

Mirror Principle I (LLY)

2022. 4. 14.

Torus action:

$$(1) \quad T = (S^1)^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \text{ with weight } \lambda = (\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_n).$$

$\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \ni P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n$: fixed points. $[p]$: equivariant hyperplane class.

$$\cup_{p_i}^*: H_T(\mathbb{P}^n) \longrightarrow H_T(P_i) : \text{restriction maps.}$$

$$\omega \longmapsto \omega(\lambda_i)$$

$$(2) \quad G := S^1 \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)(d+1)} \text{ with weight } \frac{\lambda_i + r\alpha}{T\text{-weight}} \text{ on ir-coordinate for } r=0, 1, \dots, d. \quad i=0, 1, \dots, n$$

$$T\text{-weight} \quad S^1\text{-weight}$$

$\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{nd+d+n} \ni P_{ir}$: fixed points. $[K]$: equivariant hyperplane class.

$$\cup_{p_{ir}}^*: H_G(\mathbb{P}^{nd+d+n}) \rightarrow H_G(P_{ir}) = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha, \lambda] : \text{restriction maps.}$$

$$\omega \longmapsto \omega(\lambda_i + r\alpha)$$

$$N_d := \left\{ \left[\sum_{r=0}^d z_{or} w_o^r w_i^{d-r}, \dots, \sum_{r=0}^d z_{nr} w_o^r w_i^{d-r} \right] \right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{nd+n+d} \quad \leftarrow \text{linear } \sigma\text{-model for } \mathbb{P}^n.$$

(space of $(n+1)$ -tuple of $\deg = d$ homogeneous polynomials in w_o, w_i / scalar)

T -action on $(n+1)$ -tuple & S^1 -action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \ni [w_o, w_i]$ by weight (α, α) .

$\rightarrow S^1 \times T$ -action on N_d . $[f_0(w_o, w_i), \dots, f_n(w_o, w_i)] \mapsto [e^{\lambda_0} f_0(e^\alpha w_o, w_i), \dots, e^{\lambda_n} f_n(e^\alpha w_o, w_i)]$.

Notations $R := \mathbb{Q}[\lambda](\alpha)$, $R H_G^*(N_d) := H_G^*(N_d) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[\lambda, \alpha]} R$

$R^{-1} := \mathbb{Q}(\lambda, \alpha)$, $R^{-1} H_G^*(N_d) := H_G^*(N_d) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[\lambda, \alpha]} R^{-1}$.

Fact All $\omega \in H_T^*(\mathbb{P}^n)$ with $\cup_{p_i}^*(\omega) \neq 0$ for all i are multiplicative closed.

\rightarrow localize get $H_T^*(\mathbb{P}^n)^{-1}$.

A class $\Omega \in H_T^*(\mathbb{P}^n)^{-1}$ with $\cup_{p_i}^*(\Omega) \neq 0$ for all i is called invertible.

Eulerity A sequence $Q = \{Q_d\}_{d=1}^{\infty}$, $Q_d \in R H_G^*(N_d)$ is an Ω -Euler data

if $Q_0 = \Omega$ and $\forall d, r=0, \dots, d$, $i=0, \dots, n$, we have

$$\cup_{p_i}^*(\Omega) \cup_{p_i, r}^*(Q_d) = \overline{\cup_{p_i, 0}^*(Q_r)} \cdot \cup_{p_i, 0}^*(Q_{d-r}).$$

$$\text{i.e. } \Omega(\lambda_i) Q_d(\lambda_i + r\alpha) = \overline{Q_r(\lambda_i)} \cdot Q_{d-r}(\lambda_i).$$

$$\bar{-}: N_d \longrightarrow N_d \text{ by } [f_0(w_o, w_i), \dots, f_n(w_o, w_i)] \mapsto [f_0(w_i, w_o), \dots, f_n(w_i, w_o)].$$

Lemma (i) $Q_d(\lambda_i + d\alpha) = \overline{Q_d(\lambda_i)} \quad (r=d)$,

$$\text{(ii)} \quad Q_d(\lambda_j) \Big|_{\alpha=\frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{d}} = Q_d(\lambda_i) \Big|_{\alpha=\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{d}}$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \Omega(\lambda_i) Q_d(\lambda_j) = Q_r(\lambda_j) Q_{d-r}(\lambda_i) \text{ at } \alpha = \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{r} \text{ for } r \neq 0.$$

Example (1) $P: P_d = \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{d}{2}} (ek - m\alpha) \in H_G^*(N_d)$. This is an ℓ -p-Euler data. $O(\ell)$

~ computing the Euler classes of obstruction bundle of \downarrow
 \mathbb{P}^n

$$(2) \Sigma = P^{-2}, P: P_d = \prod_{m=1}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (k - m\alpha)^2 \in H_G^*(N_d).$$

~ multiple cover formula, i.e. GW for \mathbb{P}^1 .

