i/Y} = \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \\ & \downarrow \psi & \\ N_{S_i/X} = T^*S^3 & S_i \subset X \xrightarrow{\pi} p_i \in \bar{X} & \end{array}$$

Let  $\mu := h^{2,1}(X) - h^{2,1}(Y) > 0$  and  $\rho := h^{1,1}(Y) - h^{1,1}(X) > 0$ .

$$\chi(X) - k\chi(S^3) = \chi(Y) - k\chi(S^2) \implies \mu + \rho = k.$$

Hence there are **non-trivial relations** between the “vanishing cycles”:

$$\begin{array}{ll} A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{k \times \mu}, & \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ij}[C_i] = 0, \\ B = (b_{ij}) \in M_{k \times \rho}, & \sum_{i=1}^k b_{ij}[S_i] = 0. \end{array}$$

Let  $0 \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow H_3(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$  and  $V := \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .

### Theorem (Basic exact sequence)

We have an exact sequence of *weight two pure Hodge structures*:

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(Y)/H^2(X) \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{C}^k \xrightarrow{A^t} V \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\psi : Y \rightarrow \bar{X}$  deforms in families, this identifies  $\mathcal{M}_Y$  as a codimension  $\mu$  boundary strata in  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$  and locally  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}} \cong \Delta^\mu \times \mathcal{M}_Y$ . Write  $V = \mathbb{C}\langle \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_\mu \rangle$  in terms of a basis  $\Gamma_j$ 's. Then the  $\alpha$ -periods

$$r_j = \int_{\Gamma_j} \Omega, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \mu$$

form the *degeneration coordinates* around  $[\bar{X}]$ . The discriminant loci of  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$  is described by a **central hyperplane arrangement**  $D_B = \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i$ :

### Proposition (Friedman 1986)

Let  $w_i = a_{i1}r_1 + \dots + a_{i\mu}r_\mu$ , then the divisor  $D_i := \{w_i = 0\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$  is the loci where the sphere  $S_i$  shrinks to an ODP  $p_i$ .

- ▶ The  $\beta$ -periods in transversal directions are given by a function  $u$ :

$$u_p = \partial_p u = \int_{\beta_p} \Omega$$

- ▶ The BGY couplings extend over  $D_B$  and satisfy

$$u_{pmn} := \partial_{pmn}^3 u = O(1) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{a_{ip} a_{im} a_{in}}{w_i}$$

for  $1 \leq p, m, n \leq \mu$ . It is holomorphic outside this index range.

- ▶ Let  $y = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i e_i \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , with  $e^i$ 's being the dual basis on  $(\mathbb{C}^k)^\vee$ . The **trivial logarithmic connection** on  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^k \oplus (\underline{\mathbb{C}}^k)^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$  is

$$\nabla^k = d + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{dy_i}{y_i} \otimes (e^i \otimes e_i^*).$$

**Theorem (Local invariance:  $\text{Exc}(\mathcal{A}) + \text{Exc}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{trivial}$ )**

- (1)  $\nabla^k$  restricts to the logarithmic part of  $\nabla^{\text{GM}}$  on  $V^*$ .
- (2)  $\nabla^k$  restricts to the logarithmic part of  $\nabla^{\text{Dubrovin}}$  on  $H^2(Y)/H^2(X)$ .

# Linked $A + B$ theory

## Theorem (Lee–Lin–W 2015)

Let  $[X]$  be a nearby point of  $[\bar{X}]$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{X}}$ ,

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is a sub-theory of  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$  (e.g. quantum sub-ring in genus 0).
- (2)  $\mathcal{B}(Y)$  is a sub-theory of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  (invariant sub-VHS).
- (3)  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$  can be reconstructed from a “refined  $\mathcal{A}$  theory” on

$$X^\circ := X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k S_i$$

“linked” by the vanishing spheres in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ .

- (4)  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  can be reconstructed from the variations of MHS on  $H^3(Y^\circ)$ ,

$$Y^\circ := Y \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i,$$

“linked” by the exceptional curves in  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ .

For (3) and (4), **effective methods** are under developed.

## Example (For (4), Lee–Lin 2016)

Conifold transitions  $X \nearrow Y$  of CY 3-folds arising from toric degenerations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \subset \hat{P} = \hat{P}(2, 4) & & \\ & \downarrow \Psi & \\ X \subset G = G(2, 4) & \rightsquigarrow & P(2, 4). \end{array}$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(X) = \tau_G$  (tautological systems [Lian–Song–Yau 2013]),  
 $\mathcal{B}(Y) = \tau_{\hat{P}}$  (extended GKZ [Lee–Lin 2016]).
- ▶ For  $\tau_G$ , the symmetry come from  $SL(4, \mathbb{C})$ , which has  $16 - 1 = 15$  dimensions. It consists of **12 roots** and 3 torus action.
- ▶ For  $\tau_{\hat{P}}$ , its symmetry  $\text{Aut}^0(\hat{P})$  is generated by  $T^4$  and **14 “roots”** [Cox 1995]: for toric variety with fan  $\Sigma$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the roots  $R(\Sigma, N)$  is given by  $\{\alpha \in M \mid \exists p \in \Sigma_1, (\alpha, p) = -1, (\alpha, p') \geq 0 \quad \forall p' \neq p\}$ .
- ▶ The **2 roots  $\pm(1, 1, 1, 1)$  are dropped** since they move  $\Psi$ . The remaining 12 give those in  $\tau_G$ . Thus  $(\bigcup C_i, \tau_{\hat{P}}) \implies \tau_G$ .

**HAPPY 90th BIRTHDAY TO TSINGHUA MATH**

Thank you for paying attention!