$$(3) M_d^o := M_{0,0}(1, d, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n).$$

$$f: [w_0, w_1] \mapsto [w_1, w_0] \times [f_0(w_0, w_1), \dots, f_n(w_0, w_1)], f_i: \text{homogeneous degree } d.$$

~ $\varphi: M_d^o \longrightarrow N_d$ which is $G = S^1 \times T$ equivariant.

$$f \mapsto [f_0, \dots, f_n]$$

~ φ extend to $M_d := \overline{M}_d^o \longrightarrow N_d$: G equivariant map.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi^* V_d = V_d & \longrightarrow & U_d & \longrightarrow & V = V^+ \oplus V^- \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{convex concave } H^*(C, f^* V^-) = 0, \\ N_d & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & M_d & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \overline{M}_{0,0}(d, \mathbb{P}^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \\ & & & & \text{(f.C)} \end{array}$$

$$e_T(V_d) = \text{equivariant Euler class. } \Sigma = \frac{e_T(V^+)}{e_T(V^-)}$$

Theorem $Q_d = \varphi_* e_T(V_d) \in H_G^*(N_d)$ is an Σ -Euler data.

proof: For $r > 0$, let $\{F_r\} \subseteq \overline{M}_{0,1}(r, \mathbb{P}^n)$ be T -fixed components s.t. $x \mapsto p_i$.

$N(F_r) := N_{F_r}/\overline{M}_{0,1}(r, \mathbb{P}^n)$: normal bundle.

$$\begin{array}{c} M_d \xrightarrow{\varphi} N_d \\ \downarrow \psi \qquad \downarrow \text{per} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f: C \xrightarrow{(1,d)} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n \\ C = C_1 \cup C_0 \cup C_2, C_0 \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \circ f} \mathbb{P}^1 \\ \pi_2 \circ f(C_0) = p_i \in \mathbb{P}^n, \pi_1 \circ f(C_1) = 0, \pi_1 \circ f(C_2) = \infty \text{ in } \mathbb{P}^1. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{: G-invariant} \\ \text{mapped to } p_i. \end{array}$$

$$\pi_2 \circ f \Big|_{C_1} \in \overline{M}_{0,1}(r, \mathbb{P}^n), \pi_2 \circ f \Big|_{C_2} \in \overline{M}_{0,1}(d-r, \mathbb{P}^n)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \{F_r \times F_{d-r}\} \xrightarrow{\text{gluing}} M_d \xrightarrow{\varphi} N_d.$$

G -fixed

Consider a convex bundle V on \mathbb{P}^n and $r \neq 0, d$.

$$Q_d(\lambda_i + r\alpha) = \int_{N_d} \varphi_{p_{ir}} Q_d = \int_{M_d} \varphi^*(\phi_{p_{ir}}) e_T(V_d) \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{localize to fixed components} \\ \text{to compute!} \end{array}$$

$$N_{F_r \times F_{d-r}/M_d} = N(F_r) + N(F_{d-r}) + [H^0(C_0, (\pi_1 \circ f)^* T\mathbb{P}^1)] \leftarrow \text{deformation of } f|_{C_0}$$

$$\uparrow \text{in K-group} + [L_r \otimes T_{x_1} C_0] + [L_{d-r} \otimes T_{x_2} C_0] - [T_{p_i} \mathbb{P}^n] - [A_{C_0}]$$

deformation of nodes x_1, x_2 .

from gluing
 x_1, x_2 .

infinitesimal automorphism
of C_0 fixing x_1, x_2 .

L_r : line bundle on $\overline{M}_{0,1}(r, \mathbb{P}^n)$ (at (f_1, C_1, x_1) , fiber = tangent line at x_1).

- $e_T(T_{P_i} \mathbb{P}^n) = \prod_{j \neq i} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)$
- $e_T(L_r \otimes T_{X_1} C_0) = \alpha + c_1(L_r)$, $e_T(L_{d-r} \otimes T_{X_2} C_0) = -\alpha + c_1(L_{d-r})$.

weight = α

weight = $-\alpha$

$$\bullet e_T(H^0(C_0, (\pi_1 \circ f)^* T\mathbb{P}^1)) \cdot e_T^{-1}(A_{C_0}) = -\alpha^2$$

Euler sequence $\rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow T\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow 0$.

$$\rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H^0(C_0, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\text{wt} = 0} H^0(C_0, \mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\text{wt} = \alpha, 0} H^0(C_0, (\pi_1 \circ f)^* T\mathbb{P}^1) \xrightarrow{\text{wt} = -\alpha, 0} \Rightarrow \text{wt} = \alpha, -\alpha, 0$$

$$\text{Also, from } 0 \rightarrow A_{C_0} \xrightarrow{\text{wt} = 0} H^0(C_0, (\pi_1 \circ f)^* T\mathbb{P}^1) \xrightarrow{\text{wt} = \alpha, -\alpha, 0} T_{X_1} C_0 \oplus T_{X_2} C_0 \xrightarrow{\text{wt} = \alpha} T_{X_2} C_0 \xrightarrow{\text{wt} = -\alpha} 0.$$

$$V_d \text{ restrict to } F_r \times F_{d-r} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow V_d \rightarrow V_r|_{F_r} \oplus V_{d-r}|_{F_{d-r}} \rightarrow V|_{P_i} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\rightarrow \Omega^V(\lambda_i) \cdot e_T(V_d) = e_T(V_r) \cdot e_T(V_{d-r}) = \rho^* e_T(V_r) \cdot \rho^* e_T(V_{d-r})$$

$\rho: \overline{M}_{0,1}(d, \mathbb{P}^n) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,0}(d, \mathbb{P}^n)$: forgetful map.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \Omega^V(\lambda_i) \cdot Q_d(\lambda_i + r\alpha) &= \Omega^V(\lambda_i) \int_{M_d} \varphi^*(p_{ir}) e_T(V_d) \\ &= -\alpha^2 \prod_{j \neq i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) e_T(p_{ir}/N_d) \sum_{F_r} \int_{F_r} \frac{\rho^* e_T(V_r)}{e_T(N_{F_r}) \cdot (\alpha + c_1(L_r))} \cdot \sum_{F_{d-r}} \int_{F_{d-r}} \frac{\rho^* e_T(V_{d-r})}{e_T(N_{F_{d-r}}) \cdot (\alpha + c_1(L_{d-r}))}. \end{aligned}$$

For $r=d$ or 0 , we have $C = C_1 \cup_{X_1} C_0$ or $C_0 \cup_{X_2} C_2$.

$$\rightarrow N_{F_d}/M_d = N(F_d) + [H^0(C_0, (\pi_1 \circ f)^* T\mathbb{P}^1)] + [L_d \otimes T_{X_2} C_0] - [A_{C_0}]$$

$$\text{similarly } \Rightarrow Q_d(\lambda_i + d\alpha) = \alpha^{-1} \cdot e_T(p_{id}/N_d) \sum_{F_d} \int_{F_d} \frac{\rho^* e_T(V_d)}{e_T(N(F_d)) (\alpha + c_1(L))}$$

$$Q_d(\lambda_i) = -\alpha^{-1} \cdot e_T(p_{io}/N_d) \sum_{F_d} \int_{F_d} \frac{\rho^* e_T(V_d)}{e_T(N(F_d)) (-\alpha + c_1(L))}$$

Finally, using $e_T(p_{ir}/N_d) = \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j,m) \neq (i,r)}}^n \prod_{m=0}^d (\lambda_i - \lambda_j + (r-m)\alpha)$, $\bar{\alpha} = -\alpha$, $\bar{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i$, we get

$$\Omega^V(\lambda_i) Q_d(\lambda_i + r\alpha) = \overline{Q_r(\lambda_i)} Q_{d-r}(\lambda_i) \text{ for all } i=0 \dots n, r=0 \dots d.$$

For concave V , the only modification is gluing exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V|_{P_i} \rightarrow V_d \rightarrow V_r|_{F_r} \oplus V_{d-r}|_{F_{d-r}} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\rightarrow e_T(V_d) = e_T(V_r) \cdot e_T(V_{d-r}) \cdot \rho_{P_i}^* e_T(V) \rightarrow \text{OK!}$$

For concavex V . OK!

#

Definition Two sequence $P = \{P_d\}$, $Q = \{Q_d\}$ are linked if $\cup_{P_{i,0}}^*(P_d - Q_d) \in R^{-1} \cap H_G^*(N_d)$

vanish at $\alpha = \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{d}$ for all $j \neq i$, $d > 0$.

Theorem $Q_d := \varphi_r e_T(V_d)$ as before, $V|_{C \cong P} \simeq \bigoplus_a \mathcal{O}(\lambda_a) \oplus \bigoplus_b \mathcal{O}(-\lambda_b)$.

At $\alpha = \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{d}$, ($i \neq j$), we have

$$\cup_{P_{j,0}}^*(Q_d) = \prod_a \prod_{m=0}^{\lambda_a \cdot d} (\lambda_a \cdot \lambda_j - m \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{d}) \cdot \prod_b \prod_{m=1}^{\lambda_b \cdot d - 1} (-\lambda_b \cdot \lambda_j + m \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{d})$$

$$\text{In particular, } Q \underset{\text{linked}}{\sim} P : P_d = \prod_a \prod_{m=0}^{\lambda_a \cdot d} (\lambda_a \cdot \kappa - m\alpha) \cdot \prod_b \prod_{m=1}^{\lambda_b \cdot d - 1} (-\lambda_b \cdot \kappa + m\alpha).$$

proof: Just compute the weights of T -action on

$$H^0(C, (\pi_2 \circ f)^* \mathcal{O}(l)) = H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(ld)) \quad (\text{convex})$$

$$H^1(C, (\pi_2 \circ f)^* \mathcal{O}(-k)) = H^1(C, \mathcal{O}(-kd)) \quad (\text{concave})$$

#

Theorem Suppose P, Q are any linked Ω -Euler data.

If $\deg_\alpha \cup_{P_{i,0}}^*(P_d - Q_d) \leq (n+1)d - 2$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $d \geq 1$, then $P = Q$.

proof: Prove $P_d = Q_d$ by induction.

The push-forward map $p_{fd} : R H_G^*(N_d) \longrightarrow R H_G^*(P_{ir}) = \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)[\alpha] = R$

gives non-degenerate pairing $p_{fd}(u \cdot v)$. It suffices to show that

$$L_s := p_{fd}(K^s \cdot (P_d - Q_d)) = 0 \text{ for all } s \geq 0.$$

By localization, we have

$$L_s = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^d (\lambda_i + r\alpha)^s \frac{\cup_{P_{ir}}^*(P_d - Q_d)}{\prod_{k=0}^n \prod_{m=0}^{\lambda_k \cdot d} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k + (r-m)\alpha)} \leftarrow e_T(P_{ir}/N_d) \\ (\lambda_k, m) \neq (i, r)$$

P, Q : Euler data, for each $r = 1, 2, \dots, d-1$, $\cup_{P_{ir}}^*(P_d)$ and $\cup_{P_{ir}}^*(Q_d)$ are expressible in P_1, \dots, P_{d-1} .

By induction, we have $L_s = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\lambda_i^s A_i(\alpha)}{\alpha^d} + \frac{(\lambda_i + d\alpha)^s A_i(-\alpha)}{(-\alpha)^d}$ (only $r=0, d$)

$$\text{where } A_i(\alpha) = \frac{(-1)^d \cup_{P_{i,0}}^*(P_d - Q_d)}{d! \prod_{k \neq i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k) \prod_{k \neq i} \prod_{m=1}^d (\lambda_i - \lambda_k - m\alpha)}.$$

Since $P \underset{\text{linked}}{\sim} Q$, $\cup_{P_{i,0}}^*(P_d - Q_d) = 0$ at $\alpha = \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_k}{d}$, ($k \neq i$).

By induction and $\Omega(\lambda_i) Q_d(\lambda_j) = Q_r(\lambda_j) Q_{d-r}(\lambda_i)$ at $\alpha = \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{r}$ for $r \neq 0$,

We get $\cup_{P_i=0}^*(P_d - Q_d) = 0$ at $\alpha = \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_k}{m}$ for all $k \neq i$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, d$.

$\Rightarrow A_i(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)[\alpha]$ for all i .

Assumption $\Rightarrow \deg_\alpha A_i \leq (n+1)d - 2 - nd = d-1$.

But $L_s \in \underline{\text{polynomial in } \alpha}$ for all s , we have $A_i \equiv 0$. #

Lemma $Q_d := \varphi_! e_T(V_d)$ as before, $V|_{C \cong \mathbb{P}^1} \simeq \bigoplus_a \mathcal{O}(l_a) \oplus \bigoplus_b \mathcal{O}(-k_b)$ convex bundle.

$$I: N_{d-1} \longrightarrow N_d \quad \Rightarrow \quad I^d: N_d = \mathbb{P}^n \longrightarrow N_d$$

$$[f_0, \dots, f_n] \mapsto [w_0 f_0, \dots, w_d f_n] \quad p_i \mapsto p_{i,0}$$

Then, the restriction $I_d^*(Q_d) \in H_G^*(\mathbb{P}^n)$ has $\deg_\alpha I_d^*(Q_d) \leq (n+1)d - 2$.

proof: As in proof of Eulerity of $\varphi_! e_T(V_d)$, we have

$$Q_d(\lambda_i) = \prod_{j \neq i} (p - \lambda_j) \cdot \prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^d (p - \lambda_j - m\alpha) \sum_{F_d} \int_{F_d} \frac{p^* e_T(V_d)}{e_T(N(F_d)) [\alpha(\alpha - c_i(L))]} \\ e_T(p_{i,0}/N_d)$$

localization

$$\downarrow = \prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^d (p - \lambda_j - m\alpha) \cdot \int_{\overline{M}_{0,1}(d, \mathbb{P}^n)} \frac{p^* e_T(V_d)}{\alpha(\alpha - c_i(L))} ev^* \left(\prod_{j \neq i} (p - \lambda_j) \right)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^n} ev_! \left(\frac{p^* e_T(V_d)}{\alpha(\alpha - c_i(L))} \right) \cdot \prod_{j \neq i} (p - \lambda_j) = \cup_{p_i}^* ev_! \left(\frac{p^* e_T(V_d)}{\alpha(\alpha - c_i(L))} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow I_d^*(Q_d) = \prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^d (p - \lambda_j - m\alpha) \cdot ev_! \left(\frac{p^* e_T(V_d)}{\alpha(\alpha - c_i(L))} \right).$$

$$\Rightarrow \deg_\alpha I_d^*(Q_d) \leq (n+1) \cdot d - 2.$$

#

Mirror Principle I (LLY)

2022. 4. 18.

Lagrange Map and Mirror Transform

Fix an invertible class $\Omega \in H_T^*(\mathbb{P}^n)^{-1}$. $A :=$ the set of all Ω -Euler data.

Definition An invertible map $\mu: A \rightarrow A$ is called a **mirror transform**

if for any $P \in A$, $\mu(P) \underset{\text{linked}}{\sim} P$.

Notation $I: N_{d-1} \rightarrow N_d$ gives $N_0 = \mathbb{P}^n \xrightarrow{I_d} N_d$.

$$[f_0, \dots, f_n] \mapsto [w_0 f_0, \dots, w_n f_n]$$

compose I
d times

(S, S_0 : all such sequences.)

For a sequence $P = \{P_d\}$, define $I(P) = \{B_d\}$ where $B_d := I_d^*(P_d)$.

$$\overset{n}{S} \underset{R^{-1}H_G^*(N_d)}{\uparrow} \quad \overset{n}{S_0} \underset{R^{-1}H_G^*(N_0)}{\uparrow}$$

Recall: $w \in R^{-1}H_G^*(N_d)$ is 1-1 correspondence to $\{\zeta_{P_{ir}}^*(w)\}_{\substack{i=0, \dots, n \\ r=0, \dots, d}} \subset R^{-1}$.

Given any $B = \{B_d\} \subset R^{-1}H_G^*(N_0)$, $\exists! P = \{P_d\}$ s.t.

$$\underset{R^{-1}H_G^*(N_d)}{\uparrow}$$

$$\zeta_{P_{ir}}^*(P_d) = \zeta_{P_i}^*(\Omega)^{-1} \overline{\zeta_{P_i}^*(B_r)} \zeta_{P_i}^*(B_{d-r}) \quad \text{for } i=0, \dots, n, r=0, \dots, d.$$

This gives $\mathcal{L}_\Omega: S_0 \rightarrow S$. **Lagrange map.**

$$B \mapsto \mathcal{L}_\Omega(B) = P$$

Observation • $r=0$ case $\Rightarrow \zeta_{P_i}^*(B_d) = \zeta_{P_{i0}}^*(P_d) = \zeta_{P_i}^* I_d^*(P_d)$ for all d

$$\Rightarrow I: S \rightarrow S_0$$

\mathcal{L}_Ω is a section.

• We have $\zeta_{P_i}^*(\Omega) \zeta_{P_{ir}}^*(P_d) = \overline{\zeta_{P_{i0}}^*(P_r)} \zeta_{P_{i0}}^*(P_{d-r})$ for all i, r .

(A) If all $P_d \in RH_G^*(N_d)$, then $P = \mathcal{L}(B)$ is an Ω -Euler data.

• Any map $\mu_0: S_0 \rightarrow S_0$ can be lifted to $\mu = \mathcal{L} \circ \mu_0 \circ I: S \rightarrow S$.

If $Q \in A$ is an Euler data, then $Q = \mathcal{L} \circ I(Q)$. Lagrange lift

(B) If $\mu_0: S_0 \rightarrow S_0$ is invertible with inverse ν_0 . Then, the Lagrange lifts μ, ν are inverse to each other when restricted on Euler data.

Definition Given $B = \{B_d\} \in S_0$, define

$$\underline{HG[B](t)} := e^{-pt/\alpha} \left(\Omega + \sum_{d>0} \frac{B_d \cdot e^{dt}}{\prod_{k=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^d (p - \lambda_k - m\alpha)} \right)$$

↑ cohomology valued power series

$\stackrel{m}{=} \text{equivariant hyperplane class of } N_0 = \mathbb{P}^n$.
 $H_G^*(N_0)$

Proposition Let $B \in S_0$, $\mathcal{S} := B_0$.

(1) Given any $g \in e^t \cdot R[[e^t]]$, $\exists! \tilde{B} \in S_0$ such that $HG[B](t+g) = HG[\tilde{B}](t)$.

(2) Given any $f \in e^t \cdot R[[e^t]]$, $\exists! \tilde{B} \in S_0$ such that $e^{f/\alpha} HG[B](t) = HG[\tilde{B}](t)$.

proof: (1) Expand $HG[B](t+g) = e^{-pt/\alpha} \cdot e^{-pg/\alpha} \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{B_d \cdot e^{dt} \cdot e^{dg}}{\prod_{k=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^d (p - \lambda_k - m\alpha)}$

Write $e^{dg} = \sum_{s \geq 0} g_{d,s} e^{st}$, $g_{d,s} \in R$ and $e^{-pg/\alpha} = \sum_{s \geq 0} g'_s e^{st}$, $g'_s \in R[p/\alpha]$.

Compare coefficients $\Rightarrow \tilde{B}_d = B_d + \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} g'_{d-r} B_r \prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=r+1}^d (p - \lambda_j - m\alpha)$

$$\tilde{B}'_d = B_d + \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} g_{r,d-r} B_r \prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=r+1}^d (p - \lambda_j - m\alpha)$$

(2) Similarly, expand $e^{f/\alpha} HG[B](t)$ by $e^{f/\alpha} = \sum_{s \geq 0} f_s e^{st}$, $f_s \in R[\alpha^{-1}]$.

Compare coefficients $\Rightarrow \tilde{B}_d = B_d + \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} f_{d-r} B_r \prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=r+1}^d (p - \lambda_j - m\alpha)$.

#

Note that $\prod_{j=0}^n (p - \lambda_j - m\alpha)$ vanishes when restricted on P_i at $\alpha = \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{d}$.

$$\Rightarrow L_{P_i}^*(B_d) = L_{P_i}^*(\tilde{B}_d) \text{ at } \alpha = \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{d}.$$

(C) Given $f, g \in e^t \cdot R[[e^t]]$, $\mu_0: S_0 \rightarrow S_0$ invertible such that $B \mapsto \tilde{B}$

$e^{f/\alpha} HG[B](t+g) = HG[\tilde{B}](t)$. If $L_{P_i}^*(B_d)$ is well-defined at $\alpha = \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}{d}$ ($j \neq i$),

then $L_{P_i}^*(B_d) = L_{P_i}^*(\tilde{B}_d)$ for all d . e.g. $B = I(P)$ for some Euler data P .

$\Rightarrow L_{P_i}^*(B_d) \in R$: polynomial in α .

Lemma Let $\mu = L \circ \mu_0 \circ I$ be the Lagrange lift of above μ_0 in (C). Then,

μ is a mirror transform. In particular, if P is an Euler data, then

$\tilde{P} = \mu(P)$ is also an Euler data with $e^{f/\alpha} HG[I(P)](t+g) = HG[\tilde{P}](t)$.

proof: Only need to consider two cases : $f=0, g=0$ separately.

Let P be an Euler data. $\tilde{P} := \mu(P)$, $B := I(P)$, $\tilde{B} := \mu_0(B)$.

It suffices to prove \tilde{P} is also an Euler data.

$(B) \Rightarrow \mu$ is invertible on A : the set of Euler data. $\Rightarrow \tilde{P} \sim P$.

$(C) \Rightarrow L_{P_i}^*(B_d) = L_{P_{i,0}}^*(P_d)$ and $L_{P_i}^*(\tilde{B}_d) = L_{P_{i,0}}^*(\tilde{P}_d)$ at $\alpha = \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{d}$ ($j \neq i$).

P : Euler data $\Rightarrow L_{P_{i,r}}^*(P_d) = L_{P_i}^*(\mathcal{S})^{-1} \overline{L_{P_i}^*(B_r) L_{P_i}^*(B_{d-r})}$ for $i=0 \dots n$
 $r=0 \dots d$.

Multiply the identity:

$$e^{\frac{d\tau}{\alpha}} \frac{e^{(\lambda_i + r\alpha)(t-\tau)/\alpha}}{\prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=0}^r (\lambda_i + r\alpha - \lambda_j - m\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j \neq i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \cdot e^{\lambda_i t / \alpha} \cdot \frac{e^{rt}}{\prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^r (\lambda_i - \lambda_j + m\alpha)}$$

\uparrow

$e_T(P_{ir}/N_d)$

$$\cdot e^{-\lambda_i \tau / \alpha} \cdot \frac{e^{(d-r)\tau}}{\prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^r (\lambda_i - \lambda_j - m\alpha)}$$

sum over $i=0 \dots n$, $r=0 \dots d$, get

$$e^{\frac{d\tau}{\alpha}} \text{pf}_d(P_d \cdot e^{\kappa(t-\tau)/\alpha}) = \sum_{r=0}^d \text{pf} \left(\Omega^{-1} \frac{\overline{e^{-pt/\alpha} \cdot \frac{B_r \cdot e^{rt}}{\prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^r (p - \lambda_j - m\alpha)}}}{e^{-p\tau/\alpha} \cdot \frac{B_{d-r} e^{(d-r)\tau}}{\prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^r (p - \lambda_j - m\alpha)}} \right)$$

sum over $d=0, 1, 2 \dots$, get

$$(*) \quad \sum_{d \geq 0} e^{\frac{d\tau}{\alpha}} \text{pf}_d(P_d \cdot e^{\kappa(t-\tau)/\alpha}) = \text{pf} \left(\Omega^{-1} \overline{HG[B](t)} \cdot HG[B](\tau) \right).$$

$- : N_d \rightarrow N_d$
 $\hookrightarrow R^{-1} H_G^*(N_d) \rightarrow R^{-1} H_G^*(N_d)$

$\alpha \mapsto -\alpha$
 $\lambda_i \mapsto \lambda_i$
 $\kappa \mapsto \kappa - d\alpha$.

$\text{pf} : H_T(P^n) \rightarrow H_T(P_i)$
 $\text{pf}_d : H_G(N_d) \rightarrow H_G(P_{dr})$
 push-forward map.

Similar for \tilde{P}, \tilde{B} .

Case 1 $f=0$. $HG[\tilde{B}](t) = HG[B](t+g)$.

$$(*) \Rightarrow \text{pf} \left(\Omega^{-1} \overline{HG[B](t+g(e^\tau))} \cdot HG[B](\tau+g(e^\tau)) \right) = \sum_{d \geq 0} e^{d(\tau+g(e^\tau))} \text{pf}_d(P_d \cdot e^{\kappa(t+g(e^\tau)-\tau-g(e^\tau))/\alpha})$$

$\begin{aligned} g &= e^\tau \\ \xi &= (t-\tau)\alpha \\ g &= g_+ + g_- \\ \bar{g}_\pm &= \pm g_\pm \end{aligned}$

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} g^d \text{pf}_d(\tilde{P}_d e^{\xi \kappa}) = \sum_{d \geq 0} g^d e^{dg(g)} \text{pf}_d(P_d e^{\kappa \xi} e^{\kappa(g_+(g e^{\xi \alpha}) - g_-(g))/\alpha} e^{-\kappa(g_-(g e^{\xi \alpha}) + g_-(g))/\alpha})$$

since $- : N_d \rightarrow N_d$
 is involution.

For $g(\bar{g}) \in R[[\bar{g}]]$, $g_+(g e^{\xi \alpha}) - g_-(g) \in \alpha \cdot R[[g, \xi]]$

Also, $w \mapsto \bar{w}$ only change α into $-\alpha$, g_- : odd $\Rightarrow g_-(g)$, $g_-(g e^{\xi \alpha}) \in \alpha \cdot R[[g]]$
 $P_d \in RH_G^*(N_d)$

$\Rightarrow \text{RHS} \in R[[g, \xi]] \Rightarrow \text{pf}_d(\tilde{P}_d \cdot \kappa^s) \in R$ in LHS for all s .

Also, $\tilde{P}_d \in R^{-1} H_G^*(N_d)$ is of the form $\tilde{P}_d = a_N \kappa^N + \dots + a_0$, $a_i \in R^{-1}$, $N = (n+1)d + n$.

Since $\text{pf}_d(\kappa^N) = 1$, we get $a_N, \dots, a_0 \in R$. (By $\text{pf}_d(\tilde{P}_d \cdot \kappa^s) \in R$)

$\Rightarrow \tilde{P}_d \in RH_G^*(N_d)$ which is an Euler data.

Case 2 $g=0$. $HG[\tilde{B}](t) = e^{f/\alpha} HG[B](t)$. Similar as above write $f = f_+ + f_-$, $\bar{f}_\pm = f_\pm$.

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} g^d \text{pf}_d(\tilde{P}_d e^{\xi \kappa}) = e^{-(f_+(g e^{\xi \kappa}) - f_-(g))/\alpha} \cdot e^{(f_-(g e^{\xi \kappa}) + f_-(g))/\alpha} \cdot \sum_{d \geq 0} g^d \text{pf}_d(P_d \cdot e^{\xi \kappa})$$

in $R[[g, \xi]]$. Similar get $\tilde{P}_d \in RH_G^*(N_d) \Rightarrow$ Euler data.

#

Example Consider the convex bundle $V = \mathcal{O}(l) = \mathcal{O}(n+1)$. $\Omega^V = l \cdot p$.

$$\downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^n$$

Two Euler data $P : P_d = \prod_{m=0}^{ld} (lK - m\alpha)$, $Q : Q_d = \varphi_! e_T(V_d)$ are linked.

$$\xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} HG[I(P)](t) = e^{-Ht/\alpha} \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{m=0}^{ld} (lH - m\alpha)}{\prod_{m=1}^d (H - m\alpha)^{n+1}} e^{dt} = lH \left(f_0 - f_1 \frac{H}{\alpha} + f_2 \frac{H}{\alpha} - \dots \right)$$

f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} : basis of solutions of hypergeometric differential equation.

Lemma In the non-equivariant limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the mirror map $T(t) := \frac{f_1}{f_0} = t + \frac{g_1}{f_0}$

$$\text{makes } HG[I(Q)](T(t)) = \frac{1}{f_0} HG[I(P)](t),$$

$$\text{where } f_0 = \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{(ld)!}{(d!)^{n+1}} e^{dt}, g_1 = \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{(ld)!}{(d!)^d} \sum_{m=d+1}^{ld} \frac{l}{m} e^{dt}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proof: Expand } HG[I(P)](t) &= e^{-pt/\alpha} \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{m=0}^{ld} (lp - m\alpha)}{\prod_{k=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^d (p - \lambda_k - m\alpha)} e^{dt} \\ &= lp \cdot \left(f_0 + \alpha^{-1} \left(pf_1 + g_2 \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \right) + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } f = (\log f_0) \alpha + \frac{g_2}{f_0} \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k \in e^t R[[e^t]].$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \exists \text{ mirror transform } \mu \text{ s.t. } HG[I(\tilde{P})](t) = e^{f/\alpha} HG[I(P)](t), \text{ where } \tilde{P} = \mu(P).$$

$$\Rightarrow HG[I(\tilde{P})](t) = lp - \alpha^{-1} lp^2 \frac{f_1}{f_0} + \dots \quad (*)$$

$$\text{Again, let } g = \frac{g_1}{f_0} \in e^t R[[e^t]].$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \exists \text{ mirror transform } \nu \text{ s.t. } HG[I(\tilde{Q})](t) = HG[I(Q)]\left(t + \frac{g_1}{f_0}\right), \text{ where } \tilde{Q} = \nu(Q).$$

$$\Rightarrow HG[I(\tilde{Q})](t) = e^{-p\left(t + \frac{g_1}{f_0}\right)/\alpha} (lp + \dots) = lp - \alpha^{-1} lp^2 \left(t + \frac{g_1}{f_0}\right) + \dots \quad (**)$$

$$\text{Now, from } (*), \text{ we have } \frac{I_d^*(\tilde{P}_d - \tilde{Q}_d)}{\prod_{k=0}^n \prod_{m=1}^d (p - \lambda_k - m\alpha)} \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha^{-2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \deg_\alpha \mathcal{L}_{P_{i,0}}^*(\tilde{P}_d - \tilde{Q}_d) \leq (n+1)d - 2. \text{ Also, } \tilde{P} \underset{\text{linked}}{\sim} P \sim Q \sim \tilde{Q} \underset{\text{uniqueness}}{\Rightarrow} \tilde{P} = \tilde{Q}.$$

$$\Rightarrow HG[I(Q)](T(t)) = e^{f/\alpha} HG[I(P)](t).$$

$$\text{Take non-equivariant limit } \lambda \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow HG[I(Q)](T(t)) = \frac{1}{f_0} HG[I(P)](t).$$

#

Theorem

$$V = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{N^+} \mathcal{O}(l_a) \oplus \bigoplus_{b=1}^{N^-} \mathcal{O}(-k_b)$$

↓

$$\mathbb{P}^n$$

(1) If $d(\sum l_a + \sum k_b) - N^- \leq d(n+1) - 2$ for all $d > 0$, then $Q = P$.

(2) If $d(\sum l_a + \sum k_b) - N^- \leq d(n+1)$ for all $d > 0$, then there exists a mirror transform μ (depend on l_a, k_b) such that $Q = \mu(P)$.

Proof: (1) By definition, $\deg_\alpha I_d^*(P_d) = d(\sum l_a + \sum k_b) - N^-$.

Also, $\deg_\alpha I_d^*(Q_d) \leq d(n+1) - 2$ by localization computation.

Then, $\deg_\alpha (I_d^*(P_d - Q_d)) \leq d(n+1) - 2 \Rightarrow P = Q$.

- (2) The only remaining case are (i) $N^- = 0, \sum l_a = n+1$
(ii) $N^- = 1, \sum l_a + k_1 = n+1$
(iii) $N^- = 0, \sum l_a = n$.

Then, imitate $\mathcal{O}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ construction.

XX

• Toric Case

$X = X_\Sigma$: toric variety. $X = (\mathbb{C}^N - Z) / (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$, $m = \text{rk } H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

\mathbb{C}^N coordinate $z_i \leftrightarrow$ a section $\begin{matrix} L_i \\ z_i \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{matrix}$, $D_a = C_1(L_a)$: T-invariant divisor.
 $a = 1, \dots, N$.

For $d \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, define $I_d = \bigoplus_a H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(< D_a, d >))$ with $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$ action.

same as action on \mathbb{C}^N .

$$Z_d = \{ \phi \in I_d \mid \phi(z, w) \in Z \text{ for all } (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \}$$

$\rightsquigarrow W_d := (I_d - Z_d) / (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$: linear σ -module of X

S^1 -action on \mathbb{P}^1 of weight α gives S^1 -action on W_d .

S^1 -fixed components of W_d \rightsquigarrow

$$X_r = \left\{ \phi = [z_1 w_0^{< D_1, r >} w_1, z_2 w_0^{< D_2, d-r >} w_2, \dots, z_N w_0^{< D_N, r >} w_1, z_N w_0^{< D_N, d-r >} w_N] \mid (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N \right\} // (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$$

$z_i = 0 \text{ if } < D_i, r > < 0$
 \downarrow
 $< D_i, d-r > < 0$

\rightsquigarrow equivariant Euler class $e_G(X_r / W_d) = \prod_{a=1}^N \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq < D_a, r >}}^{< D_a, d > > 0} (D_a + k\alpha)$.

$$O(t) = e^{-Ht/\alpha} \sum_d \frac{\prod_{< D_a, d > < 0} \prod_{k=0}^{< D_a, d >} (D_a + k\alpha)}{\prod_{< D_a, d > \geq 0} \prod_{k=1}^{< D_a, d >} (D_a - k\alpha)} e^{dt}$$

\rightsquigarrow an Euler series.

B_d means $\sum_{0 \leq r \leq d} \int_X \omega^{-1} \cap \bar{B}_r \cdot B_{d-r} e^{(H+r\alpha) \cdot \zeta} \in R[[\zeta]]$
for all d